

Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich



# Weighted analytic regularity for the integral fractional Laplacian in polyhedra

M. Faustmann and C. Marcati and J. Melenk and Ch. Schwab

Research Report No. 2023-31 July 2023

Seminar für Angewandte Mathematik Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule CH-8092 Zürich Switzerland

#### WEIGHTED ANALYTIC REGULARITY FOR THE INTEGRAL FRACTIONAL LAPLACIAN IN POLYHEDRA

3 MARKUS FAUSTMANN\*, CARLO MARCATI<sup>†</sup>, JENS MARKUS MELENK\*, AND CHRISTOPH SCHWAB<sup>‡</sup>

Abstract. On polytopal domains in 3D, we prove weighted analytic regularity of solutions to the Dirichlet problem for the integral fractional Laplacian with analytic right-hand side. Employing the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension allows to localize the problem and to decompose the regularity estimates into results on vertex, edge, face, vertex-edge, vertexface, edge-face and vertex-edge-face neighborhoods of the boundary. Using tangential differentiability of the extended solutions, a bootstrapping argument based on Caccioppoli inequalities on dyadic decompositions of the neighborhoods provides control of higher order derivatives.

10 **Key word.** fractional Laplacian, analytic regularity, corner domains, weighted Sobolev spaces

11 **AMS subject classifications.** 26A33, 35A20, 35B45, 35J70, 35R11.

1

2

12 **1. Introduction.** On a bounded, polytopal domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with Lipschitz boundary  $\partial \Omega$ 13 comprising of (the closure of) a finite union of plane, open polygons, we consider the Dirichlet 14 problem for the integral fractional Laplacian

15 (1.1) 
$$(-\Delta)^s u = f \text{ on } \Omega, \qquad u = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{\Omega},$$

with 0 < s < 1, subject to a source term f that is analytic in  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

As solutions to fractional PDEs typically exhibit a singular behaviour close to the whole boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of the domain, the aim of this article is to capture this singular behaviour in Sobolev scales by introducing certain weight functions, which are powers of distances to vertices, edges or faces of the polytope and vanish on  $\partial\Omega$ . As such, we derive weighted analytic-type estimates for the variational solution u in  $\Omega$ , which also extends the analysis of our previous work [FMMS22] (on 2D polygons) to the 3D-case.

Our analysis will, as in the two-dimensional setting [FMMS22], be based on *localization of* 23 (1.1) through a local, divergence form, elliptic degenerate operator in dimension 4. Furthermore, the 24 proof technique initiated in [BFM<sup>+</sup>23, FMMS22] will also be used here: we establish a base reg-25 ularity shift of the variational solutions in  $\Omega$  via the difference-quotient technique due to Savaré 26 27 [Sav98], rather than by localization and Mellin-analysis as is customary in the regularity analysis of elliptic PDEs in corner domains (see, e.g., [MR10] and the references there). This allows, 28 largely building upon the general results in [Sav98, FMMS22], for a more succinct proof of a 29 small regularity shift in fractional order, non-weighted Sobolev spaces. Subsequently, this reg-30 ularity is inductively bootstrapped to arbitrary order of regularity via local regularity estimates 31 of Caccioppoli type on appropriately scaled balls in a Besicovitch covering of the domain. These 32 local, analytic regularity estimates are subsequently assembled into a-priori bounds in weighted 33 Sobolev spaces, with corner-, edge- and face-weight functions. 34

While structurally similar to our analysis of the two-dimensional case [FMMS22], the analysis in polyhedral domains brings additional technical difficulties: the coverings and local regularity estimates exhibit a certain "recursive by dimension of the singular set" structure, reminiscent to the "singular chains" of M. Dauge in the analysis of the singularities of the Laplacean in

<sup>39</sup> polytopal domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  for general dimension  $d \ge 2$  in [Dau88].

<sup>†</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica "F. Casorati", Università di Pavia, I-27100 Pavia, Italy

<sup>\*</sup>Institut für Analysis und Scientific Computing, TU Wien, A-1040 Wien, Austria

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Seminar for Applied Mathematics, ETH Zurich, CH-8092 Zürich, Switzerland

**Funding:** The research of JMM is funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) by the special research program *Taming complexity in PDE systems* (grant SFB F65).

1.1. Relation to previous work. As mentioned, the present analysis extends our work [FMMS22] to polyhedral domains in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , thereby being the first analytic regularity results for the integral fractional Laplacian in three space dimensions.

Previous, recent work [BN23a] establishes essentially optimal finite regularity shifts in (non-43 weighted) Besov spaces in general Lipschitz domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  in arbitrary dimension  $d \geq 2$ , 44 45 which are also applicable in the presently considered case. As compared with [BN23a], we consider a more restricted geometric setting of Lipschitz polyhedra  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with a finite number 46 of faces. As in [BN23a] and in the two-dimensional case [FMMS22] we build the base regularity 47 shift on the techniques of Savare [Sav98]. To obtain the analytic regularity shifts, however, we 48 then employ coverings and local Caccioppoli-type estimates with inductive bootstrapping. This 49 is distinct from the analysis in [GB97, BG88], which is based on inductive bootstrapping in finite-50 order, corner-weighted spaces of Kondrat'ev type. As in [FMMS22], we develop this regularity 51 analysis for the four-dimensional, singular local elliptic divergence-form PDE related to (1.1)52 which was developed in [CS16] and the references there. 53

**1.2. Impact on numerical methods.** As is customary in the convergence rate analysis of 54 55 Finite Element Methods and in line with other recent works (e.g. [BLN22] and the references 56 there) on numerical approximation methods for the fractional Laplacean, sharp regularity for 57 variational solutions of (1.1) will imply corresponding convergence rate estimates of Galerkin approximations. Similar to the two-dimensional case, where analytic regularity of solutions 58 59 to (1.1) on bounded, polygonal domains  $\Omega$ , which we obtained in [FMMS22], implied exponential convergence bounds for corresponding hp Finite Element Galerkin approximations in 60 [FMMS23], the weighted analytic regularity estimates obtained in the present paper form the 61 foundation for proving *exponential rates of convergence* of suitable families of hp-Finite Element 62 Methods in polyhedral domains  $\Omega$  in a forthcoming work. 63

**1.3. Structure of this text.** Upon fixing some notation in the next subsection, we establish 64 65 the variational formulation of (1.1) in Section 2. We also introduce the scales of boundary-, edgeand vertex-weighted Sobolev spaces in which we subsequently will establish analytic regularity 66 shifts. In Section 2.3, we state our main regularity result, Theorem 2.3. The proof of this theo-67 rem is developed in the remaining part of the paper. Section 4 recapitulates a global regularity 68 shift and localized interior regularity estimates for the extension problem, which were proved in 69 [FMMS22]. In Section 5, local regularity for various tangential derivatives of the solution of the 71 extension problem, in a vicinity of (smooth parts of) the boundary will be considered. While 72 the mathematical structure of the proofs is identical to the polygonal case in [FMMS22], the 73 number of cases to be distinguished is larger than in the polygonal case: singular sets now have either dimension zero (vertices  $\mathbf{v}$ ), one (edges  $\mathbf{e}$ ) or two (faces  $\mathbf{f}$ ). A somewhat larger number 74 75 of combined cases (listed in Section 2.1) needs to be discussed item by item. These localized esti-76 mates are combined in Section 6 with covering arguments and scaling to establish the weighted analytic regularity. Section 7 gives a summary of our main results. Appendix A develops some 77 elementary estimates related to fractional norms, which are used in some of the arguments in 78 the main text. 79

**1.4. Notation.** The notation used here is largely consistent with our analysis in the polygonal setting in [FMMS22]. For open  $\omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the spaces  $H^t(\omega)$  are the classical Sobolev spaces of order t. For  $t \in (0, 1)$ , fractional order Sobolev spaces are given in terms of the Aronstein-Slobodeckij seminorm  $|\cdot|_{H^t(\omega)}$  and the full norm  $||\cdot|_{H^t(\omega)}$  by

84 (1.2) 
$$|v|_{H^{t}(\omega)}^{2} = \int_{x \in \omega} \int_{z \in \omega} \frac{|v(x) - v(z)|^{2}}{|x - z|^{d + 2t}} \, dz \, dx, \qquad \|v\|_{H^{t}(\omega)}^{2} = \|v\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2} + |v|_{H^{t}(\omega)}^{2},$$

where we denote the Euclidean norm in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by  $|\cdot|$ .

For bounded Lipschitz domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ , we additionally introduce

87  $\widetilde{H}^t(\Omega) \coloneqq \left\{ u \in H^t(\mathbb{R}^d) : u \equiv 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{\Omega} \right\}, \quad \|v\|_{\widetilde{H}^t(\Omega)}^2 \coloneqq \|v\|_{H^t(\Omega)}^2 + \left\|v/r_{\partial\Omega}^t\right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$ 

where  $r_{\partial\Omega}(x) := \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$  denotes the Euclidean distance of a point  $x \in \Omega$  from the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . On  $\tilde{H}^t(\Omega)$  we have, by combining [Gri11, Lemma 1.3.2.6] and [AB17, Proposition 2.3], the estimate

91 (1.3) 
$$\forall u \in H^t(\Omega) \colon \|u\|_{\tilde{H}^t(\Omega)} \le C |\tilde{u}|_{H^t(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

for some C > 0 depending only on t and  $\Omega$ . For  $t \in (0,1) \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}\}$ , the norms  $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{H}^t(\Omega)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{H^t(\Omega)}$ are equivalent on  $\widetilde{H}^t(\Omega)$ , see, e.g., [Gri11, Sec. 1.4.4]. Furthermore, for t > 0, the space  $H^{-t}(\Omega)$ denotes the dual space of  $\widetilde{H}^t(\Omega)$ , and we write  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$  for the duality pairing that extends the  $L^2(\Omega)$ -inner product.

We denote by  $\mathbb{R}_+$  the positive real numbers. For subsets  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , we will use the notation  $\omega^+ := \omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ ; in addition, for real  $\mathcal{Y} > 0$ , we write  $\omega^{\mathcal{Y}} = \omega \times (0, \mathcal{Y})$ . For any multi index  $\beta = \beta$   $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d) \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$ , we denote  $\partial_x^\beta = \partial_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_{x_d}^{\beta_d}$  and  $|\beta| = \sum_{i=1}^d \beta_i$ . We adhere to the convention that empty sums are null, i.e.,  $\sum_{j=a}^b c_j = 0$  when b < a; this even applies to the case where the terms  $c_j$  may not be defined. We also follow the standard convention  $0^0 = 1$ .

We use the notation  $\leq$  to abbreviate  $\leq$  up to a generic constant C > 0 that does not depend on critical parameters in our analysis.

**2.** Setting and Statement of the Main Result. There are several different ways to define the fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^s$  for  $s \in (0, 1)$ . A classical definition on the full space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is in terms of the Fourier transformation  $\mathcal{F}$ , i.e.,  $(\mathcal{F}(-\Delta)^s u)(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s}(\mathcal{F}u)(\xi)$ . Alternative, equivalent definitions of  $(-\Delta)^s$  are, e.g., via spectral, semi-group, or operator theory, [Kwa17] or via singular integrals.

In the following, we consider the integral fractional Laplacian defined pointwise for sufficiently smooth functions *u* as the principal value integral

110 (2.1) 
$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) \coloneqq C(d,s) \text{ P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{u(x) - u(z)}{|x - z|^{d+2s}} dz \text{ with } C(d,s) \coloneqq -2^{2s} \frac{\Gamma(s + d/2)}{\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(-s)} dz$$

where  $\Gamma(\cdot)$  denotes the Gamma function. We investigate the fractional differential equation

112 (2.2a) 
$$(-\Delta)^s u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega,$$

113 (2.2b) 
$$u = 0$$
 in  $\Omega^c \coloneqq \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ 

where  $s \in (0,1)$  and  $f \in H^{-s}(\Omega)$  is a given right-hand side. Equation (2.2) is understood in weak form: Find  $u \in \widetilde{H}^{s}(\Omega)$  such that

116 (2.3) 
$$a(u,v) \coloneqq \langle (-\Delta)^s u, v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \langle f, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \qquad \forall v \in H^s(\Omega).$$

117 The bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  has the alternative representation

118 (2.4) 
$$a(u,v) = \frac{C(s)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{(\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(z))(\tilde{v}(x) - \tilde{v}(z))}{|x - z|^{d+2s}} \, dz \, dx \qquad \forall u, v \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega).$$

Observe that the domain of integration in the bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$  in (2.4) equals  $(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^d) \cup$ 119  $(\mathbb{R}^d \times \Omega)$ . Existence and uniqueness of a weak solution  $u \in \hat{H}^s(\Omega)$  of (2.3) follow from the 120 Lax–Milgram Lemma for any  $f \in H^{-s}(\Omega)$ , upon the observation that the bilinear form  $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ : 121  $\hat{H}^s(\Omega) \times \hat{H}^s(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and coercive (observing that coercivity with respect to the 122 123  $H^{s}(\Omega)$ -norm follows from (1.3)).

The main result of this article asserts that, provided the data f is analytic in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , the variational 124 solution u of (2.2) admits weighted analytic regularity in a scale of boundary-, edge- and corner-125 weighted Sobolev spaces in  $\Omega$ . To state the result, we introduce some notation. 126

In the following, we consider  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  a bounded, Lipschitz polyhedron with boundary  $\partial \Omega$ 127 comprised of finitely many vertices, and straight edges and plane faces. In  $\overline{\Omega}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{V}$ 128 the set of vertices v and by  $\mathcal{E}$  the set of the (open) edges e, and by  $\mathcal{F}$  the set of the (open) faces 129 130 **f** of  $\partial \Omega$ . Evidently then,  $\partial \Omega = \bigcup_{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{f} \cup \bigcup_{\mathcal{E}} \mathbf{e} \cup \bigcup_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbf{v}$ .

For  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}$ , and  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ , we shall require the distance functions 131

132 
$$r_{\mathbf{v}}(x) \coloneqq |x - \mathbf{v}|, \qquad r_{\mathbf{e}}(x) \coloneqq \inf_{y \in \mathbf{e}} |x - y|, \qquad r_{\mathbf{f}}(x) \coloneqq \inf_{y \in \mathbf{f}} |x - y|, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

and corresponding (nondimensional) relative distances 133

,

134 
$$\rho_{\mathbf{ve}}(x) \coloneqq r_{\mathbf{e}}(x)/r_{\mathbf{v}}(x), \qquad \rho_{\mathbf{ef}}(x) \coloneqq r_{\mathbf{f}}(x)/r_{\mathbf{e}}(x).$$

**2.1.** Partition of  $\Omega$ . For each vertex  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{v}} := \{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E} : \mathbf{v} \in \overline{\mathbf{e}}\}$  the set of all 135 edges that meet at  $\mathbf{v}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}} \coloneqq {\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbf{f} \cap \overline{\mathbf{v}} \neq \emptyset}$  the set of all faces abutting at the vertex  $\mathbf{v}$ . 136 For any edge  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}$ , we define  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{e}} \coloneqq \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V} : \mathbf{v} \in \overline{\mathbf{e}}\} = \partial \mathbf{e}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}} \coloneqq \{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbf{f} \cap \overline{\mathbf{e}} \neq \emptyset\}$  as the 137 set of faces sharing the edge e. 138

For any face  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_f \coloneqq \{e \in \mathcal{E} : e \subset \partial f\}$  is the set of edges abutting the face f, and 139  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{f}} \coloneqq \{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V} : \mathbf{v} \subset \mathbf{f} \}$  is the set of vertices contained in the face  $\mathbf{f}$ . 140

For fixed, sufficiently small  $\xi > 0$  and for  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ , we decompose  $\Omega$  into various 141 neighborhoods defined as 142

151 Figure 1 illustrates the neighborhoods near a vertex and Figure 2 shows the neighborhoods close to an edge but away from a vertex. We drop the superscript  $\xi$  unless strictly necessary. 152

**Decompositions**: We decompose the Lipschitz polyhedron  $\Omega$  into (possibly overlapping) secto-153 rial neighborhoods of vertices v, which are unions of vertex, vertex-edge, vertex-face, and vertex-154 edge-face neighborhoods (as depicted in Figure 1), wedge-shaped neighborhoods of edges e 155 (that are bounded away from a vertex, but are unions of edge- and edge-face neighborhoods as 156 depicted in Figure 2), neighborhoods of faces f, and an interior  $\Omega_{int}$ , i.e.,

158 (2.5) 
$$\Omega = \Omega_{\rm int} \cup \bigcup_{\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}} \left( \omega_{\mathbf{v}} \cup \bigcup_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{v}}, \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}} \omega_{\mathbf{ve}} \cup \omega_{\mathbf{vf}} \cup \omega_{\mathbf{vef}} \right) \cup \bigcup_{\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}} \left( \omega_{\mathbf{e}} \cup \bigcup_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}}} \omega_{\mathbf{ef}} \right) \cup \bigcup_{\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}} \omega_{\mathbf{f}} .$$



Fig. 1: Notation near a vertex  $\mathbf{v}$ , left: top view of the vertex cone (the vertex  $\mathbf{v}$  is behind, on a straight line to the barycenter of the triangle), right: side view of the vertex cone.



Fig. 2: Notation near an edge e with two faces f, f' meeting at the edge and no vertex close by, left: front view (edge collapses to point), right: side view.

