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#### Abstract

This paper is concerned with the inverse problem of reconstructing small and local perturbations of a planar surface using the field interaction between a known plasmonic particle and the planar surface. The aim is to perform a super-resolved reconstruction of these perturbations from shifts in the plasmonic frequencies of the particle-surface system. In order to analyze the interaction between the plasmonic particle and the planar surface, a well chosen conformal mapping, which transforms the particle-surface system into a coated structure, is used. Then the even Fourier coefficients of the transformed domain are related to the shifts in the plasmonic resonances of the particle-surface system. A direct reconstruction of the perturbations of the planar surface is proposed. Its viability and limitations are documented by numerical examples.
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## 1 Introduction

In conventional optical imaging and spectroscopy, a sample is typically illuminated by a light source and the scattered light is recorded by a detector. The formed images are diffraction-limited. The diffraction limit essentially means that visible light cannot image nanomaterials.

In near-field optics, by placing a probe to exploit the unique properties of metal nanostructures at optical frequencies to localize incident illumination and enhance the lightmatter interaction on the sample, one breaks the resolution limit [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 29, 30]. Typically, the probe is a resonant plasmonic nanoparticle. At resonant frequencies, a light wave incident on the plasmonic probe gives rise to a greatly amplified electric field just outside the probe, which then affects the nearby sample [29, 30]. When the plasmonic

[^0]probe scans the sample surface one can form an image with a resolution much smaller than the diffraction limit. The physical mechanism is based on the excitation of plasmonic modes at same particular frequencies at the nanoprobe and their coupling with the evanescent light. The plasmonic particle interacts with the surface and propagates its near field information into the far-field $[12,13,14,29,30]$. The plasmon resonant frequency is one of the most important characterization of a plasmonic particle. It depends not only on the electromagnetic properties of the particle and its size and shape $[8,9,26,31]$, but also on the electromagnetic properties of the environment $[8,26,28]$. It is the last property which enables sensing applications of plasmonic particles [28].

In this paper, we first provide a mathematical and computational framework to elucidate physical mechanisms for going beyond this diffraction limit in near-field optics. We mathematically and numerically analyze the intriguing behavior of light under the influence of plasmonics which allows nano-sensing of samples by using a localized surface plasmonic mode at the nanoprobe. We consider a plasmonic nanoparticle placed near a locally perturbed planar surface. We propose a mathematical and numerical framework to quantitatively image the sample from shifts in the plasmonic resonances due to the coupling between the nanoparticle and the perturbed planar surface. The key idea is to use a well-chosen conformal mapping and to express the far-field and the shifts in the plasmonic resonances in terms of the Fourier coefficients of the transformed domain. We compare the reconstructed images to those obtained from the contracted generalized polarization tensors of the transformed domain, which are the gold standard in wave imaging of small particles $[2,6,7,10]$.

Our results in this work extend those in [10, 11], where a two particle system is considered. In $[10,11]$, the system is composed of a known plasmonic particle and a small object. By varying the relative position of the particles, it is shown that fine details of the shape of the small object can be reconstructed from the induced shifts of the plasmonic resonant frequencies of the plasmonic particle.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide basic results on layer potentials and then explain the concepts of plasmonic resonances and contracted generalized polarization tensors. In Section 3, we consider the forward scattering problem of the incident field interaction with a system composed of a plasmonic particle and a perturbed planar surface. We apply a Möbius transformation in order to transform the plasmonic particle and the half-plane into concentric disks. Then we derive the asymptotic expansions of the scattered field and the plasmonic resonances of the particle-surface system. In Section 4, we consider the inverse problem of reconstructing the perturbations of the planar surface. This is done by relating the the shifts in the resonances of the particleplanar surface system to the Fourier coefficients of the transformed domain. In Section 4, we provide numerical examples to justify our theoretical results and to illustrate the performances of the proposed Fourier-based reconstruction scheme. In particular, we compare the reconstructed images to those obtained from the contracted generalized polarization tensors of the transformed domain.

## 2 Preliminary results

### 2.1 Layer potentials

We recall some basic of layer potential theory that are needed for subsequent analysis. We refer to [4] for more details. We denote by $\Gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ the fundamental solution of the Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\Gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}| .
$$

Let $D$ be a domain $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\mathcal{C}^{1, \eta}$ boundary for some $\eta>0$, and let $\nu(\mathbf{x})$ be the outward normal for $B x \in \partial D$.

The single-layer potential $\mathcal{S}_{D}$ associated with $D$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{S}_{D}[\varphi](\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial D} \Gamma(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi(\mathbf{y}) d \sigma(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

and the Neumann-Poincaré (NP) operator $\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}$ by

$$
\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}[\varphi](\mathbf{x})=\int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \nu(\mathbf{x})}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \varphi(\mathbf{y}) d \sigma(\mathbf{y}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial D
$$

The following jump relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\mathcal{S}_{D}[\varphi]\right|_{+} & =\left.\mathcal{S}_{D}[\varphi]\right|_{-}  \tag{2.1}\\
\left.\frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{D}[\varphi]}{\partial \nu}\right|_{ \pm} & =\left( \pm \frac{1}{2} I+\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}\right)[\varphi] . \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the subscripts + and - indicate the limits from outside and inside $D$, respectively.
Let $H^{1 / 2}(\partial D)$ be the usual Sobolev space and let $H^{-1 / 2}(\partial D)$ be its dual space with respect to the duality pairing $(\cdot, \cdot)_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$. We denote by $H_{0}^{-1 / 2}(\partial D)$ the collection of all $\varphi \in H^{-1 / 2}(\partial D)$ such that $(\varphi, 1)_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}=0$.

