Ecole polytechnique fédérale de Zurich Politecnico federale di Zurigo Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

## Concentration-cancellation and Hardy spaces

Italo Vecchi

Research Report No. 91-03 March 1991

Seminar für Angewandte Mathematik Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule CH-8092 Zürich Switzerland Concentration-cancellation and Hardy spaces

Italo Vecchi Seminar für Angewandte Mathematik Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule CH-8092 Zürich Switzerland

Research Report No. 91-03 March 1991

## Abstract

Let  $v^{\in}$  a sequence of DiPerna-Majda approximate solutions to the 2-D incompressible Euler equations. We prove that if the vorticity sequence is weakly compact in the Hardy space  $H^1(R^2)$  then a subsequence of  $v^{\in}$  converges strongly in  $L^2(R^2)$  to a solution of the Euler equations. This phenomenon is directly related to the cancellation effects exhibited by "phantom vortices".

Keywords: Riesz transform, equibounded, Dunford-Pettis theorem Subject Classification: 35Q10 (76D05) In their fundamental paper [4] DiPerna and Majda study the convergence of approximate solutions  $v^{\epsilon}$  of the 2-D inviscid Euler equations as the regularization parameter  $\epsilon$  goes to zero. They give several examples of sequences of compactly supported approximate solutions  $v^{\epsilon}$  (as defined in Definition 1.1, [4]) whose vorticity  $\omega^{\epsilon}$  is bounded in  $L^1$  which fail to be compact in  $L^2$  so that in the limit concentration phenomena occur. Moreover in Th. 1.3 of [4] a criterion which rules concentrations out is proposed: it is shown that a uniform bound on a logarithmic Morrey norm of  $\omega^{\epsilon}$  yields strong  $L^2$ -convergence of the velocity field. In this note another criterion for compactness is introduced: we show that strong  $L^2$ -compactness of  $v^{\epsilon}$  follows from weak compactness of  $\omega^{\epsilon}$  in the Hardy space  $H^1(R^2)$ . Since  $H^1(R^2)$  is not rearrangement invariant the fine structure of the vorticity plays a crucial role in getting strong

 $L^2$ -convergence. We recall that by Dunford-Pettis theorem (see [5], VIII, Th.1.3) a necessary and sufficient condition for a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  to be weakly pre-compact in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is that there exist a positive function  $G(s): \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

(1) 
$$\lim_{s \to +\infty} \frac{G(s)}{s} = +\infty$$

and

(2) 
$$\sup_{f \in \Lambda} \int_{R^2} G(|f|) \, dx < +\infty$$

Let  $R_i$  i = 1, 2, denote the Riesz transforms:

$$R_j f(x) = \int_{R^2} \frac{x_j - y_j}{|x - y|^3} f(y) \, dx$$

We formulate our result as follows. **Theorem 1.** Let  $v^{\epsilon}$  be a sequence of approximate solutions such that for every  $t \geq 0$ 

(3) 
$$\|\omega^{\epsilon}(.,t)\|_{H^1} < C \quad 0 < \epsilon \le \epsilon_0$$

and  $\omega^{\epsilon}$  satisfies weak uniform control at infinity (cfr.[4],(3.5)). Moreover let there be a function  $G(s): \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  such that (1) and (2) hold for  $\Lambda = \{\omega^{\epsilon}\}, \{\mathbb{R}_i \omega^{\epsilon}\}, i =$ 1,2.Then there is a subsequence of  $v^{\epsilon}$  which converges strongly in  $L^2$  to a weak classical solution v of the Euler equations. Moreover  $v \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We recall (see [6]) that a function f belongs to the Hardy space  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  iff there is a sequence of numbers  $\lambda_j$  satisfying  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| < \infty$  and a series of functions (atoms)  $a_j$  such that