Each sectoral and edge neighborhood may have a different value  $\xi$ , but we assume that each  $\omega_{\bullet}$ abutts at most at one vertex, one edge or one face of  $\partial\Omega$ . Since only finitely many distinct types of neighborhoods are needed to decompose the polygon, the interior  $\Omega_{int} \subset \Omega$  has a positive distance from the boundary.

**2.2. Coordinates.** To state the main result, and throughout the ensuing proof of analytic estimates, we require coordinates tangential resp. perpendicular to edges e and faces f in the local neighborhoods.

166 **DEFINITION 2.1.** [*Co-ordinates and directional derivatives in neighborhoods of singular sets*] 167

- 1. In face or vertex-face neighborhoods  $\omega_{\mathbf{f}}$ ,  $\omega_{\mathbf{vf}}$ , we let  $\mathbf{f}_{i,\parallel}$ , i = 1, 2 and  $\mathbf{f}_{\perp}$  be unit vectors such that  $\mathbf{f}_{i,\parallel}$  are mutually orthogonal and span the tangential plane to  $\mathbf{f}$ , and  $\mathbf{f}_{\perp}$  is normal to  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ . We assume that  $\mathbf{f}_{\perp}$  and  $\mathbf{f}_{\perp\parallel}$  are right-oriented.
- 171 **2.** In edge or vertex-edge neighborhoods  $\omega_{\mathbf{e}}$ ,  $\omega_{\mathbf{ve}}$ , we let  $\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{1,\perp}$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_{2,\perp}$  be unit vectors 172 such that  $\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}$  is tangential to  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{i,\perp}$  are mutually orthogonal and span the plane transversal 173 to  $\mathbf{e}$ .
- 174 3. In edge-face or vertex-edge-face neighborhoods  $\omega_{ef}$ ,  $\omega_{vef}$ , we choose three linearly inde-175 pendent, right-oriented unit vectors  $\{g_{\parallel}, g_{\models}, g_{\perp}\}$  satisfying
  - $\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}$  is parallel to  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbf{f}$ ;
    - $\mathbf{g}_{\models}$  is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{e}$  and parallel to  $\mathbf{f}$ ;
  - $\mathbf{g}_{\perp}$  is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $\mathbf{f}$ .

179 For  $\mathbf{s} \in {\{\mathbf{e}_{i,\perp}, \mathbf{e}_{\parallel}, \mathbf{f}_{\perp}, \mathbf{f}_{i,\parallel}, \mathbf{g}_{\parallel}, \mathbf{g}_{\vDash}, \mathbf{g}_{\perp}\}}$  we denote first order derivatives as  $D_{\mathbf{s}}v \coloneqq \mathbf{s} \cdot \nabla_{x}v$ . For higher 180 order derivatives, we set

181 
$$D_{\mathbf{s}}^{k}v \coloneqq D_{\mathbf{s}}(D_{\mathbf{s}}^{k-1}v) \quad \text{for } k > 1$$

182 Finally, for  $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ , we write

183 
$$D_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}^{\beta} = D_{\mathbf{e}_{1,\perp}}^{\beta_1} D_{\mathbf{e}_{2,\perp}}^{\beta_2}, \qquad D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta} = D_{\mathbf{f}_{1,\parallel}}^{\beta_1} D_{\mathbf{f}_{2,\parallel}}^{\beta_2}.$$

The coordinates introduced above can be written in a unified way. The following definition formalizes the notation used to write the statement of our main result and the proofs in a compact form.

187 DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $\omega \subset \Omega$  be any connected set abutting at most one vertex  $\mathbf{v}$ , one edge  $\mathbf{e}$ , and one 188 face  $\mathbf{f}$  of  $\partial\Omega$ . We take  $(\mathbf{g}_{\perp}, \mathbf{g}_{\models}, \mathbf{g}_{\parallel})$  to be linearly independent unit vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that additionally satisfy

189

176

177

178

•  $\mathbf{g}_{\perp}$  is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{\hat{f}}$  if  $\mathbf{f} \cap \partial \omega \neq \emptyset$  and perpendicular to  $\mathbf{e}$  if  $\mathbf{e} \cap \partial \omega \neq \emptyset$ ;

190 •  $\mathbf{g}_{\models}$  is parallel to  $\mathbf{f}$  if  $\mathbf{f} \cap \partial \omega \neq \emptyset$  and perpendicular to  $\mathbf{e}$  if  $\mathbf{e} \cap \partial \omega \neq \emptyset$ ;

191 •  $\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}$  is parallel to  $\mathbf{f}$  if  $\mathbf{f} \cap \partial \omega \neq \emptyset$  and parallel to  $\mathbf{e}$  if  $\mathbf{e} \cap \partial \omega \neq \emptyset$ .

192 With these vectors and for  $\beta = (\beta_{\perp}, \beta_{\models}, \beta_{\parallel}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ , we introduce the derivative

193 
$$D_{(\mathbf{g}_{\perp},\mathbf{g}_{\models},\mathbf{g}_{\parallel})}^{\beta} = D_{\mathbf{g}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}} D_{\mathbf{g}_{\models}}^{\beta_{\models}} D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}$$

194 2.3. Statement of the main result. The following statement is the main result of this work.
 195 It provides weighted analytic regularity in all neighborhoods used to decompose Ω.

196 THEOREM 2.3. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a bounded, open Lipschitz polyhedron whose boundary  $\partial \Omega$  comprises 197 finitely many vertices, straight edges and plane faces.

198 Let the data  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfy with a constant  $\gamma_f > 0$ 

199 (2.6) 
$$\forall j \in \mathbb{N}_0: \qquad \sum_{|\beta|=j} \|\partial_x^\beta f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le \gamma_f^{j+1} j^j.$$

200 Let u be the weak solution of (2.2).

201 Then, there exists  $\gamma > 0$  depending only on  $\gamma_f$ , s, and  $\Omega$  such that for all t < 1/2, there exists  $C_t > 0$ 202 such that for all  $\beta = (\beta_{\perp}, \beta_{\vDash}, \beta_{\parallel}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$  and all  $\omega \subset \Omega$  as in Definition 2.2, it holds that

203 
$$\|r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t-s} r_{\mathbf{v}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} r_{\mathbf{e}}^{\beta_{\vdash}} D_{(\mathbf{g}_{\perp},\mathbf{g}_{\vdash},\mathbf{g}_{\parallel})}^{\beta} u\|_{L^{2}(\omega)} \leq C_{t} \gamma^{|\beta|} |\beta|^{|\beta|}$$

204 with  $\mathbf{v}$ ,  $\mathbf{e}$ ,  $\mathbf{f}$  being the closest vertex, edge, face to  $\omega$ .

<sup>205</sup> The rest of this paper will develop the proof of these bounds.

3. The Caffarelli-Silvestre extension. Key to the present regularity analysis is a localization of the fractional Laplacian provided by the so-called *Caffarelli-Silvestre extension*, [CS07]: the nonlocal operator  $(-\Delta)^s$  can be realized via a Dirichlet-to-Neumann map of a degenerate, *local* elliptic PDE on a half space in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ . Here, we shall be mainly interested in d = 3.

3.1. Weighted spaces for the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension. We recapitulate from [FMMS22] certain weighted function spaces which will be used in the sequel. We distinguish the last component of points in  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  with the notation (x, y) where  $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and we set

214 (3.1) 
$$\alpha \coloneqq 1 - 2s.$$

For open sets  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , the weighted  $L^2$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2_\alpha(D)}$  is defined via

216 (3.2) 
$$\|U\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(D)}^2 \coloneqq \int_{(x,y)\in D} y^{\alpha} |U(x,y)|^2 \, dx \, dy.$$

For the variational formulation of the CS extension, we require the space  $L^2_{\alpha}(D)$  of functions on *D* that are square (Lebesgue-)integrable with respect to the weight  $y^{\alpha}$ . With the weighted space  $H^1_{\alpha}(D) := \{U \in L^2_{\alpha}(D) : \nabla U \in L^2_{\alpha}(D)\}$  we introduce the Beppo-Levi space [DL54]

220 (3.3) 
$$\mathrm{BL}^{1}_{\alpha} \coloneqq \{ U \in L^{2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}) : \nabla U \in L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}) \}.$$

Elements  $U \in BL^1_{\alpha}$  admit a trace at y = 0, which we denote as tr U. It holds that (e.g., [KM19,

Lem. 3.8]) tr  $U \in H^s_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Also, for supp tr  $U \subset \overline{\Omega}$  for a bounded Lipschitz domain  $\Omega$ , tr  $U \in \widetilde{H}^s(\Omega)$  and

224 (3.4) 
$$\|\operatorname{tr} U\|_{\widetilde{H}^{s}(\Omega)} \overset{(1.3)}{\lesssim} |\operatorname{tr} U|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \overset{[\operatorname{KM19, Lem. 3.8}]}{\lesssim} \|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}_{+})}$$

with implied constant depending on s and  $\Omega$ .

**3.2.** Statement of the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension. Given  $u \in \tilde{H}^s(\Omega)$ , let U = U(x, y) denote the (unique in  $BL^1_{\alpha'}$ , see [FMMS22]) minimum norm extension of u to  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , i.e.,

$$U = \operatorname{argmin}\{\|\nabla U\|^2_{L^2_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+)} | U \in \operatorname{BL}^1_{\alpha}, \operatorname{tr} U = u \text{ in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$$

226 The Euler-Lagrange equations corresponding to this extension problem read

227 (3.5a) 
$$\operatorname{div}(y^{\alpha}\nabla U) = 0 \qquad \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{d} \times (0, \infty),$$

228 (3.5b) 
$$U(\cdot, 0) = u \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Henceforth, when referring to solutions of (3.5), we will additionally understand that  $U \in BL^{1}_{\alpha}$ .

The relevance of (3.5) is due to the fact that the fractional Laplacian applied to  $u \in \widetilde{H}^{s}(\Omega)$  can be recovered as distributional normal trace of the extension problem [CS07, Section 3], [CS16]:

232 (3.6) 
$$(-\Delta)^s u = -d_s \lim_{y \to 0^+} y^{\alpha} \partial_y U(x, y), \qquad d_s = 2^{2s-1} \Gamma(s) / \Gamma(1-s).$$

**3.3. Variational Formulation of the CS Extension.** Fix  $\mathcal{Y} > 0$ . Given  $F \in L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \mathcal{Y}))$ and  $f \in H^{-s}(\Omega)$ , consider the problem to find the minimizer U = U(x, y) with  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$  of

236 (3.7) minimize 
$$\mathcal{F}$$
 on  $\operatorname{BL}^{1}_{\alpha,0,\Omega} := \{ U \in \operatorname{BL}^{1}_{\alpha} : \operatorname{tr} U = 0 \text{ on } \Omega^{c} \},$   
7

237 where

(3.8)

238 
$$\mathcal{F}(U) \coloneqq \frac{1}{2}b(U,U) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times (0,\mathcal{Y})} FU \, dx \, dy - \int_{\Omega} f \operatorname{tr} U \, dx, \quad b(U,V) \coloneqq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha} \nabla U \cdot \nabla V \, dx \, dy.$$

In virtue of a Poincaré inequality ([FMMS22, Lemma 3.1]), the map  $\operatorname{BL}_{\alpha,0,\Omega}^1 \ni U \mapsto \|\nabla U\|_{L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+)}$ is a norm. The space  $\operatorname{BL}_{\alpha,0,\Omega}^1$  endowed with this norm is a Hilbert space with corresponding inner-product given by the bilinear form  $b(\cdot, \cdot)$  in (3.8). Hence, for every  $\mathcal{Y} \in (0, \infty)$ , there is  $C_{\mathcal{Y},\alpha} > 0$  such that

243 (3.9) 
$$\forall U \in \mathrm{BL}^{1}_{\alpha,0,\Omega} : \quad \|U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times (0,\mathcal{Y}))} \leq C_{\mathcal{Y},\alpha} \|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}_{+})}.$$

244 Details of the proof are in [FMMS22, Appendix B].

Existence and uniqueness of solutions of (3.7) follows from the Lax-Milgram Lemma since, for  $F \in L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \mathcal{Y}))$  and  $f \in H^{-s}(\Omega)$ , the map  $U \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \mathcal{Y})} FU + \int_{\Omega} f \operatorname{tr} U$  in (3.8) extends to a bounded linear functional on  $\operatorname{BL}^1_{\alpha,0,\Omega}$ . In view of (3.9) and the trace estimate (3.4), the minimization problem (3.7) admits by Lax-Milgram a unique solution  $U \in \operatorname{BL}^1_{\alpha,0,\Omega}$  with the *a priori* estimate

250 (3.10) 
$$\|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}_{+})} \leq C \left[ \|F\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times (0,\mathcal{Y}))} + \|f\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)} \right]$$

with constant *C* dependent on  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mathcal{Y} > 0$ , and  $\Omega$ .

The Euler-Lagrange equations formally satisfied by the solution U of (3.7) are:

253 (3.11a) 
$$-\operatorname{div}(y^{\alpha}\nabla U) = F$$
 in  $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times (0, \infty)$ ,  
254 (3.11b)  $\partial_{n_{\alpha}}U(\cdot, 0) = f$  in  $\Omega$ ,  
255 (3.11c)  $\operatorname{tr} U = 0$  on  $\Omega^{c}$ .

where  $\partial_{n_{\alpha}}U(x,0) = -d_s \lim_{y\to 0} y^{\alpha} \partial_y U(x,y)$  and we implicitly extended F to  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+$  by zero. In view of (3.6) together with the fractional PDE  $(-\Delta)^s u = f$ , this is a Neumann-type Caffarelli-Silvestre extension problem with an additional source F.

*Remark* 3.1. The system (3.11) is understood in a weak sense, i.e., to find  $U \in BL^{1}_{\alpha,0,\Omega}$  such that

261 (3.12) 
$$\forall V \in \mathrm{BL}^{1}_{\alpha,0,\Omega}: \quad b(U,V) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} FV \, dx \, dy + \int_{\Omega} f \operatorname{tr} V \, dx.$$

262 Due to (3.9), the integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+} FV \, dx \, dy$  is well-defined.

263

4. Solution regularity for the CS extension. As in [FMMS22], we prove analytic regularity of solutions of (1.1) in polyhedral  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  via local (higher order) regularity results for solutions to the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension problem in Section 3.2. These were obtained in [FMMS22, Sec.3] for general space dimension  $d \ge 2$ . We re-state these for further reference for d = 3.

**4.1. Global regularity: a shift theorem.** The following lemma provides additional regularity of the extension problem in the *x*-direction. Its proof is based on the difference quotient technique developed in [Sav98], and was already used in our analysis in two spatial variables

- 271 [FMMS22] and in [BN23a] to establish a regularity shift in Besov scales for the Dirichlet frac-
- 272 tional Laplacian.
- For functions U, F, f, it is convenient to introduce the abbreviation

274 (4.1) 
$$N^2(U, F, f) \coloneqq \|\nabla U\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \left( \|\nabla U\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+)} + \|F\|_{L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d \times (0, \mathcal{Y}))} + \|f\|_{H^{1-s}(\Omega)} \right).$$

In view of the *a priori* estimate (3.10), we have the simplified bound (with updated constant C)

.

276 (4.2) 
$$N^{2}(U, F, f) \leq C \left( \|f\|_{H^{1-s}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|F\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times (0, \mathcal{Y}))}^{2} \right)$$

277 LEMMA 4.1. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain, and let  $B_{\widetilde{R}} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a ball with  $\Omega \subset B_{\widetilde{R}}$ . 278 For  $t \in [0, 1/2)$ , there is  $C_t > 0$  (depending only on  $s, t, \Omega, \widetilde{R}$ , and  $\mathcal{Y}$ ) such that for  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\Omega})$ , 279  $F \in L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \mathcal{Y}))$  the solution U of (3.7) satisfies

280 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha} \left\| \nabla U(\cdot, y) \right\|_{H^t(B_{\widetilde{R}})}^2 dy \le C_t N^2(U, F, f)$$

- 281 with  $N^2(U, F, f)$  given by (4.1).
- 282 This is [FMMS22, Lemma 3.3] with d = 3.

**4.2. Caccioppoli inequalities for the CS extension.** Our regularity will be based on Caccioppoli inequalities for solutions to the extension problem (3.11). These inequalities were derived in [FMMS22], but we also require them for some more general cases of tangential derivatives. Roughly speaking, they imply quantitative control of second order derivatives of *U* on some local set (balls or sets introduced below) in terms of first order derivatives on a (slightly) enlarged set.

DEFINITION 4.2 (Half ball, wedge). We call the intersection between a ball and a half space whose boundary passes through the center of the ball a half ball.

We call the intersection between a ball and two non-identical half spaces with boundaries passing through the center of the ball a wedge.

293 LEMMA 4.3 (Caccioppoli inequalities). Let  $B_R(x_0)$  be an open ball with radius R > 0 centered 294 at  $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \mathcal{V}$ . Let R > 0 be so small that

295 (i)  $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$ , if  $x_0 \in \Omega$ ;

296 (*ii*)  $B_R(x_0) \cap \Omega$  is a half ball, if  $x_0 \in \mathbf{f}$ ;

297 (iii)  $B_R(x_0) \cap \Omega$  is a wedge, if  $x_0 \in \mathbf{e}$ .

For  $\theta \in (0,\infty]$  and  $c \in (0,1]$  denote by  $B_{cR}^{\theta} := (B_{cR}(x_0) \cap \Omega) \times (0,\theta) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$  the corresponding concentrically scaled and extended ball/half-ball/wedge, respectively.

Let U satisfy (3.11) with given data f and F with supp $(F) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \mathcal{Y}]$  and let  $\theta' > \theta$ .