The NP operator is bounded from $H^{-1 / 2}(\partial D)$ to $H^{-1 / 2}(\partial D)$. Moreover, the operator $\lambda I-\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}: L^{2}(\partial D) \rightarrow L^{2}(\partial D)$ is invertible for any $|\lambda|>1 / 2[4,25]$. Although the NP operator is not self-adjoint on $L^{2}(\partial D)$, it can be symmetrized on $H_{0}^{-1 / 2}(\partial D)$ with a proper inner product $[15,8]$. In fact, let $\mathcal{H}^{*}(\partial D)$ be the space $H_{0}^{-1 / 2}(\partial D)$ equipped with the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}^{*}(\partial D)}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\varphi, \psi)_{\mathcal{H}^{*}(\partial D)}=-\left(\varphi, \mathcal{S}_{D}[\psi]\right)_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\varphi, \psi \in H^{-1 / 2}(\partial D)$. Then using the Plemelj's symmetrization principle,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{D} \mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}=\mathcal{K}_{D} \mathcal{S}_{D},
$$

it can be shown that the NP operator $\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}$ is self-adjoint in $\mathcal{H}^{*}$ with the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}^{*}(\partial D)}[15,27]$. Since $\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}$ is also compact, it admits the following spectral decomposition in $\mathcal{H}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}\left(\cdot, \varphi_{j}\right)_{\mathcal{H}^{*}} \varphi_{j}, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{j}$ are the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}$ and $\varphi_{j}$ are their associated eigenfunctions. Note that $\left|\lambda_{j}\right|<1 / 2$ for all $j \geq 1$.

### 2.2 Plasmonic resonance in the free space

In this subsection, we are interested in the frequency regime where plasmonic resonances occur in the free space. In such a regime, the wavelength of the incident field is much greater than the size of the plasmonic particle. To further simplify the analysis and better illustrate the main idea, we use the quasi-static approximation (by assuming the incident wavelength to be infinite) to model the interaction. More precisely, let $\Omega$ represent a plasmonic particle with permittivity $\varepsilon_{c}$ embedded in the homogeneous space $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with permittivity $\varepsilon_{m}$. We consider the following transmission problem with given incident field $u^{i}$ which is harmonic in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla \cdot(\varepsilon \nabla u)=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2},  \tag{2.5}\\
\left(u-u^{i}\right)(\mathbf{x})=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}\right) \quad \text { as }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{c} \chi(\Omega)+\varepsilon_{m} \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$, and $\chi(\Omega)$ and $\chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)$ are the characteristic functions of $\Omega$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$, respectively.

Problem (2.5) describes the response of the plasmonic particle $\Omega$ to the illumination $u^{i}$ in the quasi-static limit.

The total field $u$ outside of $\Omega$ can be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u^{i}+\mathcal{S}_{\Omega}[\varphi], \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the density $\varphi$ satisfies the boundary integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda I-\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{*}\right)[\varphi]=\left.\frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\partial \Omega} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the permittivity contrast $\lambda$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{\varepsilon_{c}+\varepsilon_{m}}{2\left(\varepsilon_{c}-\varepsilon_{m}\right)} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Contrary to ordinary dielectric particles, the permittivity $\varepsilon_{c}$ of the plasmonic particle has negative real parts. In fact, $\varepsilon_{c}$ depends on the operating frequency $\omega$ and can be modeled by the following Drude's model

$$
\varepsilon_{c}=\varepsilon_{c}(\omega)=1-\frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{\omega(\omega+i \gamma)},
$$

where $\omega_{p}>0$ is called the plasma frequency and $\gamma>0$ is the damping parameter. Since the parameter $\gamma$ is typically very small, $\varepsilon_{c}(\omega)$ has a small imaginary part.

Now we discuss the plasmonic resonances. By applying the spectral decomposition (2.4) of $\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{*}$ to the integral equation (2.7), we obtain

$$
\varphi=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial \nu}, \varphi_{j}\right)_{\mathcal{H}^{*}(\partial \Omega)}}{\lambda-\lambda_{j}} \varphi_{j} .
$$

Recall that $\lambda_{j}$ are eigenvalues $\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{*}$ and they satisfy the condition that $\left|\lambda_{j}\right|<1 / 2$. For $\omega<\omega_{p}, \Re\left\{\varepsilon_{c}(\omega)\right\}$ can take negative values. Then it holds that $|\Re\{\lambda(\omega)\}|<1 / 2$. If there exists a frequency, say $\omega_{j}$, such that $\lambda\left(\omega_{j}\right)$ is close to an eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}$ of the NP
operator and their difference is locally minimized. Provided that $\left(\frac{\partial u^{i}}{\partial \nu}, \varphi_{j}\right)_{\mathcal{H}^{*}(\partial \Omega)} \neq 0$, the eigenmode $\varphi_{j}$ in (2.2) will be fully excited and it dominates over other modes. As a result, the scattered field $u-u^{i}$ will show a pronounced peak at the frequency $\omega_{j}$. This phenomenon is called the plasmonic resonance and $\omega_{j}$ is called the plasmonic resonant frequency. We refer the reader to $[15,16,8]$ for the details.