(4) 
$$f = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j$$

where the  $a'_j s$  have the following properties a)  $a_j$  is supported on a ball  $B_j$  and  $||a_j||_{\infty} < \frac{1}{|B_j|}$ b)  $\int_{R^2} a_j(x) dx = 0$ The  $H^1$ -norm of f can be defined as the infimum of the expressions  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|$  on all possible representations of f as in (4). If condition b) were dropped the resulting space would be  $L^1(R^2)$ . It is the subtle cancellation

effect due to b) (cfr."phantom vortices" in [4], 1.A) together with (2) which yields strong  $L^2$ -compactness. Proof of Theorem 1. To prove the theorem we introduce the stream

function  $\psi^{\epsilon}$  such that

$$(5) \qquad \qquad \bigtriangleup \psi^{\epsilon} = \omega^{\epsilon}$$

and we proceed as in the proof of Th. 3.1 in [4]. It is known that for every f in  $BMO(R^2)$  there are  $g_i$  in  $L^{\infty}(R^2)$ , i = 0, 1, 2, such that

$$f = g_0 + \sum_{i=1,2} R_i g_i$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f\omega^\epsilon \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega^\epsilon (g_0 + \sum_{i=1,2} R_i g_i) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \omega^\epsilon g_0 - \sum_{i=1,2} g_i R_i \omega^\epsilon \, dx$$

By our assumption (2) the sequence  $\{\omega^{\epsilon}\}$  and its Riesz transforms admit a weakly convergent subsequence in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Therefore there is a subsequence such that

(6) 
$$\omega^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \omega \quad weakly \ in \ H^1(R^2)$$

The statement of Th.1 is guaranteed by showing that for all  $\rho \in C_o^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ 

(7) 
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{R^2} \rho |v^{\epsilon}|^2 dx = \int_{R^2} \rho |v|^2 dx$$

Indeed after integrating by parts (7) is seen to hold iff (see [4], (3.7)-(3.10))

(8) 
$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{R^2} \rho \psi^{\epsilon} \omega^{\epsilon} \, dx = \int_{R^2} \rho \psi d\omega$$

where  $\psi$  is the stream function corresponding to  $\omega$  in (5). We recall that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} f = -R_j R_k \triangle f$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \psi^\epsilon = -R_j R_k \omega^\epsilon$$

Since the Riesz transform maps  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$  continuously into itself we get that

(9) 
$$\left\|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}\psi^\epsilon\right\|_{L^1} \le \left\|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}\psi^\epsilon\right\|_{H^1} \le C\|\omega^\epsilon\|_{H^1}$$

and  $\psi^{\epsilon}$  stays bounded in  $W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . We recall that for any bounded domain  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by the Gagliardo-Sobolev imbedding theorem  $W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  is continuously imbedded in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$ . Therefore

(10) 
$$\|\psi^{\epsilon}\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \le C \|\omega^{\epsilon}\|_{H^1}$$

Moreover (see [1], Lemma 5.8) if  $u \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  for any  $P_o \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we have that for  $\delta > 0$  if  $|\Delta P| < \frac{\delta}{2}$ 

(11) 
$$|u(P_o + \Delta P) - u(P_o)| \leq C \left( \frac{1}{\delta^2} ||u(P + \Delta P) - u(P)||_{L^1(B_{\delta}(P_o))} + \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_i \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(P + \Delta P) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(P) \right\|_{L^1(B_{\delta}(P_o))} + \sum_{i,j} \left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} u(P + \Delta P) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} u(P) \right\|_{L^1(B_{\delta}(P_o))} \right)$$

By (weak) continuity of the Riesz transforms from  $H^1(R^2)$  into itself there is a subsequence of  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \psi^{\epsilon}$ , that converges weakly in  $H^1(R^2)$  to a  $\phi_{i,j} \in H^1(R^2)$ . On the other hand weak convergence in  $H^1(R^2)$  implies weak convergence in  $L^1(R^2)$ (indeed  $L^{\infty} \subset BMO$ ) so that we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} \psi^{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \phi_{i,j} \quad weakly \ in \ L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

By the full version of Dunford-Pettis theorem for every  $\kappa > 0$  there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $P \in \Omega$ 

$$\left\|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j\partial x_k}\psi^\epsilon\right\|_{L^1(B_\delta(P))} \!\!< \kappa$$

uniformly in  $\epsilon$ . We observe that if  $|\Delta P| < \delta$ 

$$\left\|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} [\psi^{\epsilon}(P+\Delta P) - \psi^{\epsilon}(P)]\right\|_{L^1(B_{\delta}(P_o))} < C \left\|\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k}\psi^{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^1(B_{2\delta}(P_o))}$$