Then, for  $\bullet \in \{x_i : i = 1, 2, 3\}$  in case  $(i), \bullet \in \{\mathbf{f}_{i,\parallel} : i = 1, 2\}$  in case (ii), and  $\bullet = \mathbf{e}_{\parallel}$  in case (iii), there is  $C_{\text{int}} > 0$  independent of R and  $c, \theta, \theta'$  such that

303 
$$\|D_{\bullet}(\nabla U)\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta}_{cR})}^{2} \leq C^{2}_{\text{int}}\Big((((1-c)R)^{-2} + (\theta'-\theta)^{-2})\|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta'}_{R})}^{2}\Big)$$

304 (4.3) 
$$+ \|D_{\bullet}f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}^{2} + \|F\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B_{R}^{+})}^{2} \Big).$$

#### Proof. We use a cut-off function $\zeta = \zeta(x, y)$ with $0 \le \zeta \le 1$ and product structure

306 
$$\zeta(x,y) = \zeta_x(x)\zeta_y(y), \qquad \zeta_x \in C_0^\infty(B_R), \quad \zeta_y \in C_0^\infty(-\theta',\theta')$$

This manuscript is for review purposes only.

Here,  $\zeta_x$  is such that  $\zeta_x \equiv 1$  on  $B_{cR}$  as well as  $\|\nabla \zeta_x\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} \leq C_{\zeta}((1-c)R)^{-1}$  for some  $C_{\zeta} > 0$  independent of c, R. Similarly,  $\zeta_y$  satisfies  $\zeta_y \equiv 1$  on  $(-\theta, \theta)$  as well as  $\|\partial_y^j \zeta_y\|_{L^{\infty}(-\theta', \theta')} \leq C_{\zeta}(\theta'-\theta)^{-j}$  for  $j \in \{0,1\}$  with a constant  $C_{\zeta}$  independent of  $R, \theta, \theta'$ . Hence  $\|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \lesssim ((1-c)R)^{-1} + (\theta'-\theta)^{-1}$ .

Let  $e_{\bullet}$  be the already defined unit vectors for  $\bullet \in {\mathbf{f}_{i,\parallel}, \mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}$  and  $e_{x_i}$  be the unit vector in the x<sub>i</sub>-coordinate. Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \setminus {0}$ . We define the difference quotient  $D_{\bullet}^{\tau}$  as the operator such that, for all  $w : \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,

314 
$$(D_{\bullet}^{\tau}w)(x,y) \coloneqq \frac{w(x+\tau e_{\bullet}, y) - w(x,y)}{\tau}, \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{+}.$$

<sup>315</sup> We recall that by, e.g., [Eva98, Sec. 6.3], we have uniformly in  $\tau$ 

316 (4.4) 
$$\|D_{\bullet}^{\tau}v\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{3}\times\mathbb{R}_{+})} \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{3}\times\mathbb{R}_{+})}$$

For  $|\tau|$  sufficiently small, consider the function  $V = D_{\bullet}^{-\tau}(\zeta^2 D_{\bullet}^{\tau} U)$ . We claim  $V \in BL^1_{\alpha,0,\Omega}$ , i.e.,

318 
$$\operatorname{tr} V = 0 \text{ on } \Omega^c, \quad V \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+), \quad \nabla V \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$$

The first property is true as long as  $\tau$  is small enough, due to the compact support of  $\zeta_x$  in  $B_R \subset \Omega$ . The second property follows from  $\zeta \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $V \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ . To show the third one, note that derivatives commute with the difference quotient operator. It follows that

$$\partial_{y}V = D_{\bullet}^{-\tau}(\zeta^{2}D_{\bullet}^{\tau}\partial_{y}U))$$

Hence,  $\partial_y V \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  since  $\partial_y U \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $\zeta$  is bounded.

325 Similarly, for any  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ,

326 
$$\partial_{x_j} V = 2D_{\bullet}^{-\tau} \left( \zeta(\partial_{x_j} \zeta) D_{\bullet}^{\tau} U \right) + D_{\bullet}^{-\tau} \left( \zeta^2 D_{\bullet}^{\tau} \partial_{x_j} U \right) \eqqcolon (I) + (II)$$

327 We have

328

$$(I) = \frac{2}{\tau} \left[ \left( \zeta \partial_{x_j} \zeta \right) (x - \tau e_{\bullet}, y) D_{\bullet}^{-\tau} U + \left( \zeta \partial_{x_j} \zeta \right) (x, y) D_{\bullet}^{\tau} U \right].$$

Using the boundedness of  $\zeta \partial_{x_j} \zeta$  and since  $D_{\bullet}^{-\tau} U \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $D_{\bullet}^{\tau} U \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ by (4.4), we obtain that  $(I) \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ . In addition, by the boundedness of  $\zeta$  and since  $U \in \mathrm{BL}^1_{\alpha,0,\Omega}$  implies  $\partial_{x_j} U \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ , we also obtain  $(II) \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ . We conclude that  $\nabla V \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ . This implies  $V \in \mathrm{BL}^1_{\alpha,0,\Omega}$ .

We can therefore choose V as a test function in the weak formulation of (3.11) and calculate

334 
$$\operatorname{tr} V = -\frac{1}{\tau^2} \Big( \zeta_x^2 (x - \tau e_{\bullet}) (u(x) - u(x - \tau e_{\bullet})) + \zeta_x^2 (x) (u(x) - u(x + \tau e_{\bullet})) \Big) = D_{\bullet}^{-\tau} (\zeta_x^2 D_{\bullet}^{\tau} u).$$

Integration by parts in (3.11) tested with *V* over  $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+$  and using that the Neumann trace (up to the constant  $d_s$  from (3.6)) realizes the fractional Laplacian gives

337 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} FV \, dx \, dy - \frac{1}{d_{s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} (-\Delta)^{s} u \operatorname{tr} V \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} y^{\alpha} \nabla U \cdot \nabla V \, dx \, dy$$
338 
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} D_{\bullet}^{\tau} (y^{\alpha} \nabla U) \cdot \nabla (\zeta^{2} D_{\bullet}^{\tau} U) \, dx \, dy$$
10

339
$$= \int_{B_{R}^{+}} y^{\alpha} D_{\bullet}^{\tau} (\nabla U) \cdot \left( \zeta^{2} \nabla D_{\bullet}^{\tau} U + 2\zeta \nabla \zeta D_{\bullet}^{\tau} U \right) dx \, dy$$
  
340
$$= \int_{B_{R}^{+}} y^{\alpha} \zeta^{2} D_{\bullet}^{\tau} (\nabla U) \cdot D_{\bullet}^{\tau} (\nabla U) \, dx \, dy + \int_{B_{R}^{+}} 2y^{\alpha} \zeta \nabla \zeta \cdot D_{\bullet}^{\tau} (\nabla U) D_{\bullet}^{\tau} U \, dx \, dy.$$

Using the equation  $(-\Delta)^s u = f$  on  $\Omega$ , Young's inequality, and the Poincaré inequality together with the trace estimate (3.4), we get the existence of constants  $C_j > 0, j \in \{1, ..., 5\}$ , such that

$$344 \quad \|\zeta D^{\tau}_{\bullet}(\nabla U)\|^{2}_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})} \leq C_{1} \left( \left| \int_{B^{+}_{R}} y^{\alpha} \zeta \nabla \zeta \cdot D^{\tau}_{\bullet}(\nabla U) D^{\tau}_{\bullet} U \, dx \, dy \right| + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} F \, D^{-\tau}_{\bullet} \zeta^{2} D^{\tau}_{\bullet} U \, dx \, dy \right|$$

$$345 \qquad \qquad + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} D^{\tau}_{\bullet} f \zeta^{2}_{x} D^{\tau}_{\bullet} u \, dx \right| \right)$$

346 
$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \zeta D_{\bullet}^{\tau}(\nabla U) \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})}^{2} + C_{2} \left( \left\| \nabla \zeta \right\|_{L^{\infty}(B^{+}_{R})}^{2} \left\| D_{\bullet}^{\tau}U \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta'}_{R})}^{2} \right)$$

347 
$$+ \|F\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})} \|\nabla(\zeta^{2}D^{\tau}_{\bullet}U)\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})} + \|\zeta_{x}D^{\tau}_{\bullet}f\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)} \|\zeta_{x}D^{\tau}_{\bullet}u\|_{H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} \right)$$

348 
$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \zeta D_{\bullet}^{\tau}(\nabla U) \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})}^{2} + C_{3} \left( \left\| \nabla \zeta \right\|_{L^{\infty}(B^{+}_{R})}^{2} \left\| \nabla U \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta'}_{R})}^{2} + \left\| F \right\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})}^{2}$$

$$+ \left\| \zeta_x D_{\bullet}^{\tau} f \right\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)} \left| \zeta_x D_{\bullet}^{\tau} u \right|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)$$

350 
$$\overset{(3.4)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2} \left\| \zeta D_{\bullet}^{\tau}(\nabla U) \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})}^{2} + C_{4} \left( \| \nabla \zeta \|_{L^{\infty}(B^{+}_{R})}^{2} \| \nabla U \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta'}_{R})}^{2} + \| F \|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})}^{2} \right)$$

351 
$$+ \|\zeta_x D_{\bullet}^{\tau} f\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)} \|\nabla(\zeta D_{\bullet}^{\tau} U)\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)}$$

353

3

$$\leq \frac{3}{4} \left\| \zeta D_{\bullet}^{\tau}(\nabla U) \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})}^{2}$$

$$+ C_5 \left( \|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R^+)}^2 \|\nabla U\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(B_R^{\theta'})}^2 + \|F\|_{L^2_{-\alpha}(B_R^+)}^2 + \|\zeta_x D_{\bullet}^{\tau} f\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)}^2 \right)$$

Absorbing the first term of the right-hand side in the left-hand side and taking the limit  $\tau \to 0$ , we obtain the sought inequality for the second derivatives since  $\|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R^+)} \lesssim ((1-c)R)^{-1} + (\theta'-\theta)^{-1}$ . We conclude using  $\|\zeta_x D_{\bullet} f\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\text{loc}} \|D_{\bullet} f\|_{L^2(B_R)}$  for some  $C_{\text{loc}} > 0$  independent of R, c, and f.

The Caccioppoli inequality in Lemma 4.3 can be iterated on concentric balls to provide control of higher order derivatives by lower order derivatives locally.

360 COROLLARY 4.4 (High order interior Caccioppoli inequality). Let  $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$  be an open ball 361 with radius R > 0 centered at  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . For  $\theta \in (0, \infty]$  and  $c \in (0, 1]$  denote by  $B_{cR}^{\theta} := B_{cR}(x_0) \times (0, \theta)$ 362 the corresponding concentrically scaled and extended ball. Let U satisfy (3.11) with given data f and F 363 with  $\operatorname{supp}(F) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \mathcal{Y}]$  and let  $\theta' > \theta$ .

364 Then, there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$  we have with  $p = |\beta|$ 

$$365 \quad (4.5) \quad \left\|\partial_{x}^{\beta}\nabla U\right\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(B_{cR}^{\theta})}^{2} \leq (\gamma p)^{2p}R^{-2p} \left\|\nabla U\right\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(B_{R}^{\theta'})}^{2} \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\gamma p)^{2(p-j)}R^{2(j-p)} \left(\max_{\substack{|\eta|=j\\\eta\leq\beta}} \|\partial_{x}^{\eta}f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}^{2} + \max_{\substack{|\eta|=j-1\\\eta\leq\beta}} \|\partial_{x}^{\eta}F\|_{L_{-\alpha}^{2}(B_{R}^{+})}^{2}\right).$$

$$11$$

*Proof.* We start by noting that the case p = 0 is trivially true since empty sums are zero and  $0^0 = 1$ . For  $p \ge 1$ , we fix a multi index  $\beta$  such that  $|\beta| = p$ . As the *x*-derivatives commute with the differential operator in (3.11), we have that  $\partial_x^\beta U$  solves equation (3.11) with data  $\partial_x^\beta F$  and  $\partial_x^\beta f$ . For given c > 0 and  $0 < \theta < \theta'$ , let

371 
$$c_i = c + (i-1)\frac{1-c}{p}, \quad \theta_i = \theta + (i-1)\frac{\theta'-\theta}{p}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, p+1$$

Then, we have  $c_{i+1}R - c_iR = \frac{(1-c)R}{p}$ ,  $c_1R = cR$ , and  $c_{p+1}R = R$  as well as  $\theta_{i+1} - \theta_i = \frac{\theta' - \theta}{p}$ ,  $\theta_1 = \theta$ , and  $\theta_{p+1} = \theta'$ . As  $R \leq \text{diam } \Omega$ , we obtain

374 
$$(\theta_{i+1} - \theta_i)^{-2} + (c_{i+1}R - c_iR)^{-2} \le Cp^2 R^{-2}/(1-c)^2$$

with a constant C > 0 depending only on  $\Omega$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\theta'$ . For ease of notation and without loss of generality, we assume that  $\beta_1 > 0$ . Applying Lemma 4.3 iteratively on the sets  $B_{c_iR}^{\theta_i}$  for i > 1provides

$$378 \quad \left\|\partial_{x}^{\beta}\nabla U\right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta}_{c_{R}})}^{2}$$

$$379 \quad \leq C^{2}_{\text{int}}\left(\frac{p^{2}}{(1-c)^{2}}R^{-2}\left\|\partial_{x}^{(\beta_{1}-1,\beta_{2})}\nabla U\right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta}_{c_{2}R})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\text{loc}}\left\|\partial_{x}^{\beta}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{2}R})}^{2} + \left\|\partial_{x}^{(\beta_{1}-1,\beta_{2})}F\right\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B^{+}_{c_{2}R})}^{2}\right)$$

$$380 \qquad \leq \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p} R^{-2p} \left\|\nabla U\right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta'}_{R})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left\|\partial^{\eta}_{x}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{p-j+2}})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left\|\partial^{\eta}_{x}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{p-j+2}})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left\|\partial^{\eta}_{x}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{p-j+2}})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left\|\partial^{\eta}_{x}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{p-j+2}})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left\|\partial^{\eta}_{x}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{p-j+2}})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left\|\partial^{\eta}_{x}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{p-j+2}})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left\|\partial^{\eta}_{x}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{p-j+2}})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left\|\partial^{\eta}_{x}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{p-2}})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left\|\partial^{\eta}_{x}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{p-2}})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left\|\partial^{\eta}_{y}f\right\|_{L^{2}(B_{c_{p-2}})}^{2} + C^{2}_{\rm loc} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \max_{|\eta|=j} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \sum_{|\eta|=j} \left(\frac{C_{\rm int}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j} R^{-2p+2j} \sum_{|\eta|=j$$

381 
$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{C_{\text{int}}p}{(1-c)}\right)^{2p-2j-2} R^{-2p+2j+2} \max_{|\eta|=j} \|\partial_x^{\eta}F\|_{L^2_{-\alpha}(B^+_{c_{p-j+1}R})}^2$$

382 Choosing  $\gamma = \max(C_{\text{loc}}^2, 1)C_{\text{int}}/(1-c)$  concludes the proof.

 $_R)$ 

The same arguments also apply to the other cases in the statement of Lemma 4.3 for sets near faces and edges.

385 COROLLARY 4.5 (High order boundary Caccioppoli inequality on f).

Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}$  be an open face of  $\partial\Omega$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbf{f}$ . For  $\overline{R} > 0$ , let  $B_R(x_0) \cap \Omega$  be an open half-ball. For  $\theta \in (0, \infty]$  and  $c \in (0, 1]$  denote by  $B_{cR}^{\theta} := (B_{cR}(x_0) \cap \Omega) \times (0, \theta)$  the corresponding concentrically scaled and extended half-ball. Let U satisfy (3.11) with given data f and F with  $\operatorname{supp}(F) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \mathcal{Y}]$ and let  $\theta' > \theta$ .

390 Then, there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that for every for all  $\beta_{\parallel} \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  with  $p = |\beta_{\parallel}|$ ,

$$391 \quad (4.6) \quad \|D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta}_{cR})}^{2} \leq (\gamma p)^{2p} R^{-2p} \|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta}_{R})}^{2} \\ + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\gamma p)^{2(p-j)} R^{2(j-p)} \left( \max_{\substack{|\eta|=j\\\eta\leq\beta_{\parallel}}} \|D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\eta}f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}^{2} + \max_{\substack{|\eta|=j-1\\\eta\leq\beta_{\parallel}}} \|D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\eta}F\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})}^{2} \right).$$

### 393 COROLLARY 4.6 (High order boundary Caccioppoli inequality on e).

Let  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}$  be an open edge of  $\partial\Omega$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbf{e}$ . For R > 0, let  $B_R(x_0) \cap \Omega$  be an open wedge. For  $\theta \in (0, \infty]$  and  $c \in (0, 1]$  denote by  $B_{cR}^{\theta} := (B_{cR}(x_0) \cap \Omega) \times (0, \theta)$  the corresponding concentrically scaled and extended wedge. Let U satisfy (3.11) with given data f and F with  $\operatorname{supp}(F) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \mathcal{Y}]$ and let  $\theta' > \theta$ .