When $\Omega$ is an ellipse of the form

$$
\Omega=\left\{\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \frac{x_{1}^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{x_{2}^{2}}{b^{2}} \leq 1\right\},
$$

for some constants $a, b$ with $a<b$, we can compute the eigenvalues of the NP operator $\mathcal{K}_{\Omega}^{*}$ explicitly. In fact, they are given by

$$
\pm \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{b-a}{b+a}\right)^{j}, \quad j=1,2,3, \cdots .
$$

If $a=b$, then $\Omega$ is a circular disk of radius $a$. In this case, zero is the only eigenvalue.

### 2.3 Contracted generalized polarization tensors

In this subsection, we explain the concept of the generalized polarization tensors (GPTs) associated with a smooth bounded domain $D$ having a permittivity $\epsilon_{D}$. Let $u$ be the solution of (2.5) and let $\lambda_{D}$ be defined by (2.8) with $\varepsilon_{c}$ replaced by $\varepsilon_{D}$. The scattered field $u-u^{i}$ has the following far-field behavior [4, p. 77]

$$
\left(u-u^{i}\right)(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{|\alpha|,|\beta| \leq 1} \frac{1}{\alpha!\beta!} \partial^{\alpha} u^{i}(0) M_{\alpha \beta}\left(\lambda_{D}, D\right) \partial^{\beta} \Gamma(\mathbf{x}), \quad|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

where $M_{\alpha \beta}\left(\lambda_{D}, D\right)$ is given by

$$
M_{\alpha \beta}\left(\lambda_{D}, D\right):=\int_{\partial D} y^{\beta}\left(\lambda_{D} I-\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{\alpha}}{\partial \nu}\right](\mathbf{y}) d \sigma(\mathbf{y}), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{2} .
$$

Here, the coefficient $M_{\alpha \beta}\left(\lambda_{D}, D\right)$ is called the generalized polarization tensor [4].
For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_{m}(\mathbf{x})$ be the complex-valued polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}(\mathbf{x})=r^{m} \cos m \theta+i r^{m} \sin m \theta, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathbf{x}=r e^{i \theta}$ in the polar coordinates.
For $n$ and $m$ in $\mathbb{N}$, we define the contracted generalized polarization tensors (CGPTs) to be the following linear combinations of generalized polarization tensors using the homogeneous harmonic polynomials introduced in (2.9):

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{m n}^{c c}\left(\lambda_{D}, D\right) & =\int_{\partial D} \Re\left\{P_{n}\right\}\left(\lambda_{D} I-\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial \Re\left\{P_{m}\right\}}{\partial \nu}\right] d \sigma, \\
M_{m n}^{c s}\left(\lambda_{D}, D\right) & =\int_{\partial D} \Im\left\{P_{n}\right\}\left(\lambda_{D} I-\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial \Re\left\{P_{m}\right\}}{\partial \nu}\right] d \sigma, \\
M_{m n}^{s c}\left(\lambda_{D}, D\right) & =\int_{\partial D} \Re\left\{P_{n}\right\}\left(\lambda_{D} I-\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial \Im\left\{P_{m}\right\}}{\partial \nu}\right] d \sigma,  \tag{2.10}\\
M_{m n}^{s s}\left(\lambda_{D}, D\right) & =\int_{\partial D} \Im\left\{P_{n}\right\}\left(\lambda_{D} I-\mathcal{K}_{D}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial \Im\left\{P_{m}\right\}}{\partial \nu}\right] d \sigma .
\end{align*}
$$

We remark that CGPTs defined above encodes useful information about the shape of the particle $D$ and can be used for its reconstruction. See $[3,4,5,7]$ for more details.

## 3 The forward problem

In this section, we consider a plasmonic nanoparticle place close to a locally perturbed planar surface. We let $\mathbb{H}_{0}$ to be the (unperturbed) lower half-plane

$$
\mathbb{H}_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \quad x_{2}<0\right\}
$$

with $\partial \mathbb{H}_{0}=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: \mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, 0\right)\right\}$ being its boundary.
We define $\mathbb{H}_{\delta}$ to be a $\delta$-perturbation of $\mathbb{H}_{0}$, i.e., we let $h_{0} \in \mathcal{C}\left(\partial \mathbb{H}_{0}\right)$ and $\partial \mathbb{H}_{\delta}$ be given by

$$
\partial \mathbb{H}_{\delta}=\left\{\mathbf{x}+\delta h_{0}(\mathbf{x}) \nu(\mathbf{x}): \mathbf{x} \in \partial \mathbb{H}_{0}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp}\left(h_{0}\right) \subset[-R, R] \quad \text { for some } R>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we define a plasmonic particle $\Omega$ :

$$
\Omega=\left\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|\mathbf{x}-(0, d \delta)|<\delta\right\},
$$

for some constant $d=O(1)$, where $\delta$ is the radius of the plasmonic particle and $d \delta$ is the distance between the center of $\Omega$ and $\mathbb{H}_{0}$.

### 3.1 Transmission problem in the perturbed half-space

Now, given $u^{i}$, we consider the following transmission problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nabla \cdot(\varepsilon \nabla u)=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\left(\partial \mathbb{H}_{\delta} \cup \partial \Omega\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
\left.u\right|_{+}=\left.u\right|_{-} \quad \text { on } \partial \mathbb{H}_{\delta} \cup \partial \Omega \\
\left.\varepsilon_{+} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}=\left.\varepsilon_{-} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} \quad \text { on } \partial \mathbb{H}_{\delta}, \\
\left.\varepsilon_{c} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{+}=\left.\varepsilon_{+} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega \\
\left(u-u^{i}\right)(\mathbf{x})=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}\right) \quad \text { as }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{-} \chi\left(\mathbb{H}_{\delta}\right)+\varepsilon_{+} \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\left(\mathbb{H}_{\delta} \cup \Omega\right)\right)+\varepsilon_{c} \chi(\Omega)$ with $\varepsilon_{-}, \varepsilon_{+}>0$.
Define the conformal mapping $\Phi$, for $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, by

$$
\Phi(z)=\frac{z+i \delta \sqrt{d^{2}-1}}{z-i \delta \sqrt{d^{2}-1}}, \quad z=x_{1}+i x_{2} .
$$