Therefore for every  $P_o$  given  $\kappa_o > 0$  we can find a  $\delta_o > 0$  such that if  $|\Delta P| < \frac{\delta_o}{2}$ 

$$\sum_{i,j} \left\| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_k} [\psi^\epsilon(P + \triangle P) - \psi^\epsilon(P)] \right\|_{L^1(B_{\delta_o}(P_o))} < \frac{\kappa_o}{3}$$

uniformly in  $\epsilon$ . Moreover since  $W^{1,1}(\Omega)$  is compactly imbedded in  $L^p$  for any p < 2both  $\{\psi^{\epsilon}\}$  and  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\psi^{\epsilon}\}$  are compact in  $L^1$ . Hence by Kondratchev compactness criterion (see [1]) there is a  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that if  $|\Delta P| < \delta_1$ 

$$\frac{1}{\delta_o^2} \|u(P + \Delta P) - u(P)\|_{L^1(B_{\delta_o}(P_o))} < \frac{\kappa_o}{3}$$
$$\frac{1}{\delta_o} \sum_i \left\|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(P + \Delta P) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(P)\right\|_{L^1(B_{\delta}(P_o))} < \frac{\kappa_o}{3}$$

and by (11)

(12) 
$$|\psi^{\epsilon}(P_o + \Delta P) - u(P_o)| < \kappa_o$$

uniformly in  $\epsilon$ . The sequence  $\psi^{\epsilon}$  is equibounded by (10) and equicontinuous by (12) and by Ascoli theorem we can extract a subsequence such that

(13) 
$$\psi^{\epsilon} \to \psi \quad strongly \ in \ C(\Omega)$$

By (6) we have that  $\omega^{\epsilon} \rightarrow \omega$  weakly in  $M(\Omega)$  so that (8) holds and the same argument as in Th. 1.3 of [4] yields the statement of the theorem.*Remark*. The first example in  $(1, \S A)$  in [4] (phantom vortices) shows a sequence of vorticities which stays bounded in  $H^1(R^2)$  whose velocity field fails to converge strongly in  $L^2$ ; in the second example one has strong  $L^1(R^2)$  convergence of the vorticity but the sequence does not lie in  $H^1(R^2)$  and again concentrations occur. By looking at the proof of Delort's recent deep result ([3]), weak convergence of  $\omega^{\epsilon}$  in  $L^1(R^2)$  is sufficient to pass to the limit in the quadratic terms of the Euler equations, due to their special structure. It is interesting that every bounded sequence in  $H^1(R^2)$  admits a weakly(\*) convergent subsequence whose limit stays in  $H^1(R^2)$  (see [2], Lemma (4.2)). However, since  $(VMO)^*=H^1(R^2)$  and  $L^{\infty} \not\subset VMO$ , this does not yield weak  $L^1$ -convergence. It is worth observing that condition (2) for  $\omega^{\epsilon}$  is rearrangement invariant and so in the time dependent case it is conserved by the particle trajectory map. On the other hand, as for the bounds (3.4) of Th. 3.1 in [4], it is not clear what happens to the  $H^1$ -norm as time goes by, since  $H^1(R^2)$  is not rearrangement invariant.

## Bibliography

[1] R. Adams "Sobolev Spaces", Academic Press. (1975) [2] R.R. Coifman, G. Weiss "Extensions of Hardy spaces", Bull.

A.M.S. 83 (1977), pp. 569-645. [3] J. Delort "Existence de nappes de tourbillon en dimension deux", Prepublications 90-51; Université de Paris Sud, Mathématiques.
[4] R.J DiPerna, A. Majda "Concentrations in regularizations for

2-D incompressible flow", Comm. in Pure and Appl. Math. 40 (1987), pp. 302-345. [5] I. Ekeland, R. Temam "Convex Analysis and variational problems", North Holland (1982).[6] A. Torchinsky "Real variable methods in harmonic analysis", Academic Press. (1986)

## Research Reports

Report No.	Authors	Title
91-03	I. Vecchi	Concentration-cancellation and Hardy
91-02	R. Jeltsch, B. Pohl	spaces Waveform Relaxation with Overlap-
01 0-	10. 0 0100 011, 20. 1 0111	ping Splittings