Then, there is  $\gamma > 0$  such that for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ 398

$$399 \quad (4.7) \qquad \|D^{p}_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|^{2}_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta}_{cR})} \leq (\gamma p)^{2p} R^{-2p} \|\nabla U\|^{2}_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\theta'}_{R})} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} (\gamma p)^{2(p-j)} R^{2(j-p)} \left( \|D^{j}_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}f\|^{2}_{L^{2}(B_{R})} + \|D^{j-1}_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}F\|^{2}_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B^{+}_{R})} \right).$$

400

5. Local tangential regularity for the CS extension. Employing additional regularity of 401 U, which was shown in Lemma 4.1, the term  $\|\nabla U\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(B^+_R)}$  in (4.5) – (4.7) is small for  $R \to 0$ . 402 This is the made precise in the following lemma, which is the exact analog of the corresponding 403 statement in dimension d = 2 near edges [FMMS22, Lem. 4.3]. 404

LEMMA 5.1. For  $t \in [0, 1/2)$ , there exists  $C_{\text{reg}} > 0$  (depending only on t and  $\Omega$ ) such that the 405 solution U of (3.7) satisfies 406

407 (5.1) 
$$\|r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t}\nabla U\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(\Omega^+)}^2 \le C_{\operatorname{reg}}C_t N^2(U,F,f)$$

with the constant  $C_t > 0$  from Lemma 4.1 and  $N^2(U, F, f)$  given by (4.1). 408

Lemma 4.1 provides global regularity for the solution U of (3.11). For all  $R, \mathcal{Y} > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , let  $B_R^{\mathcal{Y}}(x_0) \coloneqq B_R(x_0) \times (0, \mathcal{Y})$ . We introduce, for any set  $B_R^{\mathcal{Y}} \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+$  and any  $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , 409 410

411 (5.2) 
$$\widetilde{N}_{B_{R}^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F,f) \coloneqq \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (\gamma p)^{-2j} \left( 3^{j} \max_{|\beta|=j} \|\partial_{x}^{\beta}f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}^{2} + 3^{j-1} \max_{|\beta|=j-1} \|\partial_{x}^{\beta}F\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B_{R}^{\mathcal{Y}})}^{2} \right)$$

We derive localized versions of Lemma 4.1 for tangential derivatives of U at the boundary. Their 412 proofs are minor variations of arguments in the proof of [FMMS22, Lemma 4.4]; we present the 413 details here for completeness. 414

LEMMA 5.2 (High order localized shift theorem near a face or an edge). Let U be the solution 415 of (3.7). Let  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $x_0 \in \mathbf{s}$ . Let  $R \in (0, 1/2]$ ,  $c \in (0, 1)$ , and assume that  $B_R(x_0) \cap \Omega$  is a half 416 *ball* (*if*  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{F}$ ) *or a wedge* (*if*  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{E}$ ). 417

Then, for  $t \in [0, 1/2)$ , there is C > 0 independent of R and  $x_0$  such that, for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$  (if  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{E}$ ) or 418  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  (if  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{F}$ ), with  $|\beta| =: p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , 419

420 (5.3) 
$$\|r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t}D_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}}^{\beta}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}/2}_{cR})}^{2} \leq CR^{-2p-1}(\gamma p)^{2p}(1+\gamma p)\left(\|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2}+R^{s+1}\widetilde{N}^{(p)}_{B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R}}(F,f)\right)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the constant in Corollary 4.6 or 4.5. 421

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{c} = (c+1)/2 \in (c,1)$ . Let  $\eta_x \in C_0^{\infty}(B_{\tilde{c}R}(x_0))$  with  $\eta_x \equiv 1$  on  $B_{cR}(x_0)$ ,  $\eta_y \in C_0^{\infty}(B_{\tilde{c}R}(x_0))$ 422  $C_0^{\infty}(-\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{Y})$  with  $\eta_y \equiv 1$  on  $(-\mathcal{Y}/2,\mathcal{Y}/2)$  and  $\|\nabla^j \eta_x\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R(x_0))} \leq C_\eta R^{-j}, j \in \{0,1,2\}$  as well as  $\|\partial_y^j \eta_y\|_{L^{\infty}(-\mathcal{Y},\mathcal{Y})} \leq C_\eta \mathcal{Y}^{-j}, j \in \{0,1,2\}$ , with a constant  $C_\eta > 0$  independent of R and  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Let 423 424 425  $\eta(x,y) := \eta_x(x)\eta_y(y).$ 

We denote  $\kappa = 1$  if  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\kappa = 2$  if  $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{F}$  (so that  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\kappa}$ ). We abbreviate  $U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} \coloneqq D_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}}^{\beta}U$ , 426  $\widetilde{U}^{(\beta)} \coloneqq \eta D_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}}^{\beta} U, F_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} = D_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}}^{\beta} F$ , and  $f_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} = D_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}}^{\beta} f$ . Throughout the proof we will use the fact that, for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  and all sufficiently smooth functions v, we have 427 428

429 
$$\max_{|\eta|=j} |D_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}}^{\eta}v| \le 3^{j/2} \max_{|\beta|=j} |\partial_x^{\beta}v|.$$

We also note that the assumptions on  $\eta(x, y) = \eta_x(x)\eta_y(y)$  imply the existence of  $C_{\eta} > 0$  (which 430 absorbs the dependence on  $\mathcal{Y}$  and c that we do not further track) such that 431

432 (5.4) 
$$\|\nabla_x^j \partial_y^{j'} \eta\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R})} \le \tilde{C}_{\eta} R^{-j}, \qquad j \in \{0, 1, 2\}, j' \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$$
13

Step 1. (Localization of the equation). Using that U solves the extension problem (3.11), 433 we obtain that the function  $\widetilde{U}^{(\beta)} = \eta U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}$  satisfies in  $\Omega \times (0, \infty)$  the equation 434

435 
$$\widetilde{F}^{(\beta)} := \operatorname{div}(y^{\alpha}\nabla\widetilde{U}^{(\beta)})$$

$$= y^{\alpha} \operatorname{div}_{x}(\nabla_{x}\widetilde{U}^{(\beta)}) + \partial_{y}(y^{\alpha}\partial_{y}\widetilde{U}^{(\beta)})$$

$$= y^{\alpha} \left( (\Delta_{x}\eta)U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} + 2\nabla_{x}\eta \cdot \nabla_{x}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} + \eta\Delta_{x}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} \right) + \eta\partial_{y}(y^{\alpha}\partial_{y}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)})$$

$$+ \partial_{y}(y^{\alpha}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\partial_{y}\eta) + y^{\alpha}\partial_{y}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\partial_{y}\eta$$

$$= y^{\alpha} \left( (\Delta_{x}\eta)U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} + 2\nabla_{x}\eta \cdot \nabla_{x}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} \right) + \partial_{y}(y^{\alpha}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\partial_{y}\eta) + y^{\alpha}\partial_{y}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\partial_{y}\eta + \eta\operatorname{div}(y^{\alpha}\nabla U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)})$$

$$= y^{\alpha} \left( (\Delta_{x}\eta)U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} + 2\nabla_{x}\eta \cdot \nabla_{x}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} \right) + \partial_{y}(y^{\alpha}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\partial_{y}\eta) + y^{\alpha}\partial_{y}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\partial_{y}\eta + \eta F_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}$$

437 as well as the boundary conditions

438 
$$\partial_{n_{\alpha}} \widetilde{U}^{(\beta)}(\cdot, 0) = \eta(\cdot, 0) D^{\beta}_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}} f \eqqcolon \widetilde{f}^{(\beta)} \qquad \text{on } \Omega,$$

439 
$$\operatorname{tr} \widetilde{U}^{(\beta)} = 0$$
 on  $\Omega^c$ .

By the support properties of the cut-off function  $\eta$ , we have supp  $\widetilde{F}^{(\beta)} \subset \overline{B_{\delta R}}(x_0) \times [0, \mathcal{Y}]$ . Using 440 Lemma 4.1, for all  $\hat{t} \in [0, 1/2)$ , there is a  $C_t > 0$  such that 441

442 (5.5) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha} \|\nabla \widetilde{U}^{(\beta)}(\cdot, y)\|_{H^t(B_{\widetilde{R}})}^2 dy \le C_t N^2(\widetilde{U}^{(\beta)}, \widetilde{F}^{(\beta)}, \widetilde{f}^{(\beta)}).$$

where  $B_{\widetilde{R}}$  is a ball containing  $\overline{\Omega}$ . By (4.1), we must bound  $N^2(\widetilde{U}^{(\beta)}, \widetilde{F}^{(\beta)}, \widetilde{f}^{(\beta)})$ , i.e., the quantities  $\|\nabla \widetilde{U}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)}$ ,  $\|\widetilde{F}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^2_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \mathcal{Y}))}$ , and  $\|\widetilde{f}^{(\beta)}\|_{H^{1-s}(\Omega)}$ . In the following,  $\gamma$  is the constant 443 444 introduced in Corollary 4.6 or 4.5. 445

**Step 2.** (Estimate of  $\|\nabla \widetilde{U}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+)}$ ). Let  $\widetilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{N}_0^{\kappa}$  be any (multi-)index such that  $|\widetilde{\beta}| =$ 446 p-1. We write 447

448 
$$\|\nabla \widetilde{U}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{3}\times\mathbb{R}_{+})}^{2} \leq 2\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2}\|\nabla_{x}U^{(\beta)}_{\|}\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{cR})}^{2} + 2\|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{cR})}^{2}\|\nabla U^{(\beta)}_{\|}\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{cR})}^{2}$$
449 (5.6) 
$$\leq 2\widetilde{C}_{\eta}^{2}\left(R^{-2}\|\nabla U^{(\beta)}_{\|}\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{cR})}^{2} + \|\nabla U^{(\beta)}_{\|}\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{cR})}^{2}\right).$$

450 We employ Corollary 4.6 or 4.5 (with  $\tilde{c}$  instead of c) to obtain for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\kappa}$ (5.7)

$$\begin{split} \|\nabla U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{cR})}^{2} &\leq R^{-2p}(\gamma p)^{2p} \bigg( \|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{p} R^{2j}(\gamma p)^{-2j} \bigg( \max_{\substack{|\eta|=j\\\eta\leq\beta}} \|D_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}}^{\eta}f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}^{2} + \max_{\substack{|\eta|=j-1\\\eta\leq\beta}} \|D_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}}^{\eta}F\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2} \bigg) \bigg) \\ &\leq R^{-2p}(\gamma p)^{2p} \bigg( \|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2} \\ &+ R^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} R^{2(j-1)}(\gamma p)^{-2j} \bigg( 3^{j} \max_{|\beta|=j} \|\partial_{x}^{\beta}f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}^{2} + 3^{j-1} \max_{|\beta|=j-1} \|\partial_{x}^{\beta}F\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2} \bigg) \bigg) \\ &\leq R^{-2p}(\gamma p)^{2p} \bigg( \|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2} + R^{2} \widetilde{N}_{B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R}}^{(p)}(F, f) \bigg) \,. \end{split}$$

451

4

This manuscript is for review purposes only.

- 452
- For  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , we apply (5.7) to the  $\tilde{\beta}$ -derivative and exploit the estimate  $(\gamma(p-1))^{-2} \leq \max\{1, \gamma^{-2}\}$ for p > 1 to bound  $(\gamma(p-1))^{2p-2} \tilde{N}_{B_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{P}}}^{(p-1)}(F, f) \lesssim \max\{1, \gamma^{-2}\}(\gamma p)^{2p} \tilde{N}_{B_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{P}}}^{(p)}(F, f)$ . Consequently, 453
- we obtain the existence of a constant C > 0 such that for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  it holds that (recall  $|\tilde{\beta}| = p-1$ ) 454

455 (5.8) 
$$\|\nabla U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{cR})}^{2} \leq C \max\{1, \gamma^{-2}\}R^{-2p+2}(\gamma p)^{2p} \left(\|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2} + R^{2}\widetilde{N}^{(p)}_{B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R}}(F, f)\right) .$$

Inserting (5.7) and (5.8) into (5.6) provides the estimate 456

457 
$$\|\nabla \widetilde{U}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{3}\times\mathbb{R}_{+})}^{2} \leq CR^{-2p}(\gamma p)^{2p}\left(\|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2} + R^{2}\widetilde{N}^{(p)}_{B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R}}(F,f)\right)$$

with a constant C > 0 depending only on the constants  $\tilde{C}_{\eta}$ , c, and  $\gamma$ . 458

**Step 3.** (Estimate of  $\|\widetilde{F}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{3}\times\mathbb{R}_{+})}$ ). We treat the five terms appearing in  $\|\widetilde{F}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{3}\times\mathbb{R}_{+})}$ 459 separately. With (5.7), we obtain 460

Similarly, we get (with  $|\widetilde{\beta}| = p - 1$  again) 463

Next, we estimate 466

467 
$$\|\eta F_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{3}\times(0,\mathcal{Y}))}^{2} \leq \|F_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{cR})}^{2} \leq 3^{p} \max_{|\beta|=p} \|\partial_{x}^{\beta}F\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{cR})}^{2} \leq (\gamma p)^{2p+2} \widetilde{N}_{B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R}}^{(p)}(F,f).$$

Finally, for the term  $\partial_y(y^{\alpha}U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\partial_y\eta) + y^{\alpha}\partial_yU_{\parallel}^{(\beta)}\partial_y\eta$ , we observe that  $\partial_y\eta$  vanishes near y = 0 so that the weight  $y^{\alpha}$  does not come into play as it can be bounded from above and below by 468 469 positive constants depending only on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . We arrive at 470

$$471 \qquad \left\| \partial_{y} (y^{\alpha} U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} \partial_{y} \eta) + y^{\alpha} \partial_{y} U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} \partial_{y} \eta \right\|_{L^{2}_{-\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^{3} \times (0, \mathcal{Y}))}^{2} \\ 472 \qquad \qquad \leq C \left( \mathcal{Y}^{-2} \| U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B_{\bar{c}R} \times (0, \mathcal{Y}))}^{2} + \mathcal{Y}^{-1} \| \nabla U_{\parallel}^{(\beta)} \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B_{\bar{c}R}^{\mathcal{Y}})}^{2} \right) \\ \stackrel{(5.7), (5.8)}{\leq} C_{\mathcal{Y}} R^{-2p-2} (\gamma p)^{2p} \left( \| \nabla U \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B_{R}^{\mathcal{Y}})}^{2} + R^{2} \widetilde{N}_{B_{R}^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F, f) \right)$$

for suitable  $C_{\mathcal{Y}} > 0$  depending on  $\mathcal{Y}$ . 473

**Step 4.** (Estimate of  $\|\widetilde{f}^{(\beta)}\|_{H^{1-s}(\Omega)}$ .) Here, we use Lemma A.1 and R < 1/2 together with 474 s < 1 to obtain 475

476 
$$\|\widetilde{f}^{(\beta)}\|_{H^{1-s}(\Omega)}^2 \le 2C_{\text{loc},2}^2 C_{\eta}^2 \left(9R^{2s-2} \|D_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}}^{\beta}f\|_{L^2(B_R)}^2 + |D_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}}^{\beta}f|_{H^{1-s}(B_R)}^2\right)$$

477 
$$\leq CC_{\text{loc},2}^2 C_{\eta}^2 R^{2s-2} \left( 3^p \max_{|\beta|=p} \|\partial_x^{\beta} f\|_{L^2(B_R)}^2 + 3^{p+1} \max_{|\beta|=p+1} \|\partial_x^{\beta} f\|_{L^2(B_R)}^2 \right)$$

478 
$$\leq CC_{\text{loc},2}^2 C_{\eta}^2 R^{2s-2} (\gamma p)^{2p} (1 + (\gamma p)^2) \widetilde{N}_{B_R^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F, f)$$

with a constant C > 0 depending only on  $\Omega$ , *s*, and *c*. 479

15

480 **Step 5.** (Putting everything together.) Combining the above estimates, we obtain that there 481 exists a constant C > 0 depending only on  $\tilde{C}_{\eta}$ ,  $C_{\text{loc},2}$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\Omega$ , s, and c such that

485 Inserting this estimate in (5.5) we conclude that

486 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} y^{\alpha} \left\| \nabla \widetilde{U}^{(\beta)}(\cdot, y) \right\|_{H^{t}(\Omega)}^{2} dy \leq C \left( 1 + \gamma p \right) R^{-2p-1} (\gamma p)^{2p} \left( \| \nabla U \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2} + R^{s+1} \widetilde{N}^{(p)}_{B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R}}(F, f) \right).$$

487 **Step 6.** The estimate (5.3) follows from [Gri11, Thm. 1.4.4.3], which gives

488 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha} \| r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t} \nabla \widetilde{U}^{(p)}(\cdot, y) \|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \, dy \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} y^{\alpha} \| \nabla \widetilde{U}^{(p)}(\cdot, y) \|_{H^t(\Omega)}^2 \, dy$$

489 and from  $\widetilde{U}^{(\beta)} = D^p_{\mathbf{s}_{\parallel}} U$  on  $B_{cR} \times (0, \mathcal{Y}/2)$  by the definition of  $\eta$ .

<sup>490</sup> The following lemma is the same of the above, but in the interior of the domain.

491 LEMMA 5.3 (High order localized shift theorem in the interior). Let U be the solution of (3.7). 492 Let  $x_0 \in \Omega$ . Let  $R \in (0, 1/2]$ ,  $c \in (0, 1)$ , and assume that  $B_R(x_0) \subset \Omega$ .

493 Then, for  $t \in [0, 1/2)$ , there is C > 0 independent of R and  $x_0$  such that, for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ , with 494  $p = |\beta| \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

495 (5.9) 
$$\|r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t}\partial_x^\beta \nabla U\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}/2}_{cR})}^2 \le CR^{-2p-1}(\gamma p)^{2p}(1+\gamma p)\left(\|\nabla U\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^2 + R^{s+1}\widetilde{N}^{(p)}_{B^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R}}(F,f)\right).$$

496 *Proof.* The proof is the same as that of Lemma 5.2, with Corollary 4.4 replacing Corollary 4.6
 497 or 4.5.

