

Strong convergence rates on the whole
probability space for space-time discrete
numerical approximation schemes for
stochastic Burgers equations

M. Hutzenthaler and A. Jentzen and F. Lindner and P. Pušnik

Research Report No. 2019-58
November 2019

Seminar für Angewandte Mathematik
Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule
CH-8092 Zürich
Switzerland

Strong convergence rates on the whole probability space for space-time discrete numerical approximation schemes for stochastic Burgers equations

Martin Hutzenthaler¹, Arnulf Jentzen^{2,3}, Felix Lindner⁴, and Primož Pušnik⁵

¹ Faculty of Mathematics, University of Duisburg-Essen,
Germany, e-mail: martin.hutzenthaler@uni-due.de

² Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Münster,
Germany, e-mail: ajentzen@uni-muenster.de

³ Department of Mathematics, ETH Zurich,
Switzerland, e-mail: arnulf.jentzen@sam.math.ethz.ch

⁴ Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Kassel,
Germany, e-mail: lindner@mathematik.uni-kassel.de

⁵ Department of Mathematics, ETH Zurich,
Switzerland, e-mail: primoz.pusnik@sam.math.ethz.ch

November 11, 2019

Abstract

The main result of this article establishes strong convergence rates on the whole probability space for explicit space-time discrete numerical approximations for a class of stochastic evolution equations with possibly non-globally monotone coefficients such as stochastic Burgers equations with additive trace-class noise. The key idea in the proof of our main result is (i) to bring the classical Alekseev-Gröbner formula from deterministic analysis into play and (ii) to employ uniform exponential moment estimates for the numerical approximations.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	General setting	6
2	Time discretization error estimates based on an Alekseev-Gröbner-type formula	7
2.1	Pathwise temporal approximation error estimates	7
2.2	Strong temporal approximation error estimates	12

3	Moment bounds for the derivative process	17
3.1	A priori bounds for the derivative process	17
3.2	Strong error estimates for exponential Euler-type approximations	24
4	Strong convergence rates with assuming finite exponential moments	28
4.1	Moment bounds for spatial spectral Galerkin approximations	28
4.2	Strong error estimates for space-time discrete exponential Euler-type approximations	31
5	Strong convergence rates without assuming finite exponential moments	37
5.1	Finite exponential moments for tamed-truncated Euler-type approximations . . .	37
5.2	Strong error estimates for tamed-truncated Euler-type approximations	43
6	Strong convergence rates for stochastic Burgers equations	51

1 Introduction

In this article we study the problem of establishing strong convergence rates for explicit space-time discrete approximations of semilinear stochastic evolution equations (SEEs) with non-globally monotone coefficients (see, e.g., Liu & Röckner [55, (H2) in Chapter 4] for global monotonicity) such as stochastic Burgers equations. Proving strong convergence with rates for numerical approximations of SEEs with non-globally monotone coefficients is known to be challenging. In fact, there exist stochastic ordinary differential equations (SODEs) with smooth and globally bounded but non-globally monotone coefficients such that no approximation method based on finitely many observations of the driving Brownian motion can converge strongly to their solutions faster than any given speed of convergence (see Jentzen et al. [48, Theorem 1.3], Hairer et al. [32], and also, e.g., [28, 34, 61, 69, 70]). In addition, the classical Euler-Maruyama method, the exponential Euler method, and the linear-implicit Euler method fail to converge strongly as well as weakly for some SEEs with superlinearly growing coefficients (see, e.g., Hutzenthaler et al. [39, Theorem 2.1] and Hutzenthaler et al. [41, Theorem 2.1] for SODEs and Beccari et al. [4] for stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs)).

Recently, a series of appropriately modified versions of the explicit Euler method have been introduced and proven to converge strongly for some SEEs with superlinearly growing coefficients (see, e.g., [37, 38, 40, 63, 64, 66, 67] for SODEs and, e.g., [5, 7, 30, 42, 50, 51, 57] for SPDEs). These methods are easily implementable and tame the superlinearly growing terms in order to ensure strong convergence. Strong convergence rates for explicit time discrete and explicit space-time discrete numerical methods for SPDEs with a non-globally Lipschitz continuous but globally monotone nonlinearity have been derived in, e.g., Becker et al. [5, Theorems 1.1 and 5.5], Becker & Jentzen [7, Corollaries 6.15 and 6.17], Brehier et al. [12, Theorem 3.1], and Jentzen & Pušnik [50, Theorem 1.1]. Moreover, suitable nonlinear-implicit approximation schemes are known to converge strongly in the case of several SEEs with superlinearly growing coefficients (see, e.g., [35, 36] for SODEs and, e.g., [13, 26, 27, 29, 53, 54, 56] for SPDEs). Strong convergence rates for temporal and spatio-temporal approximations of SEEs with non-globally monotone coefficients on suitable large subsets of the probability space (sometimes referred to as semi-strong convergence rates) have been established in, e.g., Bessaih et al. [8, Theorem 5.2], Carelli & Prohl [14, Theorems 3.1, 3.2, and 4.2], and Furihata et al. [27, Theorem 5.3]. These semi-strong convergence rates can imply convergence in probability, but they are not sufficient to prove strong convergence rates. For completeness, we also refer to, e.g., [1, 10, 11, 16, 52, 62, 71, 72, 73] for results concerning convergence in probability with and without rates, pathwise convergence with rates, and strong convergence without rates for numerical approximations of SEEs with superlinearly growing coefficients. Weak convergence with rates for splitting approximations of 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations has been established in [25]. In Bessaih & Millet [9, Theorem 4.6] strong convergence with rates is proven for fully drift-implicit Euler approximations in the case of 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations with additive trace-class noise by exploiting a rather specific property (see Bessaih & Millet [9, (2.4) in Section 2]) of the Navier-Stokes-nonlinearity (see also Bessaih & Millet [9, Theorems 3.6, 3.9, and 4.4 and Proposition 4.8] for further strong convergence results). These fully drift-implicit Euler approximations of 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations involve solutions of nonlinear equations that are not known to be unique and it is unknown how to approximate these solutions with positive convergence rates. Strong convergence rates for nonlinear-implicit numerical schemes for SEEs with non-globally monotone coefficients have also been analyzed in Cui & Hong [18, 19] and Cui et al. [21, 22] (cf. also, e.g., Cui et al. [20] and Yang & Zhang [68]).

To the best of our knowledge, there exist no results in the scientific literature establishing strong convergence with rates on the whole probability space for an explicit space-time discrete numerical method for an evolutionary SPDE with a non-globally monotone nonlinearity such as stochastic Burgers equations, stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, stochastic Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations, Cahn-Hilliard-Cook equations, or stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equations. It is the key contribution of this work to partially solve this problem and to establish strong convergence rates for an appropriately tamed-truncated exponential Euler-type method for SPDEs with a possibly non-globally monotone nonlinearity and additive trace-class noise (see Theorem 5.9 below). In particular, in Corollary 6.2 below we derive strong convergence rates for explicit space-time discrete approximations of stochastic Burgers equations. A slightly simplified version of Corollary 6.2 below is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H)$ be the \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space of equivalence classes of Lebesgue-Borel square-integrable functions from $(0, 1)$ to \mathbb{R} , let $A: D(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ be the Laplacian with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions on H , let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in D(A)$, $\beta \in (0, 1/2]$, $B \in \text{HS}(H, D((-A)^\beta))$, $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H$ satisfy for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $e_n(\cdot) = \sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi(\cdot))$, let $(P_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $v \in H$ that $P_N(v) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle e_n, v \rangle_H e_n$, let $F: D((-A)^{1/2}) \rightarrow H$ be the function which satisfies for every $v \in D((-A)^{1/2})$ that $F(v) = c v'v$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_H -cylindrical Wiener process, let $W^N: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_N(H)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, be stochastic processes which satisfy for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathbb{P}(W_t^N = \int_0^t P_N B dW_s) = 1$, and let $\mathbf{X}^{M, N}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_N(H)$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, be the stochastic processes which satisfy for every $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, M-1\}$, $t \in (mT/M, (m+1)T/M]$ that $\mathbf{X}_0^{M, N} = P_N(\xi)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_t^{M, N} &= e^{(t-mT/M)A} \left(\mathbf{X}_{mT/M}^{M, N} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mathbb{1}_{\{1 + \|(-A)^{1/2} \mathbf{X}_{mT/M}^{M, N}\|_H^2 \leq (M/T)^{1/19}\}} \left[P_N F(\mathbf{X}_{mT/M}^{M, N}) (t - (mT/M)) + \frac{W_t^N - W_{mT/M}^N}{1 + \|W_t^N - W_{mT/M}^N\|_H^2} \right] \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Then

- (i) *there exists an up to indistinguishability unique stochastic process $X: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow D((-A)^{1/2})$ with continuous sample paths which satisfies that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds \mathbb{P} -a.s. that*

$$X_t = e^{tA} \xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) ds + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B dW_s \quad (2)$$

and

- (ii) *for every $\varepsilon, p \in (0, \infty)$ there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} (\mathbb{E}[\|X_t - \mathbf{X}_t^{M, N}\|_H^p])^{1/p} \leq C(M^{\varepsilon-\beta} + N^{\varepsilon-2\beta}). \quad (3)$$

Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence of Corollary 6.2 in Section 6 below (with $T = T$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $c_0 = 1$, $c_1 = c$, $\varsigma = 1/19$, $p = \max\{p, 1\}$, $\beta = \beta$, $\gamma = 1/2$, $H = H$, $e_n = e_n$, $A = A$, $H_r = D((-A)^r)$, $B = B$, $\xi = \xi$, $F = F$, $P_N = P_N$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\mathbf{X}^{\{0, T/M, \dots, T\}, N} = \mathbf{X}^{M, N}$ for $M, N, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon, p \in (0, \infty)$, $r \in [0, \infty)$ in the notation of Corollary 6.2) and Hölder's inequality. Corollary 6.2, in turn, is a consequence of Theorem 5.9 in Subsection 5.2 below (the main result of this work). We note that if the diffusion coefficient B is a diagonal operator with respect to the orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H$, then the processes W^N , $N \in \mathbb{N}$, in Theorem 1.1

above are Wiener processes with computable covariance structure (cf. Corollary 5.3 below) and the approximation scheme (1) is directly implementable up to an additional approximation error resulting from the numerical evaluations of Galerkin projections P_N , $N \in \mathbb{N}$. We now briefly sketch the key ideas which we employ to prove Theorem 1.1. In the case of SPDEs with globally monotone nonlinearities one can, very roughly speaking, apply the Itô formula to the squared Hilbert space norm of the difference between the exact solution of the SPDE and its numerical approximation and, thereafter, employ the global monotonicity property together with Gronwall's lemma and suitable uniform moment bounds for the solution and the numerical approximations to establish strong convergence rates. This procedure, however, fails in the case of SPDEs with non-globally monotone coefficients. We overcome this issue by bringing the classical Alekseev-Gröbner formula from deterministic numerical analysis (see, e.g., Hairer et al. [31, Theorem 14.5]) into play and by employing the fact that the considered approximation processes $(\mathbf{X}_t^{M,N})_{t \in [0,T]}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, (see (1) above) have uniformly bounded exponential moments. More specifically, we apply the extended version of the Alekseev-Gröbner formula in [46, Corollary 5.2] to a spatially semi-discrete version of the solution $(X_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ of the considered SPDE (see (2) above) and its numerical approximations $(\mathbf{X}_t^{M,N})_{t \in [0,T]}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, (see (1) above) in order to derive a suitable error representation (cf. Lemma 2.3 below). This allows us to estimate the strong approximation error by an appropriate integral expression involving two main terms (cf. (48) in Corollary 2.9 below) which we analyze independently. The first main term is, very roughly speaking, the derivative of the spatially semi-discrete version of $(X_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ with respect to its initial value, evaluated in a function of the numerical approximations $(\mathbf{X}_t^{M,N})_{t \in [0,T]}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, and the Wiener process $(W_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$. The second main term is a function of the numerical approximations $(\mathbf{X}_t^{M,N})_{t \in [0,T]}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, and the Wiener process $(W_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ but does not involve the spatially semi-discrete version of $(X_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ (cf. Corollary 2.9 below). A key step in establishing strong convergence rates is, loosely speaking, to obtain a uniform moment bound for the derivative of the spatially semi-discrete version of $(X_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ with respect to its initial value in terms of an appropriate functional of the spatially semi-discrete version of $(X_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ and the numerical approximations $(\mathbf{X}_t^{M,N})_{t \in [0,T]}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. Corollary 3.3 below). Applying a general result on exponential integrability from Cox et al. [17, Corollary 2.4], this moment bound is then further estimated by appropriate exponential moments of the numerical approximations $(\mathbf{X}_t^{M,N})_{t \in [0,T]}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. Lemma 3.5 below). The exponential moments established in [45, 49] therefore yield a uniform upper bound for the first main term in the initial strong error estimate (cf. Proposition 4.5, Corollary 5.5, and the proof of Theorem 5.9 below). The fact that the numerical approximations $(\mathbf{X}_t^{M,N})_{t \in [0,T]}$, $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$, enjoy sufficient regularity properties (cf. Corollary 5.7 and the regularity results in [45, 47]) ensures that the second main term in the initial strong error estimate converges strongly with rates (cf. Proposition 4.5 and the proof of Theorem 5.9 below). Combining the estimates for both main terms in the initial strong error estimate finally establishes strong convergence rates for explicit space-time discrete approximations of the SPDE under consideration (cf. Theorem 5.9 and Corollaries 5.10, 6.1, and 6.2 below).

Let us comment on the optimality of the convergence rates obtained in Theorem 1.1. It is not clear to us whether the established strong convergence rates are essentially optimal or whether they can be substantially improved. In the simplified case $c = 0$, where the nonlinearity is omitted and the stochastic Burgers equation in (2) reduces to a stochastic heat equation, lower bounds for strong and weak approximation errors are well understood (see, e.g., Becker et al. [6], Conus et al. [15], Davie & Gaines [24], Jentzen & Kurniawan [44], Müller-Gronbach & Ritter [58], Müller-Gronbach et al. [59, 60], and the references mentioned therein). In particular, e.g., Becker et al.

[6, Theorem 1.1], Conus et al. [15, Lemma 7.2], Davie & Gaines [24, Section 2.1], and Müller-Gronbach et al. [60, Theorem 4.2] indicate that the convergence rates in Theorem 1.1 above might not be optimal in the case $c = 0$. In the case $c \neq 0$, where the nonlinearity does not vanish, lower bounds for strong and weak approximation errors remain on open problem for future research.

The remainder of this article is structured as follows. In Subsection 2.1 we apply the Alexeev-Gröbner formula from [46, Corollary 5.2] and establish in Lemma 2.5 below a general pathwise estimate. Combining this general pathwise estimate with suitable measurability results from the scientific literature allows us to establish in Corollary 2.9 in Subsection 2.2 below a strong \mathcal{L}^p estimate for the difference between the spatially semi-discrete version of the solution of the considered SPDE and the considered numerical approximations. In Subsection 3.1 we employ Cox et al. [17, Corollary 2.4] to provide an appropriate a priori bound for the derivative of the spatially semi-discrete version of the solution of the considered SPDE with respect to its initial value (see (88) in Lemma 3.5 below). In Subsection 3.2 we combine the results from Section 2 and Subsection 3.1 to obtain in Proposition 3.6 a simplified upper bound for the strong error. In Subsection 4.1 we establish suitable uniform moment bounds for the spatially semi-discrete version of the considered SPDE which we then employ in Subsection 4.2 together with Proposition 3.6 to prove in Proposition 4.5 strong convergence with rates for space-time discrete numerical approximations with suitable integrability and regularity properties for a large class of SPDEs. In Subsection 5.1 we show that the considered tamed-truncated numerical scheme enjoys appropriate integrability and measurability properties. These properties are then used together with Proposition 4.5 to establish in Theorem 5.9 in Subsection 5.2 below (see also Corollary 5.10) strong convergence rates for the considered tamed-truncated numerical scheme. In Section 6 we combine in Corollaries 6.1 and 6.2 the results established in [47] with Corollary 5.10 in this article to establish strong convergence rates in the case of stochastic Burgers equations with additive trace-class noise.

1.1 General setting

Throughout this article the following setting is frequently used.

Setting 1.2. For every measurable space $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and every measurable space $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2)$ let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2)$ be the set of all $\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{F}_2$ -measurable functions, for every set X let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the power set of X , for every set X let $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ be the set given by $\mathcal{P}_0(X) = \{\theta \in \mathcal{P}(X) : \theta \text{ is a finite set}\}$, for every $T \in (0, \infty)$ let ϖ_T be the set given by $\varpi_T = \{\theta \in \mathcal{P}_0([0, T]) : \{0, T\} \subseteq \theta\}$, for every $T \in (0, \infty)$ let $|\cdot|_T : \varpi_T \rightarrow [0, T]$ be the function which satisfies for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$ that

$$|\theta|_T = \max \left\{ x \in (0, \infty) : (\exists a, b \in \theta : [x = b - a \text{ and } \theta \cap (a, \infty) \cap (-\infty, b) = \emptyset]) \right\}, \quad (4)$$

for every $\theta \in (\cup_{T \in (0, \infty)} \varpi_T)$ let $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_{\theta} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function which satisfies for every $t \in (0, \infty)$ that $\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta} = \max([0, t] \cap \theta)$ and $\lfloor 0 \rfloor_{\theta} = 0$, and for every measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, every measurable space (S, \mathcal{S}) , every set R , and every function $f : \Omega \rightarrow R$ let $[f]_{\mu, \mathcal{S}}$ be the set given by $[f]_{\mu, \mathcal{S}} = \{g \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{S}) : (\exists A \in \mathcal{F} : \mu(A) = 0 \text{ and } \{\omega \in \Omega : f(\omega) \neq g(\omega)\} \subseteq A)\}$.

Setting 1.3. Assume Setting 1.2, let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H)$ and $(U, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U, \|\cdot\|_U)$ be non-zero separable \mathbb{R} -Hilbert spaces, let $\mathbb{H} \subseteq H$ be an orthonormal basis of H , let $\mathbf{v} : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function which satisfies $\sup_{h \in \mathbb{H}} \mathbf{v}_h < \infty$, let $A : D(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ be the linear operator which satisfies $D(A) = \{v \in H : \sum_{h \in \mathbb{H}} |\mathbf{v}_h \langle h, v \rangle_H|^2 < \infty\}$ and $\forall v \in D(A) : Av = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{H}} \mathbf{v}_h \langle h, v \rangle_H h$, and let $(H_r, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_r}, \|\cdot\|_{H_r})$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, be a family of interpolation spaces associated to $-A$ (cf., e.g., [65, Section 3.7]).

Note that the assumption in Setting 1.3 above that $(H_r, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_r}, \|\cdot\|_{H_r})$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, is a family of interpolation spaces associated to $-A$ ensures that for every $r \in [0, \infty)$ it holds that $(H_r, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_r}, \|\cdot\|_{H_r}) = (D((-A)^r), \langle (-A)^r(\cdot), (-A)^r(\cdot) \rangle_H, \|(-A)^r(\cdot)\|_H)$.

2 Time discretization error estimates based on an Alekseev-Gröbner-type formula

Setting 2.1. Assume Setting 1.3, assume that $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $\xi \in H$, $O \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], H)$, $\mathbf{O} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, T]), \mathcal{B}(H))$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H, H)$, let $\mathbf{F}: H \rightarrow H$ be a function, for every $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ let $X_{s,(\cdot)}^x = (X_{s,t}^x)_{t \in [s, T]}: [s, T] \rightarrow H$ be a continuous function which satisfies for every $t \in [s, T]$ that

$$X_{s,t}^x = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A}F(X_{s,u}^x) du + O_t - e^{(t-s)A}O_s, \quad (5)$$

and let $\mathbf{X}: [0, T] \rightarrow H$ be the function which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\mathbf{X}_t = e^{tA}\xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-\lfloor u \rfloor \theta)A}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor u \rfloor \theta}) du + \mathbf{O}_t. \quad (6)$$

Note that for every topological space (X, τ) it holds that $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is the smallest sigma-algebra on X which contains all elements of τ .