Then $\mathbb{H}_{\delta}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}$ are transformed into the domains

$$
D_{1, \delta}:=\Phi\left(\mathbb{H}_{\delta}\right) \text { and } D_{2}:=\Phi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \bar{\Omega}\right)=\left\{|\zeta|<d+\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right\} .
$$

Note that the original domain $D_{1,0}$ is the unit disk as

$$
D_{1,0}=\Phi\left(\mathbb{H}_{0}\right)=\{|\zeta|<1\} .
$$

Since $D_{1, \delta}$ is a $\delta$-perturbation of $D_{1,0}$, there is $h \in \mathcal{C}\left(\partial D_{1,0}\right)$ such that $\partial D_{1, \delta}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial D_{1, \delta}=\left\{\mathbf{x}+\delta h(\mathbf{x}) \nu(\mathbf{x}): \mathbf{x} \in \partial D_{1,0}\right\}=\left\{e^{i \theta}+\delta h(\theta) e^{i \theta}: \theta \in[0,2 \pi)\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following Fig 3.1 describes the transforming system of the whole domain.

(a) $h_{0}$ perturbation on the half-plane: $\partial \mathbb{H}_{\delta}$

(b) $h$ perturbation on the unit disk: $\partial D_{1, \delta}$

Figure 3.1: The plasmonic particle(left,blue) and the perturbed half-plane(left,red) are transformed into concentric perturbed disks(right).

For convenience, we denote $D_{1, \delta}$ by $D_{1}$. Then the transformed potential $\widetilde{u}(\xi):=u(\mathbf{x})$ for $\xi=\Phi(z)$ with $z=x_{1}+i x_{2}$ satisfies the transmission problem

$$
\begin{cases}\nabla \cdot(\tilde{\varepsilon} \nabla \tilde{u})=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\left(\partial D_{1} \cup \partial D_{2}\right), \\ \left.\tilde{u}\right|_{+}=\left.\tilde{u}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial D_{1} \cup \partial D_{2}, \\ \left.\varepsilon_{+} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu_{1}}\right|_{+}=\left.\varepsilon_{-} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu_{1}}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial D_{1}, \\ \left.\varepsilon_{c} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu_{2}}\right|_{+}=\left.\varepsilon_{+} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu_{2}}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial D_{2}, \\ \left(\tilde{u}-\tilde{u}^{i}\right)(\zeta)=O(|\zeta-(1,0)|) & \text { as } \zeta \rightarrow(1,0),\end{cases}
$$

where $\tilde{\varepsilon}=\varepsilon_{-} \chi\left(D_{1}\right)+\varepsilon_{+} \chi\left(D_{2} \backslash \bar{D}_{1}\right)+\varepsilon_{c} \chi\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash D_{2}\right)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{i}}$ denotes the outward normal derivative with respect to $\partial D_{i}$ for $i=1,2$.

Since the solution $\tilde{u}$ can be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}=\tilde{u}^{i}+\mathcal{S}_{D_{1}}\left[\phi_{1}\right]+\mathcal{S}_{D_{2}}\left[\phi_{2}\right], \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the densities $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ satisfy the system of integral equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\varepsilon_{+} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{1}}\left(\tilde{u}^{i}+\mathcal{S}_{D_{1}}\left[\phi_{1}\right]+\mathcal{S}_{D_{2}}\left[\phi_{2}\right]\right)\right|_{+}=\left.\varepsilon_{-} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{1}}\left(\tilde{u}^{i}+\mathcal{S}_{D_{1}}\left[\phi_{1}\right]+\mathcal{S}_{D_{2}}\left[\phi_{2}\right]\right)\right|_{-} \quad \text { on } \partial D_{1},  \tag{3.5}\\
\left.\varepsilon_{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{2}}\left(\tilde{u}^{i}+\mathcal{S}_{D_{1}}\left[\phi_{1}\right]+\mathcal{S}_{D_{2}}\left[\phi_{2}\right]\right)\right|_{+}=\left.\varepsilon_{+} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{2}}\left(\tilde{u}^{i}+\mathcal{S}_{D_{1}}\left[\phi_{1}\right]+\mathcal{S}_{D_{2}}\left[\phi_{2}\right]\right)\right|_{-} \quad \text { on } \partial D_{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\lambda_{-+} I-\mathcal{K}_{D_{1}}^{*}\right)\left[\phi_{1}\right]=\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{1}}\left(\tilde{u}^{i}+\mathcal{S}_{D_{2}}\left[\phi_{2}\right]\right) & \text { on } \partial D_{1}  \tag{3.6}\\ \left(\lambda_{+c} I-\mathcal{K}_{D_{2}}^{*}\right)\left[\phi_{2}\right]=\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{2}}\left(\tilde{u}^{i}+\mathcal{S}_{D_{1}}\left[\phi_{1}\right]\right) & \text { on } \partial D_{2}\end{cases}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{-+}=\frac{\varepsilon_{-}+\varepsilon_{+}}{2\left(\varepsilon_{-}-\varepsilon_{+}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{+c}=\frac{\varepsilon_{+}+\varepsilon_{c}}{2\left(\varepsilon_{+}-\varepsilon_{c}\right)} \text {. } \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\lambda_{-+}\right|>\frac{1}{2}$ and $\left|\lambda_{+c}\right|<\frac{1}{2}$, the operator $\left(\lambda_{-+} I-\mathcal{K}_{D_{1}}^{*}\right)$ is invertible. Then we have from (3.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}=\left(\lambda_{-+} I-\mathcal{K}_{D_{1}}^{*}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{1}}\left(\tilde{u}^{i}+\mathcal{S}_{D_{2}}\left[\phi_{2}\right]\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting (3.8) into (3.6), we get the following result.
Proposition 3.1. The density $\phi_{2}$ on $\partial D_{2}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\left(\lambda_{+c} I-\mathcal{A}\right)\left[\phi_{2}\right]=\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{D_{1}}}{\partial \nu_{2}} \quad \text { on } \partial D_{2},
$$