6. Weighted  $H^p$ -estimates in polyhedra. In this section, we derive higher order weighted regularity results, at first for the extension problem and finally for the fractional PDE. The strategy is as in the two-dimensional case: we first introduce suitable countable, locally finite coverings of the various neighborhoods in Section 6.1. We then obtain in each of the neighborhoods local, Caccioppoli-type regularity shifts for the solution U of the CS extension defined in Section 3.2, in Section 6.2. Finally, in Section 6.3, we deduce from the estimates on U the analytic regularity results for the solution u of (2.3).

505 **6.1. Coverings.** As in space dimension d = 2, [FMMS22], a main ingredient in the proofs 506 of a-priori estimates are suitable localizations of all the geometric neighborhoods in the partition 507 (2.5) of the polyhedron Ω.

This is achieved by covering such neighborhoods by balls, half-balls or wedges with the following two properties: a) their diameter is proportional to the distance to lower-dimensional singular supports, i.e., vertices, edges and faces, and b) scaled versions of the balls/cut-balls satisfy a locally finite overlap property.

The general procedure in our construction of suitable localized coverings of all neighborhoods is hierarchic with respect to the dimension of the singular support set: if  $\omega_{\bullet}$  is close to only one singular component, i.e., to either one vertex, edge or face (i.e.  $\bullet \in {\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}}$ ), we use balls inscribed in  $\Omega$  with radii proportional to the distance to  $\partial\Omega$ .

For  $\omega_{\bullet}$  close to two singular components of  $\partial\Omega$ , i.e.,  $\bullet \in \{ve, vf, ef\}$ , we localize at first with half-balls (in case of neighborhoods close to faces) centered on **f** in direction of the edge/vertex or wedges (in case of  $\omega_{ve}$ ) in direction of the vertex. Then, the half-balls/wedges are localized again using balls centered in  $\Omega$  in direction of the face/edge (implicitly done in Lemma 6.8 and Lemma 6.11).

For  $\omega_{\bullet}$  situated simultaneously close to three singular components of  $\partial\Omega$ , i.e. belonging to vertex-edge-face-neighborhoods, we first localize with wedges centered on the edge in direction of the vertex, then with half-balls centered on the face in direction of the edge, and finally with balls centered in  $\Omega$  in direction of the face.

As in the two-dimensional case [FMMS22, Lemma 5.1], we work with local estimates obtained from Besicovitch's Covering Theorem.

527 LEMMA 6.1 ([MW12, Lem. A.1], [HMW13, Lem. A.1]). Let  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be bounded, open and 528 let  $M \subset \partial \omega$  be closed, and nonempty. Fix  $c, \zeta \in (0,1)$  such that  $1 - c(1 + \zeta) =: c_0 > 0$ . For 529 each  $x \in \omega$ , let  $B_x := \overline{B}_{c \operatorname{dist}(x,M)}(x)$  be the closed ball of radius  $c \operatorname{dist}(x,M)$  centered at x, and let 530  $\widehat{B}_x := \overline{B}_{(1+\zeta)c \operatorname{dist}(x,M)}(x)$  be the scaled closed ball of radius  $(1 + \zeta)c \operatorname{dist}(x,M)$  centered at x.

Then, there is a countable set  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \subset \omega$  (for some suitable index set  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathbb{N}$ ) and a number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ depending solely on  $d, c, \zeta$  with the following properties:

533 1. (covering property)  $\bigcup_i B_{x_i} \supset \omega$ .

534 2. (finite overlap) card  $\{i \mid x \in \widehat{B}_{x_i}\} \leq N$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

6.1.1. Covering of  $\omega_v$ ,  $\omega_e$ , and  $\omega_f$ . We start with coverings of vertex, edge and face neighborhoods and provide coverings using balls insribed in Ω whose size is proportional to their distance to the vertex, edge or face, respectively.

538 LEMMA 6.2 (covering of  $\omega_{\bullet}$ ,  $\bullet \in \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}\}$ ). Given  $\bullet \in \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{E} \cup \mathcal{F}$  and  $\xi > 0$ , there are parameters 539  $0 < c < \hat{c} < 1$  as well as points  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \omega_{\bullet} = \omega_{\bullet}^{\xi}$  such that:

540 (*i*) The collection  $\mathcal{B} := \{B_i := B_{c \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \bullet)}(x_i) \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open balls covers  $\omega_{\bullet}$ .

541 (*ii*) The collection  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} := \{\widehat{B}_i := B_{\widehat{c}\operatorname{dist}(x_i, \bullet)}(x_i) | i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open balls satisfies a finite overlap 542 property, i.e., there is an integer N > 0 depending only on the spatial dimension d = 3 and the 543 parameters  $c, \widehat{c}$  such that  $\operatorname{card}\{i | x \in \widehat{B}_i\} \leq N$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . The balls from  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$  are contained 544 in  $\Omega$ . *Proof.* Apply Lemma 6.1 with  $M = \{\bullet\}$  and sufficiently small parameters  $c, \zeta > 0$ . Observe that by possibly slightly increasing the parameter c, one can ensure that the open balls rather than the closed balls given by Lemma 6.1 cover  $\omega_{\bullet}$ . Also, since c < 1, the index set  $\mathcal{I}$  of Lemma 6.1 cannot be finite so that we may assume  $\mathcal{I} = \mathbb{N}$ .

**6.1.2. Covering of**  $\omega_{\text{ef}}$ . We now introduce a covering of edge-face neighborhoods  $\omega_{\text{ef}}$ . We start by a covering of half-balls resting on the face **f** and with size proportional to the distance from the edge.

552 LEMMA 6.3. Given  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}}$ , there are  $\xi > 0$  and parameters  $0 < c < \hat{c} < 1$  as well as points 553  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{f}$  such that, denoting  $R_i = c \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{e})$  and  $\widehat{R}_i = \hat{c} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{e})$ :

554 (*i*) The sets  $H_i := B_{R_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega$  are half-balls and the collection  $\mathcal{B} := \{H_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covers  $\omega_{ef} = \omega_{ef}^{\xi}$ .

555 556

557

(ii) The collection  $\hat{\mathcal{B}} := \{\hat{H}_i := B_{\hat{R}_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega\}$  is a collection of half-balls and satisfies a finite overlap property, i.e., there is N > 0 depending only on the spatial dimension d = 3 and the parameters  $c, \hat{c}$  such that  $\operatorname{card}\{i \mid x \in \hat{H}_i\} \leq N$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

*Proof.* Let **f** be the (infinite) plane containing **f**. We apply Lemma 6.1 to the 2D plane surface 558  $\mathbf{f} \cap \partial \omega_{\mathbf{ef}}^{\xi}$  (for some sufficiently small  $\xi$ ) and  $M \coloneqq \{\mathbf{e}\}$  and the parameter c sufficiently small so that 559  $B_{2c \operatorname{dist}(x,\mathbf{e})}(x) \cap \Omega$  is a half-ball for all  $x \in \mathbf{f} \cap \partial \omega_{\mathbf{ef}}^{\xi}$ . Lemma 6.1 provides a collection  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{f}$ 560 such that the balls  $B_i \coloneqq B_{R_i}(x_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and the scaled balls  $\widehat{B}_i \coloneqq B_{c(1+\zeta)\operatorname{dist}(x_i,\mathbf{e})}(x_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  (for 561 suitable, sufficiently small  $\zeta$ ) satisfy the following: the 2D balls  $\{B_i \cap \tilde{\mathbf{f}} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  cover  $\partial \omega_{\mathbf{f}}^{\xi} \cap \mathbf{f}$ , and 562 the 2D balls  $\{\widehat{B}_i \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{f}} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  satisfy a finite overlap condition on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{f}}$ . By possibly slightly increasing 563 the parameter c (e.g., by replacing c with  $c(1+\zeta/2)$ ), the newly defined balls  $B_i$  then cover a set 564  $\omega_{ef}^{\xi}$  for a possibly reduced  $\xi$ . It remains to see that the balls  $\hat{B}_i$  satisfy a finite overlap condition 565 on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ : given  $x \in \widehat{B}_i$ , its projection  $x_f$  onto  $\widetilde{f}$  satisfies  $x_f \in \widehat{B}_i \cap \widetilde{f}$  since  $x_i \in f \subset \widetilde{f}$ . This implies 566 that the overlap constants of the 3D balls  $\widehat{B}_i$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is the same as the overlap constant of the 2D 567 balls  $\widehat{B}_i \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{f}}$  in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{f}}$ . The half-balls  $H_i \coloneqq B_i \cap \Omega$  and  $\widehat{H}_i \coloneqq \widehat{B}_i \cap \Omega$  have the stated properties. 568 Π

6.1.3. Covering of  $\omega_{vf}$ . Similarly, we provide a covering of the vertex-face neighborhoods  $\omega_{vf}$  using half-balls centered on the face *f*.

571 LEMMA 6.4. Given  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$ , there are  $\xi > 0$  and parameters  $0 < c < \hat{c} < 1$  as well as points 572  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{f}$  such that, denoting  $R_i = c \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{v})$  and  $\widehat{R}_i = \hat{c} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{v})$ :

573

582

(*i*) The sets  $H_i := B_{R_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega$  are half-balls and the collection  $\mathcal{B} := \{H_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covers  $\omega_{\mathbf{vf}} = \omega_{\mathbf{vf}}^{\xi}$ .

(*ii*) The collection  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} := \{\widehat{H}_i := B_{\widehat{R}_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega\}$  is a collection of half-balls and satisfies a finite overlap property, i.e., there is N > 0 depending only on the spatial dimension d = 3 and the parameters

576  $c, \hat{c} \text{ such that } \operatorname{card}\{i \mid x \in H_i\} \leq N \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$ 

*Proof.* The proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 6.3.

6.1.4. Covering of  $\omega_{ve}$ . For the vertex-edge neighborhoods  $\omega_{ve}$ , we introduce a covering using wedges centered on the edge with size proportional to the distance to the vertex.

580 LEMMA 6.5. Given  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{v}}$ , there are  $\xi > 0$  and parameters  $0 < c < \hat{c} < 1$  as well as points 581  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{e}$  such that, denoting  $R_i = c \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{v})$  and  $\widehat{R}_i = \hat{c} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{v})$ :

(*i*) The collection of wedges 
$$\mathcal{B} := \{W_i \subset B_{R_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ covers } \omega_{\mathbf{ve}} = \omega_{\mathbf{ve}}^{\xi}$$

(*ii*) The collection of wedges  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} := \{\widehat{W}_i \subset B_{\widehat{R}_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfies  $W_i \subset \widehat{W}_i$  and a finite overlap property, i.e., there is N > 0 depending only on the spatial dimension d = 3 and the parameters  $c, \hat{c}$  such that  $\operatorname{card}\{i \mid x \in \widehat{W}_i\} \leq N$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}$  be the (infinite) line containing e. We apply Lemma 6.1 to the intervals  $\mathbf{e} \cap \partial \omega_{\mathbf{ve}}^{\xi}$ (for some sufficiently small  $\xi$ ) and  $M \coloneqq \{\mathbf{v}\}$  and the parameter c sufficiently small so that

- 588  $B_{2c \operatorname{dist}(x,\mathbf{e})}(x) \cap \Omega$  is a wedge for all  $x \in \mathbf{e} \cap \partial \omega_{\mathbf{ve}}^{\xi}$ . Lemma 6.1 provides a collection  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{e}$
- such that the balls  $B_i \coloneqq B_{R_i}(x_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and the scaled balls  $\widehat{B}_i \coloneqq B_{c(1+\zeta)\operatorname{dist}(x_i,\mathbf{v})}(x_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  (for
- suitable, sufficiently small  $\zeta$ ) satisfy the following: the intervals  $\{B_i \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  cover  $\partial \omega_{\mathbf{ve}}^{\xi} \cap \mathbf{e}$ ,
- and the intervals  $\{\widehat{B}_i \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  satisfy a finite overlap condition on  $\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}$ . Upon increasing the parameter c (e.g., by replacing c with  $c(1 + \zeta/2)$ ), the newly defined balls  $B_i$  then cover a set  $\omega_{\mathbf{ve}}^{\xi}$
- for a possibly reduced  $\xi$ . It remains to see that the balls  $\hat{B}_i$  satisfy a finite overlap condition on
- <sup>594</sup>  $\mathbb{R}^2$ : given  $x \in \widehat{B}_i$ , its projection  $x_e$  onto  $\widetilde{e}$  satisfies  $x_e \in \widehat{B}_i \cap \widetilde{e}$  since  $x_i \in e \subset \widetilde{e}$ . This implies that
- the overlap constants of the balls  $\hat{B}_i$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is the same as the overlap constant of the intervals
- 596  $\widehat{B}_i \cap \widetilde{\mathbf{e}}$  in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{e}}$ . The wedges  $W_i := B_i \cap \Omega$  and  $\widehat{W}_i := \widehat{B}_i \cap \Omega$  have the stated properties.

597 **6.1.5.** Covering of  $\omega_{vef}$ . In the same way, we obtain a covering of the vertex-edge-face neighborhoods  $\omega_{vef}$ .

599 LEMMA 6.6. Given  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{v}}$ , and  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{v}}$ , there are  $\xi > 0$  and parameters  $0 < c < \hat{c} < 1$ 600 as well as points  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbf{e}$  such that, denoting  $R_i = c \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{v})$  and  $\widehat{R}_i = \hat{c} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{v})$ :

- 601 (i) The sets  $W_i := B_{R_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega$  are wedges and the collection  $\mathcal{B} := \{W_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  covers  $\omega_{vef} = \omega_{vef}^{\xi}$ .
- 602 (i) The collection  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}} := \{\widehat{W}_i := B_{\widehat{R}_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega\}$  is a collection of wedges and satisfies a finite overlap 603 property, i.e., there is N > 0 depending only on the spatial dimension d = 3 and the parameters
- 604  $c, \hat{c}$  such that  $\operatorname{card}\{i \mid x \in \widehat{W}_i\} \leq N$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

605 *Proof.* The proof is the same as that of Lemma 6.5, with  $\omega_{vef}$  replacing  $\omega_{ve}$ .

606 **6.2.** Weighted  $H^p$ -regularity for the CS extension. In the following, we provide separate 607 weighted analytic regularity estimates on extensions of each neighborhood  $\omega_{\bullet}$  used to decom-608 pose  $\Omega$  in (2.5). Hereby, for any set  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\mathcal{Y} > 0$ , define  $\omega^{\mathcal{Y}} := \omega \times (0, \mathcal{Y})$ .

609 **6.2.1. Vertex neighborhoods**  $\omega_v$ . We have

610  $r_{\mathbf{f}} \sim r_{\mathbf{e}} \sim r_{\mathbf{v}}$  on  $\omega_{\mathbf{v}}$ .

The following lemma provides higher order regularity estimates in vertex-weighted norms for solutions to the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension problem with smooth data.

613 LEMMA 6.7 (Weighted  $H^p$ -regularity in  $\omega_{\mathbf{v}}$ ). Let  $\omega_{\mathbf{v}} = \omega_{\mathbf{v}}^{\xi}$  be given for some  $\xi > 0$  and  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ . 614 Let U be the solution of (3.7). There is  $\gamma > 0$  depending only on s,  $\Omega$ ,  $\omega_{\mathbf{v}}$ , and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and for every 615  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  depending additionally on  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ , with  $p = |\beta|$ ,

616 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{v}}^{p-1/2+\varepsilon}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(\omega_{\mathbf{v}}\times(0,\mathcal{Y}))}^{2} \leq C_{\varepsilon}\gamma^{2p+1}p^{2p} \left[ \|f\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} + \|F\|_{L_{-\alpha}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}\times(0,\mathcal{Y}))}^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{p}p^{-2j} \left( \max_{|\eta|=j} \|\partial_{x}^{\eta}f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \max_{|\eta|=j-1} \|\partial_{x}^{\eta}F\|_{L_{-\alpha}^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3}\times(0,\mathcal{Y}))}^{2} \right) \right].$$

618 *Proof.* The case p = 0 follows from Lemma 5.1 and the estimates (4.1), (4.2).