2.1 Pathwise temporal approximation error estimates

In this subsection we apply the extended Alekseev-Gröbner formula in [46, Corollary 5.2] to express the difference between the exact solution $(X_{0,t}^{\xi+O_0})_{t \in [0, T]}$ of the integral equation (5) above, started at time $s = 0$ in $x = \xi + O_0$, and the corresponding numerical approximation $(\mathbf{X}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ in (6) above in terms of an appropriate integral in Lemma 2.3 below. We then combine these auxiliary results with Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 to derive an upper bound for the approximation error in Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 2.2. Assume Setting 1.3, assume that $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$, $Z \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([s, T]), \mathcal{B}(H))$ satisfy $\int_s^T \|Z_u\|_H du < \infty$, and let $Y: [s, T] \rightarrow H$ be the function which satisfies for every $t \in [s, T]$ that $Y_t = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A}Z_u du$. Then

(i) it holds that $Y \in \mathcal{C}([s, T], H)$ and

(ii) it holds for every $t \in [s, T]$ that $Y_t = x + \int_s^t [AY_u + Z_u] du$.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Throughout this proof assume w.l.o.g. that $s \in [0, T)$. Note that the fact that $\dim(H) < \infty$ ensures that for every $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that $\int_s^T \|e^{(s-u)A}Z_u\|_H du < \infty$ and

$$Y_t = e^{(t-s)A} \left(x + \int_s^t e^{(s-u)A}Z_u du \right). \quad (7)$$

Moreover, observe that the dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$\left([s, T] \ni t \mapsto \int_s^t e^{(s-u)A}Z_u du \right) \in \mathcal{C}([s, T], H). \quad (8)$$

Combining (7) and the fact that $([s, T] \ni t \mapsto e^{(t-s)A} \in L(H)) \in \mathcal{C}([s, T], L(H))$ therefore establishes item (i). Next note that (7), the fact that $[s, T] \times H \ni (t, h) \mapsto e^{(t-s)A}h \in H$ is continuously differentiable, and, e.g., [46, Corollary 2.8] (with $(V, \|\cdot\|_V) = (H, \|\cdot\|_H)$, $(W, \|\cdot\|_W) = (H, \|\cdot\|_H)$, $a = s$, $b = T$, $F = ([s, T] \ni t \mapsto (x + \int_s^t e^{(s-u)A}Z_u du) \in H)$, $\phi = ([s, T] \times H \ni (t, h) \mapsto e^{(t-s)A}h \in H)$, $f = ([s, T] \ni u \mapsto (e^{(s-u)A}Z_u) \in H)$ in the notation of [46, Corollary 2.8]) show that for every $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t - x &= \int_s^t \left[Ae^{(u-s)A} \left(x + \int_s^u e^{(s-r)A} Z_r dr \right) + e^{(u-s)A} e^{(s-u)A} Z_u \right] du \\ &= \int_s^t [AY_u + Z_u] du. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

This establishes item (ii). The proof of Lemma 2.2 is thus completed. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Assume Setting 2.1. Then*

(i) *it holds that $(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{O}) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], H)$,*

(ii) *it holds that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto X_{s,t}^x \in H) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,0,1}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H, H)$,*

(iii) *it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that*

$$\begin{aligned} ([0, t] \ni s \mapsto \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s} (e^{(s-\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s)) \right] \in H) \\ \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, t]), \mathcal{B}(H)), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

(iv) *it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that*

$$\int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s} (e^{(s-\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s)) \right\|_H ds < \infty, \quad (11)$$

and

(v) *it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that*

$$\mathbf{X}_t - X_{0,t}^{\xi + O_0} = \mathbf{O}_t - O_t + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s} (e^{(s-\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s)) ds. \quad (12)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Throughout this proof let $\lambda: \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \rightarrow [0, T]$ be the Lebesgue-Borel measure on $[0, T]$, let $\mathcal{Y}: [0, T] \rightarrow H$ be the function which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathcal{Y}_t = \mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{O}_t$, and let $\mathcal{X}_{s,(\cdot)}^x = (\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x)_{t \in [s, T]}: [s, T] \rightarrow H$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$, be the functions which satisfy for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $x \in H$ that $\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x = X_{s,t}^{x+O_s} - O_t$. Note that (5) implies that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $x \in H$ it holds that

$$\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A}F(\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u) du. \quad (13)$$

The fact that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ it holds that $([s, T] \ni t \mapsto F(\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x + O_t) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}([s, T], H)$ and item (ii) of Lemma 2.2 (with $T = T$, $s = s$, $x = x$, $Z = ([s, T] \ni t \mapsto F(\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x + O_t) \in H)$,

$Y = ([s, T] \ni t \mapsto \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x \in H)$ for $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ in the notation of item (ii) of Lemma 2.2) therefore ensure that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $x \in H$ it holds that

$$\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t [A\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + F(\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u)] du. \quad (14)$$

Next note that (6) implies that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathcal{Y}_t = e^{tA}\xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-\cdot)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\cdot} + \mathbf{O}_{\cdot}) d\cdot. \quad (15)$$

In addition, observe that the fact that $[0, T] \ni u \mapsto e^{(u-\cdot)A} \in L(H)$ is bounded and left-continuous implies that

$$([0, T] \ni u \mapsto e^{(u-\cdot)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\cdot} + \mathbf{O}_{\cdot}) \in H) \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda; H). \quad (16)$$

Combining (15) and Lemma 2.2 (with $T = T$, $s = 0$, $x = \xi$, $Z = ([0, T] \ni u \mapsto e^{(u-\cdot)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\cdot} + \mathbf{O}_{\cdot}) \in H)$, $Y = \mathcal{Y}$ in the notation of Lemma 2.2) therefore proves that

(a) it holds that $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], H)$ and

(b) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\mathcal{Y}_t = \xi + \int_0^t [A\mathcal{Y}_u + e^{(u-\cdot)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\cdot} + \mathbf{O}_{\cdot})] du. \quad (17)$$

Observe that item (a) and the fact that $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{O}$ establish item (i). Furthermore, note that (16), the assumption that $O \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], H)$, the fact that $F \in \mathcal{C}(H, H)$, and item (a) ensure that

$$([0, T] \ni u \mapsto e^{(u-\cdot)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\cdot} + \mathbf{O}_{\cdot}) - F(\mathcal{Y}_u + O_u) \in H) \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda; H). \quad (18)$$

In addition, observe that the assumption that $\dim(H) < \infty$, the fact that $O \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], H)$, the fact that $F \in \mathcal{C}(H, H)$, and item (a) show that

$$([0, T] \ni u \mapsto A\mathcal{Y}_u + F(\mathcal{Y}_u + O_u) \in H) \in \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda; H). \quad (19)$$

This, (18), and item (b) imply that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathcal{Y}_t = \xi + \int_0^t [A\mathcal{Y}_u + F(\mathcal{Y}_u + O_u)] du + \int_0^t [e^{(u-\cdot)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\cdot} + \mathbf{O}_{\cdot}) - F(\mathcal{Y}_u + O_u)] du. \quad (20)$$

Combining (14), (18), (19), the fact that $([0, T] \times H \ni (u, h) \mapsto Ah + F(h + O_u) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}([0, T] \times H, H)$, and [46, Corollary 5.2] (with $V = H$, $T = T$, $f = ([0, T] \times H \ni (u, h) \mapsto Ah + F(h + O_u) \in H)$, $Y = \mathcal{Y}$, $E = ([0, T] \ni u \mapsto e^{(u-\cdot)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\cdot} + \mathbf{O}_{\cdot}) - F(\mathcal{Y}_u + O_u) \in H)$, $X_{s,t}^x = \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x$ for $x \in H$, $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$ in the notation of [46, Corollary 5.2]) hence proves that

(A) it holds that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x \in H) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,0,1}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H, H)$,

(B) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$([0, t] \ni s \mapsto \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x (e^{(s-\cdot)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\cdot} + \mathbf{O}_{\cdot}) - F(\mathcal{Y}_s + O_s)) \right] \in H) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, t]), \mathcal{B}(H)), \quad (21)$$

(C) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s} (e^{(s-\lfloor s \rfloor \theta)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta} + \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathcal{Y}_s + O_s)) \right\|_H ds < \infty, \quad (22)$$

and

(D) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\mathcal{Y}_t - \mathcal{X}_{0,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_0} = \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s} (e^{(s-\lfloor s \rfloor \theta)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta} + \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathcal{Y}_s + O_s)) ds. \quad (23)$$

Observe that the fact that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $x \in H$ it holds that $X_{s,t}^x = \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{x-O_s} + O_t$, the assumption that $O \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], H)$, and item (A) establish item (ii). Next note that item (B), the fact that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s+O_s}$, and the fact that for every $s \in [0, T]$ it holds that $\mathcal{Y}_s = \mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s$ imply item (iii). In addition, observe that item (C), the fact that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s+O_s}$, and the fact that for every $s \in [0, T]$ it holds that $\mathcal{Y}_s = \mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s$ show item (iv). Moreover, note that item (D), the fact that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that $\mathcal{X}_{0,t}^\xi = X_{0,t}^{\xi+O_0} - O_t$, the fact that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s+O_s}$, and the fact that for every $s \in [0, T]$ it holds that $\mathcal{Y}_s = \mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s$ establish item (v). The proof of Lemma 2.3 is thus completed. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Assume Setting 2.1, let $C, c \in [1, \infty)$, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, $\delta \in [0, \gamma]$, $\iota \in [0, 1 - \delta]$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and assume for every $x, y \in H$ that $\|F(x) - F(y)\|_H \leq C\|x - y\|_{H_\delta} (1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$. Then it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor \theta)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{O}_t + O_t)\|_H \\ & \leq [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_{H_{\gamma-\delta}} + \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_H + C \left([|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \|\xi\|_{H_\gamma} \right. \\ & \quad + [|\theta|_T]^{1-\delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_H + [|\theta|_T]^\iota \int_0^{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta} (\lfloor t \rfloor \theta - \lfloor s \rfloor \theta)^{-\delta-\iota} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_H ds \\ & \quad \left. + \|\mathbf{O}_t - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\delta} + \|\mathbf{O}_t - O_t\|_{H_\delta} \right) (1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\kappa}^c + (\|\mathbf{X}_t\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\mathbf{O}_t - O_t\|_{H_\kappa})^c). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.4. Note that the triangle inequality shows that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor \theta)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{O}_t + O_t)\|_H \\ & \leq \|(e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor \theta)A} - \text{Id}_H) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_H \\ & \quad + \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_H + \|F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{O}_t + O_t)\|_H. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