where the operator $\mathcal{A}$ is given by

$$
\mathcal{A}:=\mathcal{K}_{D_{2}}^{*}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_{2}} \mathcal{S}_{D_{1}}\left(\lambda_{-+} I-\mathcal{K}_{D_{1}}^{*}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \mathcal{S}_{D_{2}}[\cdot]}{\partial \nu_{1}},
$$

and

$$
\tilde{u}_{D_{1}}:=\tilde{u}^{i}+\mathcal{S}_{D_{1}}\left(\lambda_{-+} I-\mathcal{K}_{D_{1}}^{*}\right)^{-1}\left[\frac{\partial \tilde{u}^{i}}{\partial \nu_{1}}\right] .
$$

### 3.2 Matrix representation of the operator $\mathcal{A}$

Let us now compute the operator $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{H}^{*}\left(\partial D_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{*}\left(\partial D_{2}\right)$, where $\mathcal{H}^{*}\left(\partial D_{2}\right)$ is defined by (2.3) with $D$ replaced by $D_{2}$. Since $\partial D_{1,0}$ is the unit circle, we use the Fourier basis $\left\{e^{i n \theta}\right\}_{n \neq 0}$ as a basis of $\mathcal{H}^{*}\left(\partial D_{2}\right)$. Let $(r, \theta)$ be the polar coordinates in the $\zeta$-plane, i.e., $\zeta=r e^{i \theta}$. Then the following proposition holds.

Proposition 3.2. When $D_{1}=D_{1,0}$ (i.e., for $\delta=0$ ), we have for $\zeta=\left(d+\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right) e^{i \theta} \in$ $\partial D_{2}$,

$$
\mathcal{A}\left[e^{i n \theta}\right](\zeta)=-\frac{1}{4 \lambda_{-+}}\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{2|n|} e^{i n \theta} \quad \text { for } n \neq 0
$$

where $\lambda_{-+}$is defined by (3.7)
Proof. Since $\partial D_{1}$ and $\partial D_{2}$ are circles,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{D_{1}}^{*}\left[e^{i n \theta}\right]=\mathcal{K}_{D_{2}}^{*}\left[e^{i n \theta}\right]=0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

see, for instance, [2]. Moreover, from [1] it follows that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \mathcal{S}_{B}\left[e^{i n \theta}\right](w)= \begin{cases}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{r_{0}}\right)^{|n|} e^{i n \theta} & \text { if }|w|=r<r_{0}  \tag{3.10}\\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r_{0}}{r}\right)^{|n|} e^{i n \theta} & \text { if }|w|=r>r_{0}\end{cases}
$$

where $B$ is the disk centered at the origin and with radius $r_{0}$.
By using (3.9) and (3.10) (with $B$ replaced by $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ ), we obtain

$$
\mathcal{A}\left[e^{i n \theta}\right](\zeta)=-\frac{1}{4 \lambda_{-+}}\left(\frac{1}{d+\sqrt{d^{2}-1}}\right)^{2|n|} e^{i n \theta}=-\frac{1}{4 \lambda_{-+}}\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{2|n|} e^{i n \theta}
$$

We introduce the complex contracted GPTs by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{N}_{n m}^{(1)}:=M_{n m}^{c c}-M_{n m}^{s s}+i\left(M_{n m}^{c s}+M_{n m}^{s c}\right),  \tag{3.11}\\
& \mathbb{N}_{n m}^{(2)}:=M_{n m}^{c c}+M_{n m}^{s s}-i\left(M_{n m}^{c s}-M_{n m}^{s c}\right), \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for $n, m \neq 0$. Here, $M_{n m}^{c c}, M_{n m}^{s s}, M_{n m}^{c s}$, and $M_{n m}^{s c}$ are defined by (2.10).
We have the following formula for the shape perturbation of the complex GPTs [2, 3]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{N}_{n m}^{(2)}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1, \delta}\right)-\mathbb{N}_{n m}^{(2)}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1,0}\right) \\
& \quad=\delta\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{-}}{\varepsilon_{+}}-1\right) \int_{\partial D_{1,0}} h(\mathbf{x})\left[\left.\left.\frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} \frac{\partial \overline{v_{m}}}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}+\left.\left.\frac{\varepsilon_{+}}{\varepsilon_{-}} \frac{\partial u_{n}}{\partial T}\right|_{-} \frac{\partial \overline{v_{m}}}{\partial T}\right|_{-}\right](\mathbf{x}) d \sigma(\mathbf{x})+O\left(\delta^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $u_{n}$ and $v_{m}$ are respectively the solutions to the following transmission problems:

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \partial D_{1,0}  \tag{3.13}\\ \left.u\right|_{+}=\left.u\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial D_{1,0} \\ \left.\varepsilon_{+} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}=\left.\varepsilon_{+} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial D_{1,0} \\ (u-H)(\mathbf{x})=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}\right) & \text { as }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta v=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \partial D_{1,0}  \tag{3.14}\\ \left.\varepsilon_{-} v\right|_{+}=\left.\varepsilon_{+} v\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial D_{1,0} \\ \left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-}=\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}\right|_{-} & \text {on } \partial D_{1,0} \\ (v-F)(\mathbf{x})=O\left(|\mathbf{x}|^{-1}\right) & \text { as }|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

with $H(\mathbf{x})=r^{|n|} e^{i n \theta}$ and $F(\mathbf{x})=r^{|m|} e^{i m \theta}$. The solutions $u_{n}$ and $v_{m}$ of (3.13) and (3.14) can be explicitly computed. They are given by

$$
u_{n}(\mathbf{x})= \begin{cases}\frac{2 \varepsilon_{+}}{\varepsilon_{+}+\varepsilon_{-}} r^{|n|} e^{i n \theta} & \text { if }|\mathbf{x}|=r<1  \tag{3.15}\\ \left(r^{|n|}+\frac{\varepsilon_{+}-\varepsilon_{-}}{\varepsilon_{+}+\varepsilon_{-}} r^{-|n|}\right) e^{i n \theta} & \text { if }|\mathbf{x}|=r>1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
v_{m}(\mathbf{x})= \begin{cases}\frac{2 \varepsilon_{-}}{\varepsilon_{+}+\varepsilon_{-}} r^{|m|} e^{-i m \theta} & \text { if }|\mathbf{x}|=r<1,  \tag{3.16}\\ \left(r^{|m|}+\frac{\varepsilon_{+}-\varepsilon_{-}}{\varepsilon_{+}+\varepsilon_{-}} r^{-|m|}\right) e^{-i m \theta} & \text { if }|\mathbf{x}|=r>1\end{cases}
$$

Then, in view of (3.2), we obtain the following result.
Proposition 3.3. For $n, m \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{N}_{n m}^{(2)}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1, \delta}\right)-\mathbb{N}_{n m}^{(2)}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1,0}\right)=\delta \frac{2 \pi\left(\varepsilon_{-}|n m|+\varepsilon_{+} n m\right)}{\left(\varepsilon_{-}-\varepsilon_{+}\right) \lambda_{-+}^{2}} \hat{h}(m-n)+O\left(\delta^{2}\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{-+}$is defined by (3.7) and $\hat{h}(k)$ is the Fourier coefficient of $h(\theta):=h(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ given by

$$
\hat{h}(k)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} h(\theta) e^{-i k \theta} d \theta
$$

Note that, since $h$ is a real-valued function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{h}(-k)=\overline{\hat{h}(k)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proposition 3.4. When $D_{1}=D_{1, \delta}$, we have for $\zeta=\left(d+\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right) e^{i \theta} \in \partial D_{2}$,

$$
\mathcal{A}\left[e^{i n \theta}\right](\zeta)=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}-\frac{1}{8 \pi|n|}\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{|n|+|m|} \mathbb{N}_{n m}^{(2)}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1, \delta}\right) e^{i m \theta} \quad \text { for } n \neq 0
$$

Proof. Following the same arguments as those in [11], we obtain
$\mathcal{A}\left[\varphi_{n}^{c}\right](\zeta)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}-\frac{1}{4 \pi|n|}\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{|n|+m}\left[M_{n m}^{c c}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1,0}\right) \varphi_{m}^{c}(\theta)+M_{n m}^{c s}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1,0}\right) \varphi_{m}^{s}(\theta)\right]$,
$\mathcal{A}\left[\varphi_{n}^{s}\right](\zeta)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}-\frac{1}{4 \pi|n|}\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{|n|+m}\left[M_{n m}^{s c}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1,0}\right) \varphi_{m}^{c}(\theta)+M_{n m}^{s s}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1,0}\right) \varphi_{m}^{s}(\theta)\right]$,
for $\varphi_{n}^{c}(\theta)=\cos n \theta, \varphi_{n}^{s}(\theta)=\sin n \theta$, and $n \neq 0$.
By using the linearity of $\mathcal{A}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}\left[e^{i n \theta}\right](\zeta) & =\mathcal{A}\left[\varphi_{n}^{c}\right](\zeta)+i \mathcal{A}\left[\varphi_{n}^{s}\right](\zeta) \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}-\frac{1}{8 \pi|n|}\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{|n|+m}\left[\mathbb{N}_{n m}^{(1)}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1, \delta}\right) e^{-i m \theta}+\mathbb{N}_{n m}^{(2)}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1, \delta}\right) e^{i m \theta}\right] \\
& =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}-\frac{1}{8 \pi|n|}\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{|n|+|m|} \mathbb{N}_{n m}^{(2)}\left(\lambda_{-+}, D_{1, \delta}\right) e^{i m \theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.
By combining Propositions 3.2, 3.3, and 3.4, we arrive at the following approximation of $\mathcal{A}$.