619 We therefore assume in the remainder of this proof that  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Lemma 6.2 gives the covering 620  $\bigcup_i B_i \supset \omega_{\mathbf{v}}$  with scaled balls  $B_i = B_{cr_{\mathbf{v}}(x_i)}(x_i)$  and scaled balls  $\hat{B}_i = B_{\hat{c}r_{\mathbf{v}}(x_i)}(x_i)$ . We denote 621  $R_i \coloneqq \hat{c} \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{v})$  the radius of the ball  $\hat{B}_i$  and note that, for some  $C_B > 1$ ,

622 (6.1)  $\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall x \in \widehat{B}_i \qquad C_B^{-1} R_i \le r_{\mathbf{v}}(x) \le C_B R_i.$ 

623 We assume (for convenience) that  $R_i \leq 1$  for all i.

For any multi index  $\beta$ , with  $p = |\beta|$ , 624

625 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{v}}^{p-1/2+\varepsilon}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2} \stackrel{\text{L.6.2}}{\leq} \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \|r_{\mathbf{v}}^{p-1/2+\varepsilon}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2}$$
626 
$$\stackrel{(6.1)}{\leq} \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (C_{B}R_{i})^{2p+\varepsilon} \|r_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2}\partial_{x}^{\beta}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2}$$

626

627 
$$\lesssim \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (C_B R_i)^{2p+\varepsilon} (\gamma_1 p)^{2p+1} R_i^{-2p-1} \bigg[ \|\nabla U\|_{L^2_{\alpha}(\widehat{B}_i^{\mathcal{Y}})}^2 + R_i^{s+1} \widetilde{N}_{\widehat{B}_i^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F, f) \bigg]$$

$$\leq C_B^{2p}(\gamma_1 p)^{2p+1} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ C_B \| r_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1/2 + \varepsilon/2} \nabla U \|_{L^2_{\alpha}(\widehat{B}_i^{\mathcal{Y}})}^2 + R_i^{s+\varepsilon} \widetilde{N}_{\widehat{B}_i^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F, f) \right]$$

$$\leq C_B^{2p}(\gamma_1 p)^{2p+1} \bigg[ C_B \| r_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2} \nabla U \|_{L^2_\alpha(\omega_{\mathbf{v}}^{\hat{\xi}} \times (0,\mathcal{Y}))}^2 + \widetilde{N}_{\Omega^+}^{(p)}(F,f) \bigg].$$

We conclude by using that in  $\omega_{\mathbf{y}}$ ,  $r_{\mathbf{y}} \simeq r_{\partial\Omega}$  and using Lemma 5.1, Lemma 4.1 and (4.2). 630

**6.2.2. Edge-neighborhoods**  $\omega_{e}$ . We have 631

632  $r_{\rm f} \sim r_{\rm e}$ on  $\omega_{\mathbf{e}}$ .

We start with a weighted regularity estimate on arbitrary wedges centered on an edge e. 633

LEMMA 6.8 (Weighted  $H^p$ -regularity in a wedge). Let  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbf{e}$ , R > 0,  $\zeta > 0$  and let 634

635 
$$W_R = B_R(x_0) \cap \{ x \in \Omega : \rho_{\mathbf{ef}}(x) > \zeta \ \forall \mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{e}} \}$$

be a wedge either in  $\omega_{\mathbf{e}}$  or  $\omega_{\mathbf{ve}}$ . Let  $c \in (0, 1)$  and let U be the solution of (3.7). 636

Then, there exists  $\gamma > 0$  depending only on s,  $\Omega$ ,  $\zeta$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , there exists 637  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  depending additionally on  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $\beta_{\perp} = (\beta_{\perp,1}, \beta_{\perp,2}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  and all  $\beta_{\parallel} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with 638  $p_{\perp} = \beta_{\perp,1} + \beta_{\perp,2}$ ,  $p_{\parallel} = \beta_{\parallel}$ , and  $p = p_{\perp} + p_{\parallel}$ , it holds that 639

640 (6.2) 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(W_{cR}^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2} \leq C_{\varepsilon}\gamma^{2p+1}p^{2p}\left[R^{-2p_{\parallel}-1}\left(\|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(W_{R}^{\mathcal{Y}})}^{2}+R^{s+1}\widetilde{N}_{W_{R}^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p_{\parallel})}(F,f)\right)+\widetilde{N}_{W_{R}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\perp})}(D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}F,D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}f)\right]$$

where  $D_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}} = D_{\mathbf{e}_{1,\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp,1}} D_{\mathbf{e}_{2,\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp,2}}$ . 642

*Proof.* The case  $p_{\perp} = 0$  follows from Lemma 5.2 and from the estimates (4.1), (4.2). 643

We therefore assume in the following that  $p_{\perp} \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote  $\tilde{c} = (c+1)/2 \in (c,1)$ . 644

We observe that the argument of Lemma 6.2 also gives a covering  $\bigcup_i B_i \supset W_{cR}$  with balls 645  $B_i = B_{c_1 r_{\mathbf{e}}(x_i)}(x_i)$  and scaled balls  $\widehat{B}_i = B_{\widehat{c}_1 r_{\mathbf{e}}(x_i)}(x_i)$  such that  $\bigcup_i \widehat{B}_i \subset W_{\widehat{c}R}$ , provided one 646 chooses the parameters  $c_1$ ,  $\hat{c}_1 > 1$  small enough. 647

We denote  $R_i \coloneqq \hat{c}_1 \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{e})$  the radius of the ball  $\hat{B}_i$  and note that, for some  $C_B > 1$ , 648

649 (6.3) 
$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall x \in \widehat{B}_i \qquad C_B^{-1} R_i \le r_{\mathbf{e}}(x) \simeq r_{\partial\Omega}(x) \le C_B R_i.$$

We assume (for convenience) that  $R_i \leq 1$  for all *i*. 650

We apply Lemma 5.3 to the function  $D_{e_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}U$  (noting that this function satisfies (3.11) with 651 data  $D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}f$ ,  $D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}F$ ) with the pair  $(B_i, \hat{B}_i)$  of concentric balls, with  $\mathcal{Y}/2$  instead of  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and with 652

20

constant denoted  $\gamma_1 \ge 1$ . For any  $\beta_{\perp} = (\beta_{\perp,1}, \beta_{\perp,2}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  and  $\beta_{\parallel} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with  $p_{\perp} = |\beta_{\perp}| \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $p_{\parallel} = \beta_{\parallel}$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} 655 \qquad & \|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(W_{cR}^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2} \\ & \stackrel{\text{L.62}}{\leq} \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2} \\ & \stackrel{\text{(63)}}{\leq} \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (C_{B}R_{i})^{2p_{\perp}+\varepsilon}\|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(B_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2} \\ & \stackrel{\text{L.53}}{\leq} \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (C_{B}R_{i})^{2p_{\perp}+\varepsilon}(\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1}R_{i}^{-2p_{\perp}-1} \Big[ \|D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\widehat{B}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2} + R_{i}^{s+1}\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{B}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\perp})}(D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}F, D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}f) \Big] \\ & \stackrel{\text{(63)}}{\leq} C_{B}^{2p_{\perp}+1}(\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \Big[ \|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\widehat{B}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2} + R_{i}^{s+\varepsilon}\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{B}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\perp})}(D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}F, D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}f) \Big] \\ & \stackrel{\text{(55)}}{\lesssim} C_{B}^{2p_{\perp}+1}(\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1} \Big[ \|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(W_{cR}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2} + R_{i}^{s+\varepsilon}\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{B}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\perp})}(D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}F, D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}f) \Big] \\ & \stackrel{\text{(55)}}{\lesssim} C_{B}^{2p_{\perp}+1}(\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1} \Big[ \|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(W_{cR}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2} + R_{i}^{s+\varepsilon}\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{B}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\perp})}(D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}F, D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}f) \Big] \\ & \stackrel{\text{(55)}}{\lesssim} C_{B}^{2p_{\perp}+1}(\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1} \Big[ \|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(W_{cR}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2} + R_{i}^{s+\varepsilon}\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{B}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\parallel}}p_{i}) \Big] \\ & \stackrel{\text{(55)}}{\cong} C_{B}^{2p_{\perp}+1}(\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1} \Big[ R^{-2p_{\parallel}-1}\Big[ \|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(W_{cR}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2} + R_{i}^{s+1}\widetilde{N}_{W_{R}}^{(p_{\parallel})}(p_{i},f) \Big] \\ & \stackrel{\text{(56)}}{\cong} (P_{i}^{p_{\parallel}}P_{i})^{2} \Big]$$

<sup>657</sup> where we have used Lemma 5.2 in the last step.

658 COROLLARY 6.9. Let  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} > 0$ . Let U be the solution of (3.7).

659 Then, there exists  $\gamma > 0$  depending only on s,  $\Omega$ ,  $\zeta$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and, for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , there exists 660  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  depending additionally on  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $\beta_{\perp} = (\beta_{\perp,1}, \beta_{\perp,2}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  and all  $\beta_{\parallel} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with 661  $p_{\perp} = \beta_{\perp,1} + \beta_{\perp,2}$ ,  $p_{\parallel} = \beta_{\parallel}$ , and  $p = p_{\perp} + p_{\parallel}$ , it holds that

662 (6.4) 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon} D_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega_{\mathbf{e}}^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \gamma^{2p+1} p^{2p} \widetilde{N}_{\Omega^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F,f).$$

663 *Proof.* This follows directly from Lemma 6.8 with  $R \simeq 1$  and from (4.2).

664 **6.2.3. Vertex-edge neighborhoods**  $\omega_{ve}$ . We have

 $r_{\mathbf{f}} \sim r_{\mathbf{e}}$  and  $r_{\mathbf{e}} \leq r_{\mathbf{v}}$  on  $\omega_{\mathbf{ve}}$ .

6	6	6
v	v	v

665

667 LEMMA 6.10 (Weighted  $H^p$ -regularity in  $\omega_{\mathbf{ve}}$ ). Let U be the solution of (3.7). There is  $\gamma > 0$ 668 depending only on s,  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  depending additionally 669 on  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $\beta_{\perp} = (\beta_{\perp,1}, \beta_{\perp,2}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  and  $\beta_{\parallel} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with  $p_{\perp} = \beta_{\perp,1} + \beta_{\perp,2}$ ,  $p_{\parallel} = \beta_{\parallel}$ , and 670  $p = p_{\perp} + p_{\parallel}$ , it holds that

671 (6.5) 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{v}}^{p_{\parallel}+\varepsilon}r_{\mathbf{e}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{\mathbf{e}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega_{\mathbf{ve}}^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2} \leq C_{\varepsilon}\gamma^{2p+1}p^{2p}\widetilde{N}_{\Omega^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F,f).$$

672 where  $D_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}} = D_{\mathbf{e}_{1,\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp,1}} D_{\mathbf{e}_{2,\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp,2}}$ .

Proof. We use the covering of wedges  $W_i \subset B_{cR_i}(x_i)$  with  $\widehat{W}_i \subset B_{R_i}(x_i)$  given by Lemma 6.5. We have, for a constant  $C_W > 1$ ,

$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall x \in \widehat{W}_i \qquad C_W^{-1} R_i \le r_{\mathbf{v}}(x) \le C_W R_i.$$

This manuscript is for review purposes only.

#### 676 Using this and Lemma 6.8,

The bound  $r_{\mathbf{v}}(x) \ge r_{\partial\Omega}(x)$ , the finite overlap of the wedges  $\widehat{W}_i$ , Lemma 5.1, and (4.2) conclude the proof.

681 **6.2.4. Face neighborhoods**  $\omega_{\mathbf{f}}$ . We write  $H_R^{\mathcal{Y}} := H_R \times (0, \mathcal{Y})$  and start with a weighted 682 regularity estimate on arbitrary half-balls centered on a face  $\mathbf{f}$ .

683 LEMMA 6.11 (Weighted 
$$H^p$$
-regularity in a half-ball). Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $x_0 \in \mathbf{f}$ ,  $R > 0$ ,  $\zeta > 0$  and let

$$H_R = B_R(x_0) \cap \Omega$$

be a half-ball. Let  $c \in (0, 1)$  and let U be the solution of (3.7). There is  $\gamma > 0$  depending only on s,  $\Omega$ ,  $\zeta$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  depending additionally on  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $\beta_{\parallel} = (\beta_{\parallel,1}, \beta_{\parallel,2}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  and  $\beta_{\perp} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with  $p_{\parallel} = \beta_{\parallel,1} + \beta_{\parallel,2}$ ,  $p_{\perp} = \beta_{\perp}$ , and  $p = p_{\parallel} + p_{\perp}$ , it holds that

$$\begin{array}{l} 688 \quad (6.6) \quad \|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(H^{\mathcal{Y}/4}_{cR})}^{2} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \gamma^{2p+1} p^{2p} \bigg[ R^{-2p_{\parallel}-1} \bigg( \|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(H^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R})}^{2} \\ + R^{s+1} \widetilde{N}_{W^{\mathcal{Y}}_{R}}^{(p_{\parallel})}(F,f) \bigg) + \widetilde{N}_{H^{\mathcal{Y}/2}_{\mathcal{P}}}^{(p_{\perp})} (D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}} F, D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{p_{\parallel}} f) \bigg] \end{array}$$

691 *Proof.* The case  $p_{\perp} = 0$  follows from Lemma 5.2 and the estimates (4.1), (4.2). We therefore 692 assume  $p_{\perp} \in \mathbb{N}$ .

693 Denote  $\tilde{c} = (c+1)/2 \in (c,1)$ . The arguments of Lemma 6.2 give a covering  $\bigcup_i B_i \supset H_{cR}$ 694 with balls  $B_i = B_{c_1r_f(x_i)}(x_i)$  and scaled balls  $\hat{B}_i = B_{\hat{c}_1r_f(x_i)}(x_i)$  such that  $\bigcup_i \hat{B}_i \subset H_{\bar{c}R}$ , if one 695 chooses the parameters  $c_1, \hat{c}_1 > 1$  small enough.

696 We denote  $R_i \coloneqq \hat{c}_1 \operatorname{dist}(x_i, \mathbf{f})$  the radius of the ball  $\hat{B}_i$  and note that, for some  $C_B > 1$ ,

697 (6.7) 
$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall x \in \widehat{B}_i \qquad C_B^{-1} R_i \le r_{\mathbf{f}}(x) = r_{\partial\Omega}(x) \le C_B R_i.$$

698 We assume (for convenience) that  $R_i \leq 1$  for all *i*.

699 We apply Lemma 5.3 to the function  $D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}U$  (noting that this function satisfies (3.11) with 700 data  $D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}f$ ,  $D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}F$ ) with the pair  $(B_i, \hat{B}_i)$  of concentric balls, with  $\mathcal{Y}/2$  instead of  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and with 701 constant denoted  $\gamma_1 \geq 1$ . For any  $\beta_{\parallel} = (\beta_{\parallel,1}, \beta_{\parallel,2}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  and  $\beta_{\perp} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with  $p_{\parallel} = |\beta_{\parallel}| \in \mathbb{N}$  and 702  $p_{\perp} = \beta_{\perp}$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{p} \nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(H_{cR}^{y/4})}^{2} \\ & \stackrel{\text{L.6.2}}{\leq} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(B_{i}^{y/4})}^{2} \\ & \stackrel{(6.7)}{\leq} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (C_{B}R_{i})^{2p_{\perp}+\varepsilon} \|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(B_{i}^{y/4})}^{2} \\ & \stackrel{\text{L.5.3}}{\leq} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (C_{B}R_{i})^{2p_{\perp}+\varepsilon} (\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1} R_{i}^{-2p_{\perp}-1} \Big[ \|D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(B_{i}^{y/2})}^{2} \\ & + R_{i}^{s+1} \widetilde{N}_{B_{i}^{y/2}}^{(p_{\perp})} (D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} F, D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} f) \Big] \\ & \stackrel{(6.3)}{\lesssim} C_{B}^{2p_{\perp}} (\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Big[ \|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(B_{i}^{y/2})}^{2} + R^{s+\varepsilon} \widetilde{N}_{B_{i}^{y/2}}^{(p_{\perp})} (D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} F, D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} f) \Big] \\ & \stackrel{(5.2)}{\lesssim} C_{B}^{2p_{\perp}} (\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1} \Big[ \|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(H_{cR}^{y/2})}^{2} + \widetilde{N}_{H_{cR}^{y/2}}^{(p_{\perp})} (D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} F, D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} f) \Big] \\ & \stackrel{(5.2)}{\lesssim} C_{B}^{2p_{\perp}} (\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1} \Big[ \|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon/2} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(H_{cR}^{y/2})}^{2} + \widetilde{N}_{H_{cR}^{y/2}}^{(p_{\parallel})} (D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} F, D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} f) \Big] \\ & \stackrel{(5.2)}{\underset{(5.2)}{\lesssim} C_{B}^{2p_{\perp}} (\gamma_{1}p_{\perp})^{2p_{\perp}+1} (\gamma_{2}p_{\parallel})^{2p_{\parallel}+1} \Big[ R^{-2p_{\parallel}-1} \Big( \|\nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(H_{cR}^{y})}^{2} + R^{s+1} \widetilde{N}_{H_{p}^{y}}^{(p_{\parallel})} (F, f) \Big) \\ & \quad + \widetilde{N}_{H_{p}^{y}^{2}/2}^{2} (D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} F, D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} f) \Big], \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 6.12. Let  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{Y} > 0$ . Let U be the solution of (3.7). Then, there exists  $\gamma > 0$ 706 depending only on s,  $\Omega$ ,  $\zeta$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  depending additionally on  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $\beta_{\parallel} = (\beta_{\parallel,1}, \beta_{\parallel,2}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  and  $\beta_{\perp} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with  $p_{\parallel} = \beta_{\parallel,1} + \beta_{\parallel,2}$ ,  $p_{\perp} = \beta_{\perp}$ , and 707 708  $p = p_{\parallel} + p_{\perp}$ , it holds that 709

710 (6.8) 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}} D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega_{\mathbf{f}}^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2} \leq C_{\varepsilon} \gamma^{2p+1} p^{2p} \widetilde{N}_{\Omega^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F,f).$$

*Proof.* This follows directly from Lemma 6.11 with  $R \simeq 1$  and from (4.2). 711

**6.2.5. Vertex-face neighborhoods**  $\omega_{\mathbf{vf}}$ . We have 712

$$r_{\mathbf{v}} \sim r_{\mathbf{e}}$$
 and  $r_{\mathbf{f}} \leq r_{\mathbf{e}}$  on  $\omega_{\mathbf{vf}}$ .