In addition, observe that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor \theta)A} - \text{Id}_H) \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_H \leq \|(-A)^{\delta-\gamma} (e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor \theta)A} - \text{Id}_H)\|_{L(H)} \|(-A)^{\gamma-\delta} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_H \\ & \leq (t - \lfloor t \rfloor \theta)^{\gamma-\delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_{H_{\gamma-\delta}} \leq [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_{H_{\gamma-\delta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Moreover, note that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{O}_t + O_t)\|_H \\ & \leq C \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta} - \mathbf{X}_t + \mathbf{O}_t - O_t\|_{H_\delta} (1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{O}_t + O_t\|_{H_\kappa}^c). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The triangle inequality hence shows that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{O}_t + O_t)\|_H \\ & \leq C(\|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta} - \mathbf{X}_t\|_{H_\delta} + \|\mathbf{O}_t - O_t\|_{H_\delta})(1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\kappa}^c + (\|\mathbf{X}_t\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\mathbf{O}_t - O_t\|_{H_\kappa})^c). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

In the next step we observe that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{X}_t - \mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\delta} & \leq \|e^{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta A}(e^{(t - \lfloor t \rfloor \theta)A} - \text{Id}_H)\xi\|_{H_\delta} + \int_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}^t \|e^{(t - \lfloor s \rfloor \theta)A}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_{H_\delta} ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta} \|(e^{(t - \lfloor s \rfloor \theta)A} - e^{(\lfloor t \rfloor \theta - \lfloor s \rfloor \theta)A})\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_{H_\delta} ds + \|\mathbf{O}_t - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\delta} \\ & \leq \|(-A)^{\delta - \gamma}(e^{(t - \lfloor t \rfloor \theta)A} - \text{Id}_H)\|_{L(H)}\|\xi\|_{H_\gamma} + \int_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}^t \|(-A)^\delta e^{(t - \lfloor t \rfloor \theta)A}\|_{L(H)}\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_H ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta} \|(-A)^{\delta + \iota} e^{(\lfloor t \rfloor \theta - \lfloor s \rfloor \theta)A}\|_{L(H)}\|(-A)^{-\iota}(e^{(t - \lfloor t \rfloor \theta)A} - \text{Id}_H)\|_{L(H)}\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_H ds \\ & \quad + \|\mathbf{O}_t - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\delta} \\ & \leq (t - \lfloor t \rfloor \theta)^{\gamma - \delta}\|\xi\|_{H_\gamma} + (t - \lfloor t \rfloor \theta)^{1 - \delta}\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_H \\ & \quad + \int_0^{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta} (\lfloor t \rfloor \theta - \lfloor s \rfloor \theta)^{-\delta - \iota}(t - \lfloor t \rfloor \theta)^\iota\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_H ds + \|\mathbf{O}_t - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\delta} \\ & \leq [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma - \delta}\|\xi\|_{H_\gamma} + [|\theta|_T]^{1 - \delta}\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta})\|_H \\ & \quad + [|\theta|_T]^\iota \int_0^{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta} (\lfloor t \rfloor \theta - \lfloor s \rfloor \theta)^{-\delta - \iota}\|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_H ds + \|\mathbf{O}_t - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor t \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Combining (25), (26), and (28) therefore establishes (24). The proof of Lemma 2.4 is thus completed. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Assume Setting 2.1, let $C, c \in [1, \infty)$, $\gamma \in [0, 1]$, $\delta \in [0, \gamma]$, $\iota \in [0, 1 - \delta]$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, and assume for every $x, y \in H$ that $\|F(x) - F(y)\|_H \leq C\|x - y\|_{H_\delta}(1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$. Then*

- (i) *it holds that $(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{O}) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], H)$,*
- (ii) *it holds that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto X_{s,t}^x \in H) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,0,1}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H, H)$, and*
- (iii) *it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{X}_t - X_{0,t}^{\xi + O_0}\|_H & \leq \|\mathbf{O}_t - O_t\|_H + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s} \right\|_{L(H)} \left\{ [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma - \delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_{H_{\gamma - \delta}} \right. \\ & \quad + \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_H + C \left([|\theta|_T]^{\gamma - \delta} \|\xi\|_{H_\gamma} + [|\theta|_T]^{1 - \delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_H \right. \\ & \quad + [|\theta|_T]^\iota \int_0^{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta} (\lfloor s \rfloor \theta - \lfloor u \rfloor \theta)^{-\delta - \iota} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor u \rfloor \theta})\|_H du + \|\mathbf{O}_s - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\delta} \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{H_\delta} \right) (1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\mathbf{X}_s\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{H_\kappa})^c \right\} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.5. Observe that item (i) of Lemma 2.3 implies item (i). In addition, note that item (ii) of Lemma 2.3 establishes item (ii). Moreover, observe that items (iii) and (v) of

Lemma 2.3 and the triangle inequality show that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{X}_t - X_{0,t}^{\xi+O_0}\|_H &\leq \|\mathbf{O}_t - O_t\|_H \\ &+ \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s} \left(e^{(s-\cdot)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\cdot s-\theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s) \right) \right\|_H ds. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Lemma 2.4 (with $C = C$, $c = c$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\delta = \delta$, $\iota = \iota$, $\kappa = \kappa$ in the notation of Lemma 2.4) and the fact that $\forall a, b \in [0, \infty)$, $c \in [1, \infty)$: $1 + a^c + b^c \leq (1 + a + b)^c$ therefore establish item (iii). The proof of Lemma 2.5 is thus completed. \square

2.2 Strong temporal approximation error estimates

In this subsection we recall in Lemma 2.6 (see, e.g., Aliprantis & Border [2, Lemma 4.51]) and Lemma 2.7 (see, e.g., Aliprantis & Border [2, Theorem 4.55]) some basic facts on measurability properties of functions. Thereafter, we combine Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7 with Lemma 2.3 to establish in Lemma 2.8 suitable regularity properties for the solution of a stochastic version of the integral equation in (5) above (see (32) below). Combining Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 2.5 enables us to establish in Corollary 2.9 an upper moment bound for the difference between the solution of the considered SODE (cf. (46) below and (5) above) and its numerical approximation (cf. (47) below and (6) above).

Lemma 2.6. *Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space, let (X, d_X) be a separable metric space, let (Y, d_Y) be a metric space, let $f: X \times \Omega \rightarrow Y$ be a function, assume for every $x \in X$ that $\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto f(x, \omega) \in Y$ is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(Y)$ -measurable, and assume for every $\omega \in \Omega$ that $(X \ni x \mapsto f(x, \omega) \in Y) \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. Then it holds that $f: X \times \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is $(\mathcal{B}(X) \otimes \mathcal{F})/\mathcal{B}(Y)$ -measurable.*

Lemma 2.7. *Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}) be a measurable space, let (X, d_X) be a compact metric space, let (Y, d_Y) be a separable metric space, let $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ be endowed with the topology of uniform convergence, let $f: X \times \Omega \rightarrow Y$ be a function, assume for every $x \in X$ that $\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto f(x, \omega) \in Y$ is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(Y)$ -measurable, and assume for every $\omega \in \Omega$ that $(X \ni x \mapsto f(x, \omega) \in Y) \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$. Then it holds that $\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto (X \ni x \mapsto f(x, \omega) \in Y) \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is $\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{C}(X, Y))$ -measurable.*

Lemma 2.8. *Assume Setting 1.3, assume that $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H, H)$, $Y, Z \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, let $O: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a stochastic process with continuous sample paths, and for every $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ let $X_{s,(\cdot)}^x = (X_{s,t}^x)_{t \in [s, T]}: [s, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [s, T]$ that*

$$X_{s,t}^x = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A}F(X_{s,u}^x) du + O_t - e^{(t-s)A}O_s. \quad (32)$$

Then

- (i) *it holds for every $\omega \in \Omega$ that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,0,1}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H, H)$,*
- (ii) *it holds that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times \Omega \ni (s, t, \omega) \mapsto X_{s,t}^{Y_s(\omega)}(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, and*

(iii) it holds that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times \Omega \ni (s, t, \omega) \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{Z_s(\omega)}(\omega) \in L(H)) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(L(H)))$.

Proof of Lemma 2.8. Throughout this proof let $\angle_T = \{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\}$, let $V = \mathcal{C}(\{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\}, H)$, let $\|\cdot\|_V: V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function which satisfies for every $f \in V$ that

$$\|f\|_V = \sup_{h \in \{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\}} \|f(h)\|_H, \quad (33)$$

and let $\iota: L(H) \rightarrow V$ be the function which satisfies for every $Q \in L(H)$ that

$$\iota(Q) = (\{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\} \ni h \mapsto Q(h) \in H). \quad (34)$$

Note that item (ii) of Lemma 2.3 (with $T = T$, $O_t = O_t(\omega)$, $F = F$, $X_{s,t}^x = X_{s,t}^x(\omega)$ for $(s, t) \in \angle_T$, $x \in H$, $\omega \in \Omega$ in the notation of item (ii) of Lemma 2.3) establishes item (i). This ensures that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$(\angle_T \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}(\angle_T \times H, H). \quad (35)$$

The fact that for every $(s, t) \in \angle_T$, $x \in H$ it holds that $(\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ and Lemma 2.6 (with $\Omega = \Omega$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$, $X = \angle_T \times H$, $d_X = ([\angle_T \times H]^2 \ni ((s_1, t_1, x_1), (s_2, t_2, x_2)) \mapsto [|s_1 - s_2|^2 + |t_1 - t_2|^2 + \|x_1 - x_2\|_H^2]^{1/2} \in [0, \infty))$, $Y = H$, $d_Y = (H^2 \ni (x_1, x_2) \mapsto \|x_1 - x_2\|_H \in [0, \infty))$, $f = (\angle_T \times H \times \Omega \ni (s, t, x, \omega) \mapsto X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H)$ in the notation of Lemma 2.6) hence show that

$$(\angle_T \times H \times \Omega \ni (s, t, x, \omega) \mapsto X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\angle_T) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H)). \quad (36)$$