Corollary 3.5. The operator $\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{H}^{*}\left(\partial D_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{*}\left(\partial D_{2}\right)$ can be represented by a block matrix form as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A} & =\left[\begin{array}{llll}
D_{1} & & & \\
& \ddots & & \\
& & D_{n} & \\
& & & \ddots
\end{array}\right]+\delta\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
H_{11} & H_{12} & H_{13} & \cdots \\
H_{21} & H_{22} & H_{23} & \cdots \\
H_{31} & H_{32} & H_{33} & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right]+O\left(\delta^{2}\right)  \tag{3.19}\\
& =: \mathcal{A}_{0}+\delta \mathcal{A}_{1}+O\left(\delta^{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
D_{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{0}^{-n} & 0  \tag{3.20}\\
0 & \lambda_{0}^{n}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { with } \lambda_{0}^{k}=-\frac{1}{4 \lambda_{-+}}\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{2|k|}
$$

and

$$
H_{n m}=-\frac{n}{4 \lambda_{-+}^{2}}\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{n+m}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
2 \lambda_{-+} \hat{h}(n-m) & \hat{h}(n+m)  \tag{3.21}\\
\hat{h}(-n-m) & 2 \lambda_{-+} \hat{h}(m-n)
\end{array}\right],
$$

for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that since $h$ is a real-valued function, the operator $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ in (3.19) is Hermitian. Moreover, for $k \neq 0$, note also that $\lambda_{0}^{k}\left(=\lambda_{0}^{-k}\right)$, given by (3.20), is a double eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}_{0}$, and its corresponding eigenvectors are $v_{0}^{ \pm k}$, which represents the eigenfunction $e^{ \pm i k \theta}$. Here, we define $v_{0}^{k}$ as follows:
with $\mathbf{e}_{n}$ being the $n$-th unit vector.
Applying the standard perturbation theory [24] yields the following asymptotic expansion of the eigenvalue $\lambda^{k}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{k}=\lambda_{0}^{k}+\delta \lambda_{1}^{k}+O\left(\delta^{2}\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding eigenvector $v^{k}$ admits the following expansion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{k}=\alpha_{k} v_{0}^{k}+\beta_{k} v_{0}^{-k}+\delta v_{1}^{k}+O\left(\delta^{2}\right) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v^{k}$ is a linear combination of $v_{0}^{k}$ and $v_{0}^{-k}$.

## 4 The reconstruction problem

Our aim in this section is to reconstruct the Fourier coefficients of $h$ from the perturbations of the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{A}$. The following result holds.

Proposition 4.1. Let $h$ be the real-valued function in (3.3). We have

$$
\hat{h}(0)=-\frac{\lambda_{-+}\left(\lambda_{1}^{k}+\lambda_{1}^{-k}\right)}{|k|}\left(d+\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{2|k|},
$$

and, for $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \neq 0$,

$$
|\hat{h}(2 k)|=-\frac{2 \lambda_{-+}^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{|k|}-\lambda_{1}^{-|k|}\right)}{|k|}\left(d+\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{2|k|}
$$

Proof. We assume that the $v^{k}$,s are all unit vectors. Since $\left\{v_{0}^{l}\right\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}}$ is the orthonormal basis for the eigenvector space, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}^{k}=\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}} b_{k l} v_{0}^{l}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constants $b_{k l}$ are to be determined.
From (3.24) and (4.1), it follows that

$$
1=\left\langle v^{k}, v^{k}\right\rangle=\alpha_{k}^{2}+\beta_{k}^{2}+2 \delta\left(\alpha_{k} b_{k k}+\beta_{k} b_{-k k}\right)+O\left(\delta^{2}\right)
$$

and hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k}^{2}+\beta_{k}^{2}=1, \quad \alpha_{k} b_{k k}+\beta_{k} b_{-k k}=0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we want to solve the equation

$$
\mathcal{A} v^{k}=\lambda^{k} v^{k} .
$$

Using (3.19), (3.23), and (3.24), we have

$$
\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}+\delta \mathcal{A}_{1}\right)\left(\alpha_{k} v_{0}^{k}+\beta_{k} v_{0}^{-k}+\delta v_{1}^{k}\right)=\left(\lambda_{0}^{k}+\delta \lambda_{1}^{k}\right)\left(\alpha_{k} v_{0}^{k}+\beta_{k} v_{0}^{-k}+\delta v_{1}^{k}\right),
$$

which yields the following equation satisfied by the first-order term $v_{1}^{k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{0} v_{1}^{k}+\alpha_{k} \mathcal{A}_{1} v_{0}^{k}+\beta_{k} \mathcal{A}_{1} v_{0}^{-n}=\lambda_{0}^{k} v_{1}^{k}+\alpha_{k} \lambda_{1}^{k} v_{0}^{k}+\beta_{k} \lambda_{1}^{k} v_{0}^{-k} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.1) into (4.3) and taking the inner product with $v_{0}^{l}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k l} \lambda_{0}^{l}+\alpha_{k}\left\langle v_{0}^{l}, \mathcal{A}_{1} v_{0}^{k}\right\rangle+\beta_{k}\left\langle v_{0}^{l}, \mathcal{A}_{1} v_{0}^{-k}\right\rangle=b_{k l} \lambda_{0}^{k}+\alpha_{k} \lambda_{1}^{k}\left\langle v_{0}^{l}, v_{0}^{k}\right\rangle+\beta_{k} \lambda_{1}^{k}\left\langle v_{0}^{l}, v_{0}^{-k}\right\rangle . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $l=k,-k$ in (4.4), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{k} \lambda_{1}^{k}=\alpha_{k}\left\langle v_{0}^{k}, \mathcal{A}_{1} v_{0}^{k}\right\rangle+\beta_{k}\left\langle v_{0}^{k}, \mathcal{A}_{1} v_{0}^{-k}\right\rangle, \quad \beta_{k} \lambda_{1}^{k}=\alpha_{k}\left\langle v_{0}^{-k}, \mathcal{A}_{1} v_{0}^{k}\right\rangle+\beta_{k}\left\langle v_{0}^{-k}, \mathcal{A}_{1} v_{0}^{-k}\right\rangle . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $l \notin\{k,-k\}$, then (4.4) yields

$$
b_{k l}=\frac{\alpha_{k}\left\langle v_{0}^{l}, \mathcal{A}_{1} v_{0}^{k}\right\rangle+\beta_{k}\left\langle v_{0}^{l}, \mathcal{A}_{1} v_{0}^{-k}\right\rangle}{\lambda_{0}^{k}-\lambda_{0}^{l}} .
$$