-7	1	- 71
		4
	-	-

713

LEMMA 6.13 (Weighted  $H^p$ -regularity in  $\omega_{vf}$ ). Let U be the solution of (3.7). There is  $\gamma > 0$ 715 depending only on s,  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  depending additionally 716 on  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $\beta_{\parallel} = (\beta_{\parallel,1}, \beta_{\parallel,2}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  and  $\beta_{\perp} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , with  $p_{\parallel} = \beta_{\parallel,1} + \beta_{\parallel,2}$ ,  $p_{\perp} = \beta_{\perp}$ , and 717  $p = p_{\parallel} + p_{\perp}$ , it holds that 718

719 (6.9) 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{v}}^{p_{\parallel}+\varepsilon}r_{\mathbf{f}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{f}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega_{\mathbf{vf}}^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2} \leq C_{\varepsilon}\gamma^{2p+1}p^{2p}\widetilde{N}_{\Omega^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F,f),$$

720 where 
$$D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} = D_{\mathbf{f}_{1,\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel,1}} D_{\mathbf{f}_{2,\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel,2}}$$
.

*Proof.* We use the covering of scaled half-balls  $H_i = B_{cR_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega$  with  $\hat{H}_i = B_{R_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega$ 721 722 given by Lemma 6.4. We have, for some constant  $C_{\mathcal{Y}} > 1$ ,

723 
$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall x \in \widehat{H}_i \qquad C_{\mathcal{Y}}^{-1} R_i \leq r_{\mathbf{v}}(x) \leq C_{\mathcal{Y}} R_i.$$
  
23

#### 724 Using this and Lemma 6.11, we obtain

$$725 \|r_{\mathbf{v}}^{p_{\parallel}+\varepsilon}r_{\mathbf{f}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{f}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(\omega_{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{f}}^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2} \\ \leq \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (C_{\mathcal{Y}}R_{i})^{2p_{\parallel}+2\varepsilon}\|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{f}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(H_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2} \\ \leq \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (C_{\mathcal{Y}}R_{i})^{2p_{\parallel}+2\varepsilon}\gamma_{1}^{2p+1}p^{2p} \bigg[R_{i}^{-2p_{\parallel}}\bigg(R_{i}^{-1}\|\nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}})}^{2}+R_{i}^{s}\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p_{\parallel})}(F,f)\bigg) \\ +\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\perp})}(D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}F,D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}f)\bigg] \\ \leq \gamma^{2p+1}p^{2p}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\bigg[\|r_{\mathbf{v}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon}\nabla U\|_{L_{\alpha}^{2}(\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}})}^{2}+\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p_{\parallel})}(F,f)+\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\perp})}(D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}F,D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}f)\bigg].$$

The bound  $r_{\mathbf{v}}(x) \ge r_{\partial\Omega}(x)$ , the finite overlap of the half-balls  $\widehat{H}_i$ , Lemma 5.1, and (4.2) conclude 727 the proof. 728

#### **6.2.6.** Edge-face neighborhoods $\omega_{ef}$ . We have 729

$$r_{\mathbf{f}} \le r_{\mathbf{e}} \qquad \text{on } \omega_{\mathbf{ef}}.$$

We recall the directional coordinates in Def. 2.2. 731

LEMMA 6.14 (Weighted  $H^p$ -regularity in  $\omega_{ef}$ ). Let U be the solution of (3.7). There is  $\gamma >$ 732 0 depending only on s,  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , such that for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  depending 733 additionally on  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $(\beta_{\parallel}, \beta_{\vDash}, \beta_{\perp}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ ,  $p = \beta_{\parallel} + \beta_{\vDash} + \beta_{\perp} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , 734

735 (6.10) 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{\beta_{\vDash}+\varepsilon}r_{\mathbf{f}}^{\beta_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\vDash}}^{\beta_{\vDash}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega_{\mathbf{ef}}^{\mathcal{Y}/8})}^{2} \leq C_{\varepsilon}\gamma^{2p+1}p^{2p}\widetilde{N}_{\Omega^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F,f) .$$

*Proof.* We write interchangeably  $p_{\bullet}$  and  $\beta_{\bullet}$ , for  $\bullet \in \{\models, \parallel, \bot\}$ . We use the covering of scaled 736 half-balls  $H_i = B_{cR_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega$  with  $\hat{H}_i = B_{R_i}(x_i) \cap \Omega$  given by Lemma 6.4. We have, for some 737 constant  $C_{\mathcal{V}} > 1$ , 738

739 
$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall x \in \widehat{H}_i \qquad C_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1} R_i \leq r_{\mathbf{e}}(x) \leq C_{\mathcal{V}} R_i.$$

0

Applying Lemma 6.11 to the function  $D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}U$ , which solves (3.7) with data  $D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}F$ ,  $D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}f$ , and 740 remarking that  $\mathbf{g}_{\models}$  is parallel to  $\mathbf{f}$ , 741

$$742 \|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{p_{\pm}+\varepsilon}r_{\mathbf{f}}^{p_{\pm}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\pm}}^{\beta_{\pm}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega_{\mathbf{ef}}^{\mathcal{Y}/8})}^{2} 
743 \leq \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (C_{\mathcal{Y}}R_{i})^{2p_{\pm}+2\varepsilon}\|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{p_{\pm}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\pm}}^{\beta_{\pm}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(H_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/8})}^{2} 
\leq \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} (C_{\mathcal{Y}}R_{i})^{2p_{\pm}+2\varepsilon}\gamma_{1}^{2(p_{\pm}+p_{\pm})+1}(p_{\pm}+p_{\pm})^{2(p_{\pm}+p_{\pm})}\left[R_{i}^{-2p_{\pm}}\left(R_{i}^{-1}\|D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2}+R_{i}^{s}\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\pm})}(D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}F,D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}f)\right)+\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/4}}^{(p_{\pm})}(D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\pm}}^{\beta_{\pm}}F,D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\pm}}^{\beta_{\pm}}f)\right] 
\leq \gamma^{2(p_{\pm}+p_{\pm})+1}(p_{\pm}+p_{\pm})^{2(p_{\pm}+p_{\pm})}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\left[\|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2}+\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\pm}+p_{\pm})}(F,f)+\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/4}}^{(p_{\pm})}(D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}F,D_{\mathbf{f}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}f)\right].$$

The bound  $r_{\mathbf{e}}(x) \ge r_{\partial\Omega}(x)$  and the finite overlap of the half-balls  $\hat{H}_i$  imply that we can apply Lemma 5.2 to obtain, for a constant C > 0 that depends on  $\xi$  and on the covering of half-balls,

746 
$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1/2+\varepsilon} D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} \nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\widehat{H}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2})}^{2} \leq CR^{-2p_{\parallel}-1} (\gamma p_{\parallel})^{2p_{\parallel}} (1+\gamma p_{\parallel}) \bigg( \|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{ef}}^{\mathcal{Y}})}^{2} + R^{s+1} \widetilde{N}_{\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{ef}}^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p_{\parallel})}(F,f) \bigg),$$

where  $\widetilde{\omega}_{ef}^{\mathcal{Y}}$  is a domain that contains the union of the half-balls  $\widehat{H}_i$  and where we can choose  $R \simeq 1$ . Equation (4.2) concludes the proof.

749 **6.2.7. Vertex-edge-face neighborhoods**  $\omega_{vef}$ . We have

750 
$$r_{\mathbf{f}} \le r_{\mathbf{e}} \le r_{\mathbf{v}}$$
 on  $\omega_{\mathbf{vef}}$ .

751 We recall the directional coordinates in Def. 2.2.

<sup>752</sup> LEMMA 6.15 (Weighted  $H^p$ -regularity in  $\omega_{vef}$ ). Let U be the solution of (3.7). There is  $\gamma > 0$ <sup>753</sup> depending only on s,  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , and for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ , there exists  $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$  depending additionally on <sup>754</sup>  $\varepsilon$  such that for all  $(\beta_{\parallel}, \beta_{\vDash}, \beta_{\perp}) \in \mathbb{N}^3_0$ ,  $p = \beta_{\parallel} + \beta_{\vDash} + \beta_{\perp} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

755 (6.11) 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{v}}^{\beta_{\parallel}+\varepsilon}r_{\mathbf{e}}^{\beta_{\vDash}+\varepsilon}r_{\mathbf{f}}^{\beta_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\vDash}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}}^{\beta_{\parallel}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega_{\mathbf{vef}}^{\mathcal{Y}/8})}^{2} \leq C_{\varepsilon}\gamma^{2p+1}p^{2p}\widetilde{N}_{\Omega^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p)}(F,f)$$

*Proof.* We write interchangeably  $p_{\bullet}$  and  $\beta_{\bullet}$ , for  $\bullet \in \{\vDash, \parallel, \bot\}$ . We use the covering of wedges  $W_i$ ,  $\widehat{W}_i$  given by Lemma 6.6. We have, for some constant  $C_W > 1$ ,

758 
$$\forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \forall x \in \widehat{W}_i \qquad C_W^{-1} R_i \le r_{\mathbf{v}}(x) \le C_W R_i.$$

The arguments of Lemma 6.3 give a covering  $\bigcup_j H_j \supset W_i$  with half-balls  $H_j = B_{c_1 r_f(x_j)}(x_j) \cap \Omega$ ,  $x_j \in \mathbf{f}$  and scaled half-balls  $\widehat{H}_j = B_{\widehat{c}_1 r_f(x_j)}(x_j) \cap \Omega$  such that  $\bigcup_j \widehat{H}_j \subset \widehat{W}_i$ , provided one chooses the parameters  $c_1, \widehat{c}_1 > 1$  small enough.

762 Consequently, as in the proof of Lemma 6.14, we have

763 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{e}}^{p_{\mathbb{H}}+\varepsilon}r_{\mathbf{f}}^{p_{\perp}-1/2+\varepsilon}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\perp}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\mathbb{H}}}^{\beta_{\mathbb{H}}}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(W_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/8})}^{2} \lesssim (\gamma_{1}p)^{2p+1} \left[R_{i}^{-2p_{\mathbb{H}}-1}\left(\|\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\widehat{W}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}})}^{2} + R_{i}^{s+1}\widetilde{N}_{\widehat{W}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p_{\mathbb{H}})}(F,f)\right) + \widetilde{N}_{\widehat{W}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(p_{\mathbb{H}}+p_{\mathbb{H}})}(F,f) + \widetilde{N}_{\widehat{W}_{i}^{\mathcal{Y}/2}}^{(p_{\perp})}(D_{\mathbf{f}_{\mathbb{H}}}^{\beta_{\mathbb{H}}}F, D_{\mathbf{f}_{\mathbb{H}}}^{\beta_{\mathbb{H}}}f)\right].$$

765 It follows that

The finite overlap of the wedges  $\widehat{W}_i$ , Lemma 5.1, and equation (4.2) conclude the proof.

# 6.2.8. Unified weighted analytic regularity bounds for *U*. We unify the bounds in all neighborhoods in the following statement.

PROPOSITION 6.16. Let  $\omega \subset \Omega$  be any set whose boundary intersect at most one  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{V}$ , one  $\mathbf{e} \in \mathcal{E}$ , and one  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $(\mathbf{g}_{\perp}, \mathbf{g}_{\models}, \mathbf{g}_{\parallel})$  be linearly independent unit vectors as in Def. 2.2. Then, there exists  $\gamma > 0$  such that for all t < 1/2, there exists  $C_t > 0$  such that for all  $\beta = (\beta_{\perp}, \beta_{\models}, \beta_{\parallel}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$  with  $\beta_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}} = (\beta_{\perp}, \beta_{\models}),$ 

775 
$$\|r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t}r_{\mathbf{v}}^{|\beta|}\rho_{\mathbf{ve}}^{|\beta_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}|}\rho_{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{f}}}^{\beta_{\perp}}D_{(\mathbf{g}_{\perp},\mathbf{g}_{\vDash},\mathbf{g}_{\parallel})}^{\beta}\nabla U\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega^{\mathcal{Y}/4})} \leq C_{t}\gamma^{2|\beta|+1}|\beta|^{2|\beta|}\widetilde{N}_{\Omega^{\mathcal{Y}}}^{(|\beta|)}(F,f)$$

6.3.  $H^p$ -regularity for the solution u in the polyhedron  $\Omega$ . The preceding analytic regularity bounds on the solution U of the CS extension (3.11) imply corresponding weighted, analytic regularity on the weak solution u of the integral fractional Laplacian in the polyhedron  $\Omega$  ie. (2.3) via (3.5b). Quantitative control of u in terms of U is achieved via the multiplicative trace estimate given in the next lemma.

781 LEMMA 6.17. Let  $\mathcal{Y} > 0$ . There exists  $C_{\mathrm{tr},\mathcal{Y}} > 0$  such that, for all  $V : \Omega \times (0,\mathcal{Y}) \to \mathbb{R}$  with 782  $V(x,\cdot) \in H^1_{\alpha}((0,\mathcal{Y}))$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , it holds that

783 (6.12) 
$$|V(x,0)|^2 \le C_{\mathrm{tr},\mathcal{Y}} \left( \|V(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2_\alpha((0,\mathcal{Y}))}^{1-\alpha} \|\partial_y V(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2_\alpha((0,\mathcal{Y}))}^{1+\alpha} + \|V(x,\cdot)\|_{L^2_\alpha((0,\mathcal{Y}))}^2 \right),$$

784 where, for a function  $v : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ , we write  $\|v\|_{L^2_{\alpha}((0,\mathcal{Y}))}^2 \coloneqq \int_0^{\mathcal{Y}} y^{\alpha} |v(y)|^2 dy$ .

*Proof.* From the proof of [KM19, Lem. 3.7], we have, for all  $W(x, \cdot) \in H^1_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,

786 (6.13) 
$$|W(x,0)|^{2} \leq C_{\rm tr} \left( \|W(x,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{+})}^{1-\alpha} \|\partial_{y}W(x,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{+})}^{1+\alpha} + \|W(x,\cdot)\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{+})}^{2} \right).$$

187 Let then  $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(-\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y})$  with  $\eta(0) = 1$  and  $\|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + \|\eta'\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_{\eta}$ . Choose  $W = \eta V$  in 188 (6.13). We obtain

789 
$$|V(x,0)|^2 = |(\eta V)(x,0)|^2$$

790 
$$\leq C_{\rm tr} \left( \| (\eta V)(x, \cdot) \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{+})}^{1-\alpha} \| (\partial_{y}(\eta V))(x, \cdot) \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{+})}^{1+\alpha} + \| (\eta V)(x, \cdot) \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}_{+})}^{2} \right)$$

791 
$$\leq C_{\mathrm{tr}} C_{\eta}^{2} \left( 2 \| V(x, \cdot) \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}((0,\mathcal{Y}))}^{1-\alpha} \| (\partial_{y}V)(x, \cdot) \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}((0,\mathcal{Y}))}^{1+\alpha} + 3 \| V(x, \cdot) \|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}((0,\mathcal{Y}))}^{2} \right),$$

where we have also used that  $(a+b)^{1+\alpha} \le 2(a^{1+\alpha}+b^{1+\alpha})$  for all  $\alpha \in (-1,1)$  and all non negative a, b.

Proof of Thm. 2.3. Assume  $|\beta| \ge 1$ . Using  $V = D^{\beta}_{(\mathbf{g}_{\perp},\mathbf{g}_{\models},\mathbf{g}_{\parallel})}U$  in (6.12) together with multiplication by  $r^{-2t-2s}_{\partial\Omega}r^{2|\beta_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}}_{\mathbf{v}}|\rho^{2|\beta_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}}_{\mathbf{v}}|\rho^{2|\beta_{\mathbf{e}_{\perp}}}_{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{f}}}|$  and integration over  $\omega$  leads to

796 
$$\left\| r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t-s} r_{\mathbf{v}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} r_{\mathbf{e}}^{\beta_{\vdash}} r_{\mathbf{f}}^{\beta_{\perp}} D_{(\mathbf{g}_{\perp},\mathbf{g}_{\vdash},\mathbf{g}_{\parallel})}^{\beta} u \right\|_{L^{2}(\omega)}^{2}$$

797 
$$\leq C_{\mathrm{tr},\mathcal{Y}} \left\| r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t-1} r_{\mathbf{v}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} r_{\mathbf{f}}^{\beta_{\vdash}} D_{(\mathbf{g}_{\perp},\mathbf{g}_{\vdash},\mathbf{g}_{\parallel})}^{\beta} U \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{1-\alpha} \left\| r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t} r_{\mathbf{v}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} r_{\mathbf{f}}^{\beta_{\vdash}} D_{(\mathbf{g}_{\perp},\mathbf{g}_{\vdash},\mathbf{g}_{\parallel})}^{\beta} \nabla U \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{1+\alpha}$$

798 
$$+ C_{\mathrm{tr},\mathcal{Y}} \left\| r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t-s} r_{\mathbf{v}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} r_{\mathbf{e}}^{\beta_{\vdash}} D_{(\mathbf{g}_{\perp},\mathbf{g}_{\vdash},\mathbf{g}_{\parallel})}^{\beta} U \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}^{2}.$$

On each neighborhood  $\omega$ , it either holds that  $r_{\partial\Omega} \simeq r_{\mathbf{v}}$  (when  $\partial\omega$  does not intersect with any face or edge of the boundary),  $r_{\partial\Omega} \simeq r_{\mathbf{e}}$  (when  $\partial\omega$  intersects with an edge but no face of the

boundary), or  $r_{\partial\Omega} = r_{\mathbf{f}}$ . Consequently, as  $|\beta| \ge 1$ , there is a suitable  $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$  with  $|\tilde{\beta}| = |\beta| - 1 \ge 0$ such that

803 
$$\left\| r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t-1} r_{\mathbf{v}}^{\beta_{\parallel}} r_{\mathbf{e}}^{\beta_{\perp}} r_{\mathbf{f}}^{\beta_{\perp}} D_{(\mathbf{g}_{\perp},\mathbf{g}_{\mathbb{F}},\mathbf{g}_{\parallel})}^{\beta} U \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega^{\mathcal{Y}/4})} \leq \left\| r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t} r_{\mathbf{v}}^{\widetilde{\beta}_{\parallel}} r_{\mathbf{e}}^{\widetilde{\beta}_{\perp}} T_{\mathbf{f}}^{\widetilde{\beta}_{\perp}} D_{(\mathbf{g}_{\perp},\mathbf{g}_{\mathbb{F}},\mathbf{g}_{\parallel})}^{\widetilde{\beta}} \nabla U \right\|_{L^{2}_{\alpha}(\omega^{\mathcal{Y}/4})}$$

Now, the statement follows from Proposition 6.16.