The fact that $(\angle_T \times \Omega \ni (s, t, \omega) \mapsto (s, t, Y_s(\omega), \omega) \in \angle_T \times H \times \Omega) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\angle_T) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\angle_T) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H) \otimes \mathcal{F})$ therefore establishes item (ii). Furthermore, observe that item (i) implies that for every $(s, t) \in \angle_T$, $x \in H$, $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{r \searrow 0} \left\| \left(\{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\} \ni h \mapsto \frac{X_{s,t}^{x+rh}(\omega) - X_{s,t}^x(\omega)}{r} \in H \right) - \iota\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^x(\omega)\right) \right\|_V \\ &= \limsup_{r \searrow 0} \left[\sup_{h \in H, \|h\|_H \leq 1} \left\| \frac{X_{s,t}^{x+rh}(\omega) - X_{s,t}^x(\omega)}{r} - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^x(\omega)\right)h \right\|_H \right] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Moreover, note that Lemma 2.7 (with $\Omega = \Omega$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$, $X = \{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\}$, $d_X = (\{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\} \times \{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\} \ni (x, y) \mapsto \|x - y\|_H \in [0, \infty))$, $Y = H$, $d_Y = (H \times H \ni (x, y) \mapsto \|x - y\|_H \in [0, \infty))$, $f = (\{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\} \times \Omega \ni (h, \omega) \mapsto X_{s,t}^{x+rh}(\omega) \in H)$ for $(s, t) \in \angle_T$, $x \in H$, $r \in (0, \infty)$ in the notation of Lemma 2.7) assures that for every $(s, t) \in \angle_T$, $x \in H$, $r \in (0, \infty)$ it holds that

$$(\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto (\{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\} \ni h \mapsto X_{s,t}^{x+rh}(\omega) \in H) \in V) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(V)). \quad (38)$$

This and (37) prove that for every $(s, t) \in \angle_T$, $x \in H$ it holds that

$$(\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \iota\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^x(\omega)\right) \in V) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(V)). \quad (39)$$

Hence, we obtain that for every $Q \in L(H)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, $(s, t) \in \angle_T$, $x \in H$ it holds that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega: \|\iota\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^x(\omega)\right) - \iota(Q)\|_V < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (40)$$

In addition, observe that for every $Q_1, Q_2 \in L(H)$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_1 - Q_2\|_{L(H)} &= \sup_{h \in \{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\}} \|Q_1(h) - Q_2(h)\|_H \\ &= \sup_{h \in \{w \in H: \|w\|_H \leq 1\}} \|\iota(Q_1)(h) - \iota(Q_2)(h)\|_H = \|\iota(Q_1) - \iota(Q_2)\|_V. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Combining this and (40) ensures that for every $Q \in L(H)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, $(s, t) \in \angle_T$, $x \in H$ it holds that

$$\{\omega \in \Omega: \|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^x(\omega) - Q\|_{L(H)} < \varepsilon\} = \{\omega \in \Omega: \|\iota(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^x(\omega)) - \iota(Q)\|_V < \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}. \quad (42)$$

The fact that $L(H)$ is a separable metric space and the fact that the Borel-sigma algebra on a separable metric space is generated by the set of open balls therefore prove that for every $(s, t) \in \angle_T$, $x \in H$ it holds that

$$(\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in L(H)) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(L(H))). \quad (43)$$

Moreover, note that item (i) ensures that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$(\angle_T \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in L(H)) \in \mathcal{C}(\angle_T \times H, L(H)). \quad (44)$$

Lemma 2.6 (with $\Omega = \Omega$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$, $X = \angle_T \times H$, $d_X = ([\angle_T \times H]^2 \ni ((s_1, t_1, x_1), (s_2, t_2, x_2)) \mapsto [|s_1 - s_2|^2 + |t_1 - t_2|^2 + \|x_1 - x_2\|_H^2]^{1/2} \in [0, \infty))$, $Y = L(H)$, $d_Y = ([L(H)]^2 \ni (A_1, A_2) \mapsto \|A_1 - A_2\|_{L(H)} \in [0, \infty))$, $f = (\angle_T \times H \times \Omega \ni (s, t, x, \omega) \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in L(H))$ in the notation of Lemma 2.6) and (43) therefore prove that

$$(\angle_T \times H \times \Omega \ni (s, t, x, \omega) \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in L(H)) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\angle_T) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(L(H))). \quad (45)$$

The fact that $(\angle_T \times \Omega \ni (s, t, \omega) \mapsto (s, t, Z_s(\omega), \omega) \in \angle_T \times H \times \Omega) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\angle_T) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\angle_T) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H) \otimes \mathcal{F})$ hence establishes item (iii). The proof of Lemma 2.8 is thus completed. \square

Corollary 2.9. *Assume Setting 1.3, assume that $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $C, c, p \in [1, \infty)$, $\gamma \in [0, 1)$, $\delta \in [0, \gamma]$, $\iota \in [0, 1 - \delta)$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H, H)$, $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{B}(H))$, $\mathbf{O} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, let $O: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a stochastic process with continuous sample paths, assume for every $x, y \in H$ that $\|F(x) - F(y)\|_H \leq C\|x - y\|_{H_\delta}(1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$, for every $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ let $X_{s,(\cdot)}^x = (X_{s,t}^x)_{t \in [s, T]}: [s, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [s, T]$ that*

$$X_{s,t}^x = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A}F(X_{s,u}^x) du + O_t - e^{(t-s)A}O_s, \quad (46)$$

and let $\mathbf{X}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a function which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\mathbf{X}_t = e^{tA}\xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A}\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{u-\theta}) du + \mathbf{O}_t. \quad (47)$$

Then

(i) it holds that $\mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$,

- (ii) it holds for every $\omega \in \Omega$ that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,0,1}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H, H)$,
- (iii) it holds for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times \Omega \ni (s, t, \omega) \mapsto X_{s,t}^{\zeta(\omega)+O_s(\omega)}(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$,
- (iv) it holds for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times \Omega \ni (s, t, \omega) \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathbf{X}_s(\omega)-\mathbf{O}_s(\omega)+O_s(\omega)+e^{sA}(\zeta(\omega)-\xi(\omega))}(\omega) \in L(H)) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(L(H)))$, and
- (v) it holds for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{X}_t - X_{0,t}^{\zeta+O_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} \leq \|\mathbf{O}_t - O_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} + \|\xi - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} \\
& + \frac{C \max\{T, 1\}}{1-\delta-\iota} \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s + e^{sA}(\zeta - \xi)} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P};L(H))} \left\{ [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P};H_{\gamma-\delta})} \right. \\
& + \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P};H)} + \left([|\theta|_T]^{1-\delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P};H)} \right. \\
& + [|\theta|_T]^\iota \sup_{u \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_u)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P};H)} + \|\mathbf{O}_s - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P};H_\delta)} + [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P};H_\gamma)} \\
& + \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P};H_\delta)} + \|\xi - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P};H_\delta)} \left. \right) [1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P};H_\kappa)} + \|\mathbf{X}_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P};H_\kappa)} \\
& + \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P};H_\kappa)} + \|\xi - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P};H_\kappa)}]^c ds. \tag{48}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Corollary 2.9. Observe that item (i) of Lemma 2.5 (with $T = T$, $\theta = \theta$, $\xi = \xi(\omega)$, $O_s = O_s(\omega)$, $\mathbf{O}_s = \mathbf{O}_s(\omega)$, $F = F$, $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}$, $X_{s,t}^x = X_{s,t}^x(\omega)$, $\mathbf{X}_s = \mathbf{X}_s(\omega)$ for $\omega \in \Omega$, $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ in the notation of item (i) of Lemma 2.5) proves that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$([0, T] \ni t \mapsto \mathbf{X}_t(\omega) - \mathbf{O}_t(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], H). \tag{49}$$

Moreover, note that (47), the fact that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that $(\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \mathbf{O}_t(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, and the assumption that $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ ensure that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$(\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \mathbf{X}_t(\omega) - \mathbf{O}_t(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H)). \tag{50}$$

Combining this, (49), and Lemma 2.6 (with $\Omega = \Omega$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$, $X = [0, T]$, $d_X = ([0, T]^2 \ni (s, t) \mapsto |t - s| \in [0, \infty))$, $Y = H$, $d_Y = (H \times H \ni (x, y) \mapsto \|x - y\|_H \in [0, \infty))$, $f = \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{O}$ in the notation of Lemma 2.6) ensures that

$$([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \mathbf{X}_t(\omega) - \mathbf{O}_t(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H)). \tag{51}$$

The assumption that $\mathbf{O} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ therefore establishes item (i). Next note that Lemma 2.8 (with $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $T = T$, $F = F$, $Y_s = \zeta + O_s$, $Z_s = \mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s + e^{sA}(\zeta - \xi)$, $O_s = O_s$, $X_{s,t}^x = X_{s,t}^x$ for $x \in H$, $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ in the notation of Lemma 2.8) establishes items (ii)–(iv). In the next step we observe that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $x \in H$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ it holds that

$$X_{s,t}^x = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A}F(X_{s,u}^x) du + (O_t + e^{tA}\zeta) - e^{(t-s)A}(O_s + e^{sA}\zeta) \tag{52}$$

and

$$\mathbf{X}_t = \int_0^t e^{(t-\lfloor u \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_\theta}) du + [\mathbf{O}_t + e^{tA} \xi]. \quad (53)$$