By using (3.21) and (4.2), we can solve (4.5) to obtain

$$
\alpha_{k}=\left[\frac{\overline{\hat{h}(2 k)}}{\overline{\hat{h}(2 k)}+\hat{h}(2 k)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \beta_{k}=\operatorname{sgn}(k)\left[\frac{\hat{h}(2 k)}{\overline{\hat{h}(2 k)}+\hat{h}(2 k)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

and

$$
\lambda_{1}^{k}=-\frac{|k|}{4 \lambda_{-+}^{2}}\left(d-\sqrt{d^{2}-1}\right)^{2|k|}\left(2 \lambda_{-+} \hat{h}(0)+\operatorname{sgn}(k) \cdot|\hat{h}(2 k)|\right) .
$$

Hence, the proof of the proposition is complete.

## 5 Numerical examples

In this section, we present numerical example to support the theoretical results. We first note that the Fourier coefficients of $h$ can be reconstructed from the perturbations in the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ by using Proposition 4.1. Once $h$ is reconstructed, the perturbation of the planar surface $h_{0}$ can be determined by inverting the conformal map $\Phi$ and constructing $\Phi^{-1}\left(D_{1, \delta}\right)$. It is worth emphasizing that the perturbations in the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{A}$ are exactly the shifts in the plasmonic resonance of the particle $\Omega$ due to its interaction with the local perturbation $h_{0}$. These shifts can be measured by varying the frequency of illumination. We refer to [11] for the details.

From Proposition 4.1, we only get even Fourier coefficients of $h$. Hence, in view of (3.18), we reconstruct $h$ from the eigenvalue perturbations by

$$
h_{e i g}(\theta)=\sum_{k=-\frac{N}{2}}^{\frac{N}{2}} \hat{h}(2 k) e^{i 2 k \theta}=\hat{h}(0)+2 \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \Re[\hat{h}(2 k)] \cos (2 k \theta)-2 \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{N}{2}} \Im[\hat{h}(2 k)] \sin (2 k \theta) .
$$

We compare the reconstructed images to those obtained from the complex GPTs. Note that the perturbations in the complex GPTs can be constructed by using Proposition 3.3. Moreover, the complex GPTs can be obtained from second-order perturbations of the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{A}$.

In the reconstruction of $h$ using the complex GPTs, we truncate the Fourier series of order $N$ and use (3.18):

$$
h_{G P T}(\theta)=\sum_{k=-N}^{N} \hat{h}(k) e^{i k \theta}=\hat{h}(0)+2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Re[\hat{h}(k)] \cos (k \theta)-2 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \Im[\hat{h}(k)] \sin (k \theta) .
$$

### 5.1 Example 1

We set $\delta=0.001, d=1.04$, and $R=0.4 \delta$. Figure 5.1a shows the reconstruction of $h$. By transforming $\partial D_{1, \delta}$ to $\partial \mathbb{H}_{0}$ using $\Phi^{-1}$, we get the reconstructed $h_{0}$ as shown in Figure 5.1b.


Figure 5.1: The blue line is the true $h:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the red line is the reconstructed $h$ from the complex GPTs, and the yellow line is the reconstructed $h$ from the shifts in the eigenvalues. In these reconstructions $N=8$.

### 5.2 Example 2

For the second example, we set $\delta=0.001, d=2$ and $R=2 \delta$. The reconstructed $h$ is shown in Figure 5.2a. By transforming $\partial D_{1, \delta}$ to $\partial \mathbb{H}_{0}$ using $\Phi^{-1}$, we finally get the reconstructed $h_{0}$ as shown in Figure 5.2b.


Figure 5.2: The blue line is a theoretical $h:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the red line is the reconstructed $h$ using the complex GPTs, and the yellow line is the reconstructed $h$ using the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{A}$. Here, $N=6$.

In Figures 5.1 and 5.2, the yellow lines show very large fluctuations at both left and right extremities. This phenomenon is due to the lack of odd Fourier coefficients in $h_{\text {eig }}$. Nevertheless, even Fourier coefficients of $h$ contain comprehensive information of $\partial \mathbb{H}_{0}$.

## 6 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have introduced an original approach to recover small perturbations of a planar surface from shifts in the resonances of the plasmonic particle-surface system. Our main idea is to design a conformal mapping which transforms the particle-surface system into a coated structure, in which the inner core corresponds to the local perturbations of the planar surface. Then we have related the perturbations of the plasmonic resonances to the Fourier coefficients of the transformed perturbations. Using these (approximate) relations, we have designed a direct (non-iterative) scheme for retrieving the perturbations of the planar surface. We have shown that only even coefficients of the Fourier coefficients of the transformed perturbations can be reconstructed from the leading-order terms of the resonances. For large enough signal-to-noise ratio in the measurements, we may be able to recover both the odd coefficients and the complex GPTs from second-order terms in the resonances and therefore, achieve better resolution. This would be the subject of a forthcoming work.
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