The case  $|\beta| = 0$  essentially follows from a 1D weighted Hardy inequality similarly as in [FMMS22]. Here, we illustrate the argument for the vertex-edge-face case  $\omega = \omega_{vef}$ , noting that the remaining cases correspond verbatim to discussions in [FMMS22].

We use the coordinates  $\{\mathbf{g}_{\parallel}, \mathbf{g}_{\models}, \mathbf{g}_{\perp}\}$  introduced in Definition 2.1 and – by rotation and translation – assume that the local orthogonal coordinate system coincides with the canonical coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . We introduce the equivalent vertex-edge-face neighborhood

811 
$$\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{vef}}^{\mu,\xi} := \{ x \in \Omega : x_1 \in (0,\mu), x_2 \in (0,\xi x_1), x_3 \in (0,\xi x_2) \}$$

and drop the superscripts in the following. We denote by  $\tilde{u}$  the function u in the coordinate system in  $\tilde{\omega}_{vef}$ . We remark that there exists  $c \ge 1$  such that in  $\tilde{\omega}_{vef}$  holds

814 (6.14) 
$$x_1 \le r_{\mathbf{v}}(x) \le cx_1, \qquad x_2 \le r_{\mathbf{e}}(x) \le cx_2$$

and we observe also  $r_{\mathbf{f}}(x) = x_3 = r_{\partial\Omega}(x)$ . Hence, for almost all  $x_1 \in (0, \mu)$  and  $x_2 \in (0, \xi x_1)$ , it holds that

817 (6.15) 
$$\left(x_3 \mapsto r_{\mathbf{f}}^{1-t-s}(D_{\mathbf{g}_{\perp}}\widetilde{u})(x)\right) \in L^2((0,\xi x_2)).$$

Now, the fundamental theorem of calculus, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and (6.15) imply

Hölder continuity of  $\tilde{u}(x_1, x_2, \cdot)$  for almost all  $x_1, x_2$ . As  $u \in H^s(\Omega)$ , we can therefore employ the Hardy inequality of [KMR97, Lem. 7.1.3], which gives

821 
$$\|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{-t-s}\widetilde{u}(x_1, x_2, \cdot)\|_{L^2((0,\xi x_2))} \le C \|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{1-t-s}(D_{\mathbf{g}_{\perp}}\widetilde{u})(x_1, x_2, \cdot)\|_{L^2((0,\xi x_2))},$$

with a constant *C* independent of  $x_1, x_2$ . Squaring, integrating in turn over  $x_2 \in (0, \xi x_1)$  and  $x_1 \in (0, \mu)$ , and using (6.14), we obtain

824 
$$\|r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t-s}\widetilde{u}\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{vef}})} = \|r_{\mathbf{f}}^{-t-s}\widetilde{u}\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{vef}})} \le C\|r_{\partial\Omega}^{-t-s}r_{\mathbf{f}}D_{\mathbf{g}_{\perp}}\widetilde{u}\|_{L^2(\widetilde{\omega}_{\mathbf{vef}})}$$

The term in the right-hand side of the above inequality has been bounded in the first part of this proof; this completes the proof except for the fact that the region  $\omega_{vef} \setminus \tilde{\omega}_{vef}$  is not covered yet. This region can be treated with modifying the parameter  $\xi$ , exactly as in [FMMS22, Rem. 5.8].

7. Conclusion. For the Dirichlet integral fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^s$  in a bounded, polytopal domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , subject to a source term f which is analytic in  $\overline{\Omega}$ , we proved weighted, analytic regularity of weak solutions. The analysis and the result extends the theory in polygons  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , developed in our previous work [FMMS22], to dimension d = 3.

As is well known from the numerical analysis of Galerkin approximations of solutions for elliptic PDEs, weighted Sobolev regularity of solutions has direct consequences for the approximation rate theory of numerical methods: boundary weighted Sobolev regularity and Besov regularity has recently been used to investigate the convergence rates of first order Galerkin FE discretizations on boundary-graded, shape-regular meshes in [BN23b]. The (boundary- and corner-) weighted analytic regularity proved in [FMMS22] is the basis of *exponential convergence rate bounds* for *hp*-FEM in space dimensions d = 1, 2 [BFM<sup>+</sup>23, FMMS23].

Directions for natural extensions of the present results in three space dimensions suggest 839 themselves: first, the presently developed proof and the geometric structure of the weights in  $\Omega$ 840 should facilitate analogous weighted analytic regularity results for integral fractional diffusion 841 such as  $(-\nabla \cdot A(x)\nabla)^s$ , with an anisotropic diffusion coefficient  $A(\cdot)$  being a uniformly positive 842 definite  $d \times d$  matrix, again with analytic in  $\overline{\Omega}$  entries. Likewise, the exponential convergence rate 843 bound established in [FMMS23] in the two-dimensional setting will generalize to the presently 844 considered, polyhedral setting, albeit with rate given by  $C \exp(-bN^{1/6})$ , with N denoting the 845 number of the degrees of freedom of the hp-FE subspace, and with constants b, C > 0 depending 846 on  $\Omega$ , f but not on N. Here, the larger number of geometric situations for  $\geq 3$  edges meeting in 847 one, common vertex of  $\partial \Omega$  will mandate significant extensions and additional technical issues 848 as compared to the proof in [FMMS23]. Details will be developed elsewhere. 849

Appendix A. Localization of fractional norms. The following lemma is a slightly improved 850 version of [FMMS22, Lemma A.1] 851

852 **LEMMA** A.1. Let 
$$R > 0$$
 such that  $B_R \subset \Omega$ ,  $c \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(B_{cR})$ , and  $s \in (0, 1)$ . Then,

853 (A.1) 
$$\|\eta f\|_{H^{-s}(\Omega)} \le C_{\text{loc}} \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})} \|f\|_{L^{2}(B_{cR})}$$

 $\|\eta f\|_{H^{1-s}(\Omega)} \le C_{\text{loc},2} \left[ \left( R^s \|\nabla \eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})} + (R^{s-1}+1) \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})} \right) \|f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})} \right]$ (A.2) 854  $+ \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cB})} |f|_{H^{1-s}(B_{B})}],$ 

where  $C_{\text{loc}}$  depends only on  $\Omega$  and s, and  $C_{\text{loc},2}$  depends additionally on c. 855

*Proof.* (A.1) follows directly from the embedding  $L^2 \subset H^{-s}$ . For (A.2), we start from the 856 definition of the Slobodecki semi-norm 857

858 
$$\left|\eta f\right|_{H^{1-s}(\Omega)}^2 = \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\eta(x)f(x) - \eta(z)f(z)|^2}{|x-z|^{d+2-2s}} \, dz \, dx$$

We denote the intermediate radius between R and cR as  $\tilde{R} = \frac{1+c}{2}R$  and write  $\tilde{c} = \frac{1-c}{2}$  so 859 that  $R - \tilde{R} = \tilde{R} - cR = \tilde{c}R$ . We split the integration over  $\Omega \times \Omega$  into four subsets, 860

- $B_{\widetilde{R}} \times B_R$ , 861

c

•  $B_{\tilde{R}} \times B_{R}^{c} \cap \Omega$ , •  $B_{\tilde{R}}^{c} \times B_{R}^{c} \cap \Omega$ , •  $B_{\tilde{R}}^{c} \cap \Omega \times B_{cR}^{c}$ , •  $B_{\tilde{R}}^{c} \cap \Omega \times B_{cR}^{c} \cap \Omega$ . For the last case, i.e., for all  $(x, z) \in B_{\tilde{R}}^{c} \cap \Omega \times B_{cR}^{c} \cap \Omega$ , we have that  $\eta(x) = \eta(z) = 0$  and the 865 integral is zero. Then, for all  $(x, z) \in B_{\widetilde{R}} \times B_R^c \cap \Omega$ , we have  $|x - z| \ge \tilde{c}R$ . Hence, using polar 866 coordinates centered at  $x_{i}$ 867

862 863 864

$$\int_{B_{\tilde{R}}} \int_{B_{R}^{c} \cap \Omega} \frac{|\eta(x)f(x) - \eta(z)f(z)|^{2}}{|x - z|^{d+2-2s}} dz \, dx = \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}} \int_{B_{R}^{c} \cap \Omega} \frac{|\eta(x)f(x)|^{2}}{|x - z|^{d+2-2s}} dz \, dx$$

869 
$$\leq \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}} |\eta(x)f(x)|^2 \int_{B_{R}^c} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{d+2-2s}} dz \, dx \lesssim \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}} |\eta(x)f(x)|^2 \int_{\tilde{c}R}^{\infty} r^{-3+2s} dr \, dx$$

870 
$$\lesssim (\tilde{c}R)^{-2+2s} \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})}^{2} \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}} |f(x)|^{2} dx \lesssim R^{-2+2s} \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})}^{2} \|f\|_{L^{2}(B_{\tilde{R}})}^{2}.$$

For the integration over  $B^c_{\widetilde{R}} \cap \Omega \times B_{cR}$ , we write using polar coordinates (centered at z) 871

872 
$$\int_{B_{\bar{R}}^{c}\cap\Omega} \int_{B_{cR}} \frac{|\eta(z)f(z)|^{2}}{|x-z|^{d+2-2s}} \, dz \, dx = \int_{B_{cR}} |\eta(z)f(z)|^{2} \int_{B_{\bar{R}}^{c}\cap\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{d+2-2s}} \, dx \, dz$$
28

873 
$$\lesssim \int_{B_{cR}} |\eta(z)f(z)|^2 \int_{\tilde{c}R}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{3-2s}} \, dr \, dz \lesssim R^{2s-2} \, \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})}^2 \, \|f\|_{L^2(B_{cR})}^2 \, .$$

Finally, for the integration over  $B_{\widetilde{R}} \times B_R$ , we use the triangle inequality 874

875 
$$\int_{B_{\bar{R}}} \int_{B_R} \frac{|\eta(x)f(x) - \eta(z)f(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{d+2-2s}} dz dx$$
  
876 
$$\leq \int \int \frac{|\eta(x)f(x) - \eta(x)f(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{d+2-2s}} dz dx + \int \int \frac{|\eta(x)f(x) - \eta(x)f(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{d+2-2s}} dz dx + \int \int \frac{|\eta(x)f(x) - \eta(x)f(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{d+2-2s}} dz dx + \int \int \frac{|\eta(x)f(x) - \eta(x)f(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{d+2-2s}} dz dx + \int \int \frac{|\eta(x)f(x) - \eta(x)f(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{d+2-2s}} dz dx$$

$$\lesssim \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}} \int_{B_{R}} \frac{|\eta(x)f(x) - \eta(x)f(z)|^{2}}{|x - z|^{d + 2 - 2s}} \, dz \, dx + \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}} \int_{B_{R}} \frac{|\eta(x)f(z) - \eta(z)f(z)|^{2}}{|x - z|^{d + 2 - 2s}} \, dz \, dx$$
  
=: (I) + (II)

877

8

8

We have 878

79 
$$(I) \le \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})} \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}} \int_{B_{R}} \frac{|f(x) - f(z)|^{2}}{|x - z|^{d + 2 - 2s}} \, dz \, dx \le \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})} |f|_{H^{1 - s}(B_{R})}.$$

Since  $|\eta(x) - \eta(z)| \le \|\nabla \eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})} |x - z|$  and using polar coordinates (centered at z) we esti-880 mate 881

882 
$$(II) \le \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})}^{2} \int_{B_{R}} |f(z)|^{2} \int_{B_{\tilde{R}}} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{d-2s}} \, dx \, dz$$

883 
$$\lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})}^{2} \int_{B_{R}} |f(z)|^{2} \int_{0}^{2R} r^{-1+2s} \, dr \, dz \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})}^{2} \|f\|_{L^{2}(B_{R})}^{2} R^{2s}.$$

The straightforward bound  $\|\eta f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\eta\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{cR})} \|f\|_{L^2(B_{cR})}$  concludes the proof. 884

## 885

902

906

910 911

#### REFERENCES

- [AB17] 886 G. Acosta and J.P. Borthagaray, A fractional Laplace equation: regularity of solutions and finite element approx-887 imations, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 55 (2017), no. 2, 472-495. MR 3620141 [BFM<sup>+</sup>23] B. Bahr, M. Faustmann, C. Marcati, J.M. Melenk, and Ch. Schwab, Exponential convergence of hp-FEM 888
- 889 for the integral fractional Laplacian in 1D, Spectral and High Order Methods for Partial Differential Equations ICOSAHOM 2020+1 (J.M. Melenk, I. Perugia, J. Schöberl, and C. Schwab, eds.), Lecture 890 891 Notes in Computational Science and Engineering, vol. 137, Springer Verlag, 2023, pp. 291–306. [BG88] I. Babuška and B.Q. Guo, Regularity of the solution of elliptic problems with piecewise analytic data. I. Boundary 892 893
- value problems for linear elliptic equation of second order, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 19 (1988), no. 1, 172-203. 894 MR 924554
- 895 [BLN22] Juan Pablo Borthagaray, Wenbo Li, and Ricardo H. Nochetto, Fractional Elliptic Problems on Lipschitz Do-896 mains: Regularity and Approximation, 2022. 897
- [BN23a] Juan Pablo Borthagaray and Ricardo H. Nochetto, Besov regularity for the Dirichlet integral fractional Laplacian 898 in Lipschitz domains, J. Funct. Anal. 284 (2023), no. 6, Paper No. 109829, 33. MR 4530901
- [BN23b] 899 , Constructive approximation on graded meshes for the integral fractional Laplacian, Constr. Approx. 57 (2023), no. 2, 463-487. MR 4577390 900 901
  - L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, Comm. Partial Differen-[CS07] tial Equations 32 (2007), no. 7-9, 1245-1260.
- 903 [CS16] L.A. Caffarelli and P.R. Stinga, Fractional elliptic equations, Caccioppoli estimates and regularity, Ann. Inst. H. 904 Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire 33 (2016), no. 3, 767-807. MR 3489634 905
  - [Dau88] Monique Dauge, Elliptic boundary value problems on corner domains, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1341, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, Smoothness and asymptotics of solutions. MR 961439
- [DL54] 907 J. Deny and J. L. Lions, Les espaces du type de Beppo Levi, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 5 (1954), 305–370. 908 MR 74787 909
  - [Eva98] L.C. Evans, Partial differential equations, American Mathematical Society, 1998.
  - [FMMS22] M. Faustmann, C. Marcati, J.M. Melenk, and Ch. Schwab, Weighted analytic regularity for the integral fractional Laplacian in polygons, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 54 (2022), no. 6, 6323-6357.

912	[FMMS23]	M. Faustmann, C. Marcati, J. Melenk, and Ch. Schwab, <i>Exponential Convergence of hp-FEM for the Integral</i>
913		Fractional Laplacian in Polygons, SIAM Journ. Numer. Analysis (to appear) (2023).
914	[GB97]	B. Guo and I. Babuška, Regularity of the solutions for elliptic problems on nonsmooth domains in $\mathbb{R}^3$ . II. Reg-
915		ularity in neighbourhoods of edges, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 127 (1997), no. 3, 517–545. MR
916		1453280
917	[Gri11]	P. Grisvard, Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains, Classics in Applied Mathematics, vol. 69, Society for
918		Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2011.
919	[HMW13]	T. Horger, J.M. Melenk, and B. Wohlmuth, On optimal $L^2$ - and surface flux convergence in FEM, Comput.
920		Vis. Sci. <b>16</b> (2013), no. 5, 231–246.
921	[KM19]	M. Karkulik and J.M. Melenk, H-matrix approximability of inverses of discretizations of the fractional Laplacian,
922		Adv. Comput. Math. 45 (2019), no. 5-6, 2893–2919.
923	[KMR97]	V.A. Kozlov, V.G. Maz'ya, and J. Rossmann, Elliptic boundary value problems in domains with point singular-
924		ities, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 52, American Mathematical Society, Providence,
925		RI, 1997.
926	[Kwa17]	M. Kwaśnicki, Ten equivalent definitions of the fractional Laplace operator, Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 20 (2017),
927		no. 1, 7–51.
928	[MR10]	V. Maz'ya and J. Rossmann, Elliptic equations in polyhedral domains, Mathematical Surveys and Mono-
929		graphs, vol. 162, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010. MR 2641539
930	[MW12]	J.M. Melenk and B. Wohlmuth, Quasi-optimal approximation of surface based Lagrange multipliers in finite
931		element methods, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 50 (2012), no. 4, 2064–2087. MR 3022210
932	[Sav98]	G. Savaré, Regularity results for elliptic equations in Lipschitz domains, J. Funct. Anal. 152 (1998), no. 1, 176-
933		201.