Lemma 2.5 (with $T = T$, $\theta = \theta$, $\xi = 0$, $O_s = O_s(\omega) + e^{sA} \zeta(\omega)$, $\mathbf{O}_s = \mathbf{O}_s(\omega) + e^{sA} \xi(\omega)$, $F = F$, $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}$, $X_{s,t}^x = X_{s,t}^x(\omega)$, $\mathbf{X}_s = \mathbf{X}_s(\omega)$, $C = C$, $c = c$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\delta = \delta$, $\iota = \iota$, $\kappa = \kappa$ for $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \in H$, $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$, $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ in the notation of Lemma 2.5) therefore implies that for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{X}_t - X_{0,t}^{\zeta+O_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{O}_t - O_t + e^{tA}(\xi - \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} + \int_0^t \left\| \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s + e^{sA}(\zeta - \xi)} \right\|_{L(H)} \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left([|\theta|_T]^{\gamma - \delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta})\|_{H_{\gamma - \delta}} + \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta})\|_H \right. \\ & \quad + C \left([|\theta|_T]^{1 - \delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta})\|_H + [|\theta|_T]^\iota \int_0^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta} (\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta - \lfloor u \rfloor_\theta)^{-\delta - \iota} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_\theta})\|_H du \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \|\mathbf{O}_s - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta} + (e^{sA} - e^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta A}) \xi\|_{H_\delta} + \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s + e^{sA}(\xi - \zeta)\|_{H_\delta} \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot [1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\mathbf{X}_s\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s + e^{sA}(\xi - \zeta)\|_{H_\kappa}]^c \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};\mathbb{R})} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Hölder's inequality and the triangle inequality hence show that for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{X}_t - X_{0,t}^{\zeta+O_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{O}_t - O_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} + \|e^{tA}(\xi - \zeta)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s + e^{sA}(\zeta - \xi)} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P};L(H))} \\ & \quad \cdot \left\| [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma - \delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta})\|_{H_{\gamma - \delta}} + \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta})\|_H \right. \\ & \quad + C \left([|\theta|_T]^{1 - \delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta})\|_H + [|\theta|_T]^\iota \int_0^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta} (\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta - \lfloor u \rfloor_\theta)^{-\delta - \iota} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_\theta})\|_H du \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\mathbf{O}_s - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}\|_{H_\delta} + \|(e^{sA} - e^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta A}) \xi\|_{H_\delta} + \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{H_\delta} + \|e^{sA}(\xi - \zeta)\|_{H_\delta} \right) \\ & \quad \cdot [1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\mathbf{X}_s\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{H_\kappa} + \|e^{sA}(\xi - \zeta)\|_{H_\kappa}]^c \Big\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P};\mathbb{R})} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Hölder's inequality and the triangle inequality therefore prove that for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{X}_t - X_{0,t}^{\zeta+O_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{O}_t - O_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} + \|\xi - \zeta\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P};H)} + \int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s + e^{sA}(\zeta - \xi)} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P};L(H))} \\ & \quad \cdot \left\{ [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma - \delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P};H_{\gamma - \delta})} + \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}) - F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P};H)} \right. \\ & \quad + C \left\| [|\theta|_T]^{1 - \delta} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta})\|_H + [|\theta|_T]^\iota \int_0^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta} (\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta - \lfloor u \rfloor_\theta)^{-\delta - \iota} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_\theta})\|_H du \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\mathbf{O}_s - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}\|_{H_\delta} + \|(e^{sA} - e^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta A}) \xi\|_{H_\delta} + \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{H_\delta} + \|\xi - \zeta\|_{H_\delta} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P};\mathbb{R})} \\ & \quad \cdot \left\| 1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\mathbf{X}_s\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{H_\kappa} + \|\xi - \zeta\|_{H_\kappa} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P};\mathbb{R})}^c \Big\} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

In addition, note that the fact that $\delta + \iota < 1$ assures that for every $s \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \int_0^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta} (\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta - \lfloor u \rfloor_\theta)^{-\delta-\iota} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_\theta})\|_H du \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})} \\
& \leq \int_0^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta} (\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta - \lfloor u \rfloor_\theta)^{-\delta-\iota} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_\theta})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} du \\
& \leq \sup_{u \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_u)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \int_0^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta} (\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta - u)^{-\delta-\iota} du \\
& = \sup_{u \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_u)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \frac{(\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta)^{1-\delta-\iota}}{1-\delta-\iota} \\
& \leq \sup_{u \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_u)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \frac{\max\{T, 1\}}{1-\delta-\iota}.
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Furthermore, observe that for every $s \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(e^{sA} - e^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta A})\xi\|_{H_\delta} &= \|e^{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta A} (e^{(s-\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta)A} - \text{Id}_H)\xi\|_{H_\delta} \leq \|(-A)^\delta (e^{(s-\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta)A} - \text{Id}_H)\xi\|_H \\
&\leq \|(-A)^{\delta-\gamma} (e^{(s-\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta)A} - \text{Id}_H)\|_{L(H)} \|\xi\|_{H_\gamma} \leq (s - \lfloor s \rfloor_\theta)^{\gamma-\delta} \|\xi\|_{H_\gamma} \leq [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \|\xi\|_{H_\gamma}.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

Combining this with (56) and (57) establishes item (v). The proof of Corollary 2.9 is thus completed. \square

3 Moment bounds for the derivative process and resulting time discretization error estimates

3.1 A priori bounds for the derivative process

In this subsection we derive in Lemma 3.5 an appropriate moment bound for the pathwise derivatives of the solution processes $(X_{s,t}^x)_{t \in [s, T]}$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$, with respect to their initial conditions appearing in item (v) of Corollary 2.9 above (see (88) in Lemma 3.5 below). We first demonstrate in Lemma 3.1 that the well known local monotonicity property (see (59) in Lemma 3.1 below and cf., e.g., Liu & Röckner [55, (H2') in Chapter 5]) together with the continuous Fréchet differentiability of the nonlinearity F implies the property of F' that we are exploiting in this article (see (60) in Lemma 3.1 below). In addition, Proposition 3.2 (cf. Hairer & Mattingly [33, (4.8) in Section 4.4]) provides a suitable upper bound for the derivative process appearing in item (v) of Corollary 2.9 (see (64) in Proposition 3.2 below). Combining Lemma 2.8 and Proposition 3.2 implies Corollary 3.3 which we use together with Cox et al. [17, Corollary 2.4] as a tool to establish in Lemma 3.5 the desired moment bound.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume Setting 1.3, let $\varepsilon, \mathbf{C}, \gamma \in [0, \infty)$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H_\gamma, H)$, and assume for every $x, y \in H_{\max\{\gamma, 1/2\}}$ that*

$$\langle F(x) - F(y), x - y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|x - y\|_H^2 + \|x - y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2. \tag{59}$$

Then it holds for every $x, y \in H_{\max\{\gamma, 1/2\}}$ that

$$\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2. \tag{60}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Observe that for every $x \in H_{\max\{\gamma, 1/2\}}$, $y \in (H_{\max\{\gamma, 1/2\}} \setminus \{0\})$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H &= \left\langle \lim_{r \searrow 0} \frac{F(x+ry) - F(x)}{r}, y \right\rangle_H = \lim_{r \searrow 0} \left\langle \frac{F(x+ry) - F(x)}{r}, y \right\rangle_H \\
&= \lim_{r \searrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} \langle F(x+ry) - F(x), ry \rangle_H \right) \\
&\leq ((\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2) \limsup_{r \searrow 0} \left[\frac{\frac{1}{r^2} \langle F(x+ry) - F(x), ry \rangle_H}{(\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2} \right] \\
&= ((\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2) \limsup_{r \searrow 0} \left[\frac{\langle F(x+ry) - F(x), ry \rangle_H}{(\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|ry\|_H^2 + \|ry\|_{H_{1/2}}^2} \right] \quad (61) \\
&\leq ((\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2) \sup_{r \in (0, 1]} \left[\frac{\langle F(x+ry) - F(x), ry \rangle_H}{(\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|ry\|_H^2 + \|ry\|_{H_{1/2}}^2} \right] \\
&\leq ((\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2) \sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, 1/2\}} \setminus \{0\}} \left[\frac{\langle F(x+v) - F(x), v \rangle_H}{(\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|v\|_H^2 + \|v\|_{H_{1/2}}^2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this and (59) establishes (61). The proof of Lemma 3.1 is thus completed. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Assume Setting 1.3, assume that $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $\varepsilon, \mathbf{C} \in [0, \infty)$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H, H)$, $O \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], H)$, assume for every $x, y \in H$ that $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, and for every $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ let $\mathcal{X}_{s,(\cdot)}^x = (\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x)_{t \in [s, T]}: [s, T] \rightarrow H$ be a continuous function which satisfies for every $t \in [s, T]$ that*

$$\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x = x + \int_s^t (A\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + F(\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u)) du. \quad (62)$$

Then

(i) *it holds that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x \in H) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,0,1}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H, H)$,*

(ii) *it holds for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $x, y \in H$ that*

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x \right) y = y + \int_s^t [A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y + F'(\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y] du, \quad (63)$$

and

(iii) *it holds for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $x \in H$ that*

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x \right\|_{L(H)} \leq \exp \left(\int_s^t (\varepsilon \|\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) du \right). \quad (64)$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Note that the fact that $([0, T] \times H \ni (u, h) \mapsto Ah + F(h + O_u) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}([0, T] \times H, H)$ and, e.g., [46, items (v) and (vi) of Lemma 4.8] (with $V = H$, $T = T$, $f = ([0, T] \times H \ni (u, h) \mapsto Ah + F(h + O_u) \in H)$, $X_{s,t}^x = \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x$ for $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ in

the notation of [46, items (v) and (vi) of Lemma 4.8]) establish items (i) and (ii). Therefore, we obtain that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $x, y \in H$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x \right) y \right\|_H^2 - \|y\|_H^2 = 2 \int_s^t \left\langle \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y, A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y + F'(\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y \right\rangle_H du \\
& = 2 \int_s^t \left[\left\langle F'(\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y \right\rangle_H - \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y \right\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 \right] du \\
& \leq 2 \int_s^t \left[(\varepsilon \|\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y \right\|_H^2 + \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y \right\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 - \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y \right\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 \right] du \\
& = 2 \int_s^t \left[(\varepsilon \|\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x \right) y \right\|_H^2 \right] du.
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

Moreover, note that the assumption that $\dim(H) < \infty$ assures that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ it holds that

$$([s, T] \ni u \mapsto \|\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 \in [0, \infty)) \in \mathcal{C}([s, T], [0, \infty)). \tag{66}$$

Combining this, item (i), and (65) with Gronwall's lemma demonstrates that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $x, y \in H$ it holds that

$$\left\| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x \right) y \right\|_H \leq \|y\|_H \exp \left(\int_s^t (\varepsilon \|\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x + O_u\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) du \right). \tag{67}$$

The proof of Proposition 3.2 is thus completed. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Assume Setting 1.3, assume that $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $\varepsilon, \mathbf{C} \in [0, \infty)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H, H)$, $Y \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, let $O: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a stochastic process with continuous sample paths, assume for every $x, y \in H$ that $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, and for every $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ let $X_{s,(\cdot)}^x = (X_{s,t}^x)_{t \in [s, T]}: [s, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [s, T]$ that*

$$X_{s,t}^x = e^{(t-s)A} x + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A} F(X_{s,u}^x) du + O_t - e^{(t-s)A} O_s. \tag{68}$$

Then

- (i) *it holds for every $\omega \in \Omega$ that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto X_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,0,1}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H, H)$,*
- (ii) *it holds that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times \Omega \ni (s, t, \omega) \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{Y_s(\omega)}(\omega) \in L(H)) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(L(H)))$,*
- (iii) *it holds that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times \Omega \ni (s, t, \omega) \mapsto X_{s,t}^{Y_s(\omega)}(\omega) \in H_{1/2}) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H_{1/2}))$, and*
- (iv) *it holds for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{Y_s} \right\|_{L(H)}^p \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(p \int_s^t (\varepsilon \|X_{s,u}^{Y_s}\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) du \right) \right]. \tag{69}$$

Proof of Corollary 3.3. Throughout this proof let $\mathcal{X}_{s,(\cdot)}^x = (\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x)_{t \in [s,T]}: [s,T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$, $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in H$, be the functions which satisfy for every $s \in [0,T]$, $t \in [s,T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \in H$ that

$$\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega) = X_{s,t}^{x+O_s(\omega)}(\omega) - O_t(\omega). \quad (70)$$

Observe that items (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2.8 (with $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $T = T$, $F = F$, $Z_s = Y_s$, $O_s = O_s$, $X_{s,t}^x = X_{s,t}^x$ for $t \in [s,T]$, $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in H$ in the notation of items (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2.8) establish items (i) and (ii). Furthermore, note that item (ii) of Lemma 2.8 (with $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $T = T$, $F = F$, $Y_s = Y_s$, $O_s = O_s$, $X_{s,t}^x = X_{s,t}^x$ for $t \in [s,T]$, $s \in [0,T]$, $x \in H$ in the notation of item (ii) of Lemma 2.8) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times \Omega \ni (s, t, \omega) \mapsto X_{s,t}^{Y_s(\omega)}(\omega) \in H) \\ \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H)). \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

The assumption that $\dim(H) < \infty$ hence establishes item (iii). Next observe that (70) and the fact that for every $s \in [0,T]$, $t \in [s,T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \in H$ it holds that

$$X_{s,t}^{x+O_s(\omega)}(\omega) = e^{(t-s)A}(x + O_s(\omega)) + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A} F(X_{s,u}^{x+O_s(\omega)}(\omega)) du + O_t(\omega) - e^{(t-s)A} O_s(\omega) \quad (72)$$

prove that for every $s \in [0,T]$, $t \in [s,T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \in H$ it holds that

$$\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega) = e^{(t-s)A} x + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A} F(\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x(\omega) + O_u(\omega)) du. \quad (73)$$

The fact that $F \in \mathcal{C}(H, H)$, the fact that $\forall s \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega: ([s, T] \ni t \mapsto O_t(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}([s, T], H)$, the fact that $\forall s \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega, x \in H: ([s, T] \ni t \mapsto \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}([s, T], H)$, and Lemma 2.2 (with $T = T$, $s = s$, $x = x$, $Z = ([s, T] \ni t \mapsto F(\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega) + O_t(\omega)) \in H)$, $Y = ([s, T] \ni t \mapsto \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H)$ for $s \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \in H$ in the notation of Lemma 2.2) therefore ensure that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \in H$ it holds that

$$\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega) = x + \int_s^t [A \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x(\omega) + F(\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x(\omega) + O_u(\omega))] du. \quad (74)$$

Item (i) of Proposition 3.2 (with $T = T$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}$, $F = F$, $O_t = O_t(\omega)$, $\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x = \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega)$ for $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \in H$ in the notation of item (i) of Proposition 3.2) hence proves that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} (\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H) \\ \in \mathcal{C}^{0,0,1}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H, H). \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Moreover, observe that (74) and item (iii) of Proposition 3.2 (with $T = T$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}$, $F = F$, $O_t = O_t(\omega)$, $\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x = \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega)$ for $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $x \in H$ in the notation of item (iii) of Proposition 3.2) ensure that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{Y_s(\omega) - O_s(\omega)}(\omega) \right\|_{L(H)} \leq \exp \left(\int_s^t (\varepsilon \|\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^{Y_s(\omega) - O_s(\omega)}(\omega) + O_u(\omega)\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) du \right). \quad (76)$$

This and (70) show that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{Y_s(\omega)}(\omega) \right\|_{L(H)} \leq \exp \left(\int_s^t (\varepsilon \|X_{s,u}^{Y_s(\omega)}(\omega)\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) du \right). \quad (77)$$

Combining this and items (ii) and (iii) establishes item (iv). The proof of Corollary 3.3 is thus completed. \square

Lemma 3.4. *Assume Setting 1.3, assume that $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $s \in [0, T]$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H)$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical Wiener process, let $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$, $Z \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([s, T]) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$ satisfy for every $\omega \in \Omega$ that $\int_s^T \|Z_u(\omega)\|_H du < \infty$, and let $Y: [s, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ and $O: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be stochastic processes with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $t \in [s, T]$ that $[O_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} = \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A} B dW_u$ and*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(Y_t = e^{(t-s)A} \xi + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A} Z_u du + O_t - e^{(t-s)A} O_s \right) = 1. \quad (78)$$

Then it holds for every $t \in [s, T]$ that

$$[Y_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} = \left[\xi + \int_s^t [AY_u + Z_u] du \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} + \int_s^t B dW_u. \quad (79)$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. Throughout this proof let $\Sigma = \{\omega \in \Omega: (\forall t \in [s, T]: Y_t(\omega) = e^{(t-s)A} \xi(\omega) + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A} Z_u(\omega) du + O_t(\omega) - e^{(t-s)A} O_s(\omega))\}$. Observe that item (i) of Lemma 2.2 (with $T = T$, $s = s$, $x = \xi(\omega)$, $Z_t = Z_t(\omega)$, $Y_t = e^{(t-s)A} \xi(\omega) + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A} Z_u(\omega) du$ for $t \in [s, T]$, $\omega \in \Sigma$ in the notation of item (i) of Lemma 2.2) proves that for every $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$\left([s, T] \ni t \mapsto e^{(t-s)A} \xi(\omega) + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A} Z_u(\omega) du \in H \right) \in \mathcal{C}([s, T], H). \quad (80)$$

The fact that O and Y have continuous sample paths and (78) therefore show that

$$\mathbb{P}(\Sigma) = 1. \quad (81)$$

Next note that the assumption that $\dim(H) < \infty$ ensures that for every $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} [e^{-(t-s)A} O_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} &= \int_0^t e^{(s-u)A} B dW_u = \int_0^s e^{(s-u)A} B dW_u + \int_s^t e^{(s-u)A} B dW_u \\ &= [O_s]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} + \int_s^t e^{(s-u)A} B dW_u. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

This implies that for every $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\int_s^t e^{(s-u)A} B dW_u = [e^{-(t-s)A} O_t - O_s]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)}. \quad (83)$$

Combining (82), the fact that $[s, T] \times H \ni (t, x) \mapsto e^{(t-s)A}x \in H$ is twice continuously differentiable, and Itô's formula hence shows that for every $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
[O_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} &= [e^{(t-s)A}O_s]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} + e^{(t-s)A} \int_s^t e^{(s-u)A} B dW_u \\
&= \left[e^{(t-s)A}O_s + \int_s^t A e^{(u-s)A} (e^{-(u-s)A}O_u - O_s) du \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} + \int_s^t e^{(u-s)A} e^{(s-u)A} B dW_u \\
&= \left[e^{(t-s)A}O_s + \int_s^t A(O_u - e^{(u-s)A}O_s) du \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} + \int_s^t B dW_u.
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

This implies that for every $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$[O_t - e^{(t-s)A}O_s]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} = \left[\int_s^t A(O_u - e^{(u-s)A}O_s) du \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} + \int_s^t B dW_u. \tag{85}$$

Moreover, observe that item (ii) of Lemma 2.2 (with $T = T$, $s = s$, $x = \xi(\omega)$, $Z_t = Z_t(\omega)$, $Y_t = Y_t(\omega) - (O_t(\omega) - e^{(t-s)A}O_s(\omega))$ for $t \in [s, T]$, $\omega \in \Sigma$ in the notation of item (ii) of Lemma 2.2) proves that for every $t \in [s, T]$, $\omega \in \Sigma$ it holds that

$$Y_t(\omega) - (O_t(\omega) - e^{(t-s)A}O_s(\omega)) = \xi(\omega) + \int_s^t [A(Y_u(\omega) - (O_u(\omega) - e^{(u-s)A}O_s(\omega))) + Z_u(\omega)] du. \tag{86}$$

Combining (81) and (85) therefore establishes (79). The proof of Lemma 3.4 is thus completed. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Assume Setting 1.3, assume that $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $a, b, \mathbf{C}, \rho \in [0, \infty)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H)$, $\varepsilon \in [0, (2\rho/p) \exp(-2(b + \rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T)]$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H, H)$, assume for every $x, y \in H$ that $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a + b\|x\|_H^2$ and $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon\|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C})\|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process, let $Y: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ and $O: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes with continuous sample paths, assume for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $[O_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} = \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A} B dW_u$, and for every $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ let $X_{s, (\cdot)}^x = (X_{s, t}^x)_{t \in [s, T]}: [s, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be an $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [s, T]}$ -adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [s, T]$ that*

$$X_{s, t}^x = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A} F(X_{s, u}^x) du + O_t - e^{(t-s)A}O_s. \tag{87}$$

Then

- (i) *it holds for every $\omega \in \Omega$ that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H \ni (s, t, x) \mapsto X_{s, t}^x(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,0,1}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times H, H)$,*
- (ii) *it holds that $(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\} \times \Omega \ni (s, t, \omega) \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s, t}^{Y_s(\omega)}(\omega) \in L(H)) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(\{(u, v) \in [0, T]^2: u \leq v\}) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(L(H)))$, and*
- (iii) *it holds for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ that*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s, t}^{Y_s} \right\|_{L(H)}^p \right] \leq \exp((p\mathbf{C} + \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2))(t - s)) \mathbb{E} [e^{\rho\|Y_s\|_H^2}]. \tag{88}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Throughout this proof let $\mathbb{B} \in L(H, U)$ satisfy for every $v \in H$, $u \in U$ that $\langle Bu, v \rangle_H = \langle u, \mathbb{B}v \rangle_U$, let $R: U \rightarrow [\ker(B)]^\perp$ be the orthogonal projection of U on $[\ker(B)]^\perp$, let $d = \dim(H)$, $m = \dim([\ker(B)]^\perp)$, and let $\iota: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\kappa: R(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be isometric isomorphisms. Observe that the assumption that for every $x, y \in H$ it holds that $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$ and items (i) and (ii) of Corollary 3.3 (with $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $T = T$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}$, $p = p$, $F = F$, $Y_s = Y_s$, $O_s = O_s$, $X_{s,t}^x = X_{s,t}^x$ for $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ in the notation of items (i) and (ii) of Corollary 3.3) establish items (i) and (ii). Moreover, note that the assumption that for every $x, y \in H$ it holds that $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C}) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$ and items (iii) and (iv) of Corollary 3.3 (with $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $T = T$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}$, $p = p$, $F = F$, $Y_s = Y_s$, $O_s = O_s$, $X_{s,t}^x = X_{s,t}^x$ for $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ in the notation of items (iii) and (iv) of Corollary 3.3) prove that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{Y_s} \right\|_{L(H)}^p \right] \leq e^{p\mathbf{C}(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(p\varepsilon \int_s^t \|X_{s,u}^{Y_s}\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 du \right) \right]. \quad (89)$$

In the next step we intend to apply Cox et al. [17, Corollary 2.4] in order to derive an a priori bound for the right-hand side of (89). For this note that the assumption that for every $x \in H$ it holds that $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a + b\|x\|_H^2$ implies that for every $x \in H$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\rho \langle x, Ax + F(x) \rangle_H + \rho \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2 + 2\rho^2 \|\mathbb{B}x\|_U^2 \\ & \leq -2\rho \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + 2\rho \langle x, F(x) \rangle_H + \rho \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2 + 2\rho^2 \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2 \|x\|_H^2 \\ & \leq -2\rho \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + 2\rho a + 2\rho b \|x\|_H^2 + \rho \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2 + 2\rho^2 \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2 \|x\|_H^2 \\ & = -2\rho \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2) + 2\rho(b + \rho \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2) \|x\|_H^2. \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Next note that Lemma 3.4 (with $T = T$, $s = s$, $B = B$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = Y_s$, $Z_{s+t} = F(X_{s,s+t}^{Y_s})$, $Y_{s+t} = X_{s,s+t}^{Y_s}$, $O = O$ for $t \in [0, T-s]$, $s \in [0, T]$ in the notation of Lemma 3.4) ensures that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [0, T-s]$ it holds that

$$[X_{s,s+t}^{Y_s}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} = [Y_s]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} + \left[\int_s^{s+t} [AX_{s,u}^{Y_s} + F(X_{s,u}^{Y_s})] du \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} + \int_s^{s+t} B dW_u. \quad (91)$$

Moreover, observe that the assumption that $\dim(H) < \infty$ ensures that $\dim([\ker(B)]^\perp) < \infty$ and $R \in \text{HS}(U)$. This implies that there exists a stochastic process $\mathbb{W}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow R(U)$ with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$[\mathbb{W}_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(R(U))} = \int_0^t R dW_s. \quad (92)$$

Observe that (92) implies that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [0, T-s]$ it holds that

$$\int_s^{s+t} B dW_u = \int_s^{s+t} BR dW_u = \int_s^{s+t} (B|_{R(U)}) d\mathbb{W}_u = [(B|_{R(U)})(\mathbb{W}_{s+t} - \mathbb{W}_s)]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)}. \quad (93)$$

In addition, note that, e.g., [49, Lemma 3.2] (with $H = R(U)$, $U = U$, $T = T$, $Q = \text{Id}_U$, $R = \text{Id}_{R(U)}$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(\tilde{W}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{W}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ in the notation of [49, Lemma 3.2]) proves that $(\mathbb{W}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is an $\text{Id}_{R(U)}$ -standard $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process. Combining this, (90), and (93) with Cox et al. [17, Corollary 2.4] (with $d = \dim(H)$, $m = \dim([\ker(B)]^\perp)$, $T = T - s$, $O = \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mu = (\mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto$

$(\iota \circ A \circ \iota^{-1})(x) + (\iota \circ F \circ \iota^{-1})(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma = (\mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto \iota \circ (B|_{R(U)}) \circ \kappa^{-1} \in \text{HS}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^d))$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $\mathcal{F}_u = \mathbb{F}_{s+u}$, $W_u = \kappa(\mathbb{W}_{s+u} - \mathbb{W}_s)$, $\alpha = 2b + 2\rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2$, $U = (\mathbb{R}^d \ni x \mapsto \rho\|\iota^{-1}(x)\|_H^2 \in \mathbb{R})$, $\bar{U} = ([0, T-s] \times \mathbb{R}^d \ni (r, x) \mapsto 2\rho\|\iota^{-1}(x)\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 - \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2) \in \mathbb{R})$, $\tau = (\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto t-s \in [0, T-s])$, $X_u = \iota \circ X_{s,s+u}^{Y_s}$ for $u \in [0, T-s]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$ in the notation of Cox et al. [17, Corollary 2.4]) shows that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\rho e^{-2(b+\rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)(t-s)} \|X_{s,t}^{Y_s}\|_H^2 + \int_s^t e^{-2(b+\rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)(u-s)} (2\rho\|X_{s,u}^{Y_s}\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 - \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)) du \right) \right] \leq \mathbb{E}[e^{\rho\|Y_s\|_H^2}]. \quad (94)$$

This implies that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\rho e^{-2(b+\rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)(t-s)} \|X_{s,t}^{Y_s}\|_H^2 + 2\rho \int_s^t e^{-2(b+\rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)(u-s)} \|X_{s,u}^{Y_s}\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 du \right) \right] \leq \exp \left(\rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2) \int_s^t e^{-2(b+\rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)(u-s)} du \right) \mathbb{E}[e^{\rho\|Y_s\|_H^2}]. \quad (95)$$

Therefore, we obtain that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(2\rho e^{-2(b+\rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T} \int_s^t \|X_{s,u}^{Y_s}\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 du \right) \right] \leq \exp(\rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)(t-s)) \mathbb{E}[e^{\rho\|Y_s\|_H^2}]. \quad (96)$$

The assumption that $p\varepsilon \leq 2\rho \exp(-2(b + \rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T)$ and (89) hence demonstrate that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} X_{s,t}^{Y_s} \right\|_{L(H)}^p \right] \leq e^{p\mathbf{C}(t-s)} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(2\rho e^{-2(b+\rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T} \int_s^t \|X_{s,u}^{Y_s}\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 du \right) \right] \leq \exp(p\mathbf{C}(t-s) + \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)(t-s)) \mathbb{E}[e^{\rho\|Y_s\|_H^2}]. \quad (97)$$

The proof of Lemma 3.5 is thus completed. \square

3.2 Strong error estimates for exponential Euler-type approximations

In this subsection we combine the results from Subsections 2.2 and 3.1 to establish in Proposition 3.6 an upper bound for the strong error between the exact solution of an SODE with additive noise and given initial value (see (99) below) and its numerical approximation (see (98) below).

Proposition 3.6. *Assume Setting 1.3, assume that $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $a, b, \mathbf{C}, \rho \in [0, \infty)$, $C, c, p \in [1, \infty)$, $\gamma \in [0, 1)$, $\delta \in [0, \gamma]$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H)$, $\varepsilon \in [0, (\rho/p) \exp(-2(b + \rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T)]$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H, H)$, $\mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(H), \mathcal{B}(H))$, $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}(H, [0, \infty))$, assume for every $x, y \in H$ that $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a + b\|x\|_H^2$, $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon\|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C})\|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, $\|F(x) - F(y)\|_H \leq C\|x - y\|_H(1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$, and $\langle x, Ax + F(x + y) \rangle_H \leq \Phi(y)(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(H))$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process, let $O: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $[O_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} =$*

$\int_0^t e^{(t-u)A} B dW_u$, and let $\mathbf{X}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ and $\mathbf{O}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{X}_t = e^{tA}\xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor u \rfloor \theta}) du + \mathbf{O}_t\right) = 1. \quad (98)$$

Then

(i) there exists a unique stochastic process $X: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$X_t = e^{tA}\xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A} F(X_u) du + O_t, \quad (99)$$

(ii) it holds that X is $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted, and

(iii) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{X}_t - X_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} &\leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ &+ \frac{C[\max\{T, 1\}]^2}{1-\gamma} \exp((\mathbf{C} + \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2))t) \left[\int_0^t \mathbb{E}[e^{\rho\|\mathbf{X}_s - \mathbf{O}_s + O_s\|_H^2}] ds \right] \\ &\cdot \left\{ [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_s) - F(\mathbf{X}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \right. \\ &+ \left(2[|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \right. \\ &+ \left. [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \right) \\ &\cdot \left. [1 + 2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{X}_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)}]^c \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

Proof of Proposition 3.6. Throughout this proof let $\Sigma \subseteq \Omega$ be the set which satisfies that

$$\Sigma = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \left(\forall t \in [0, T] : \mathbf{X}_t(\omega) = e^{tA}\xi(\omega) + \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor u \rfloor \theta}(\omega)) du + \mathbf{O}_t(\omega) \right) \right\}, \quad (101)$$

let $\mathcal{Y}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be the function which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$ that

$$\mathcal{Y}_t(\omega) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{X}_t(\omega) & : \omega \in \Sigma \\ 0 & : \omega \in (\Omega \setminus \Sigma), \end{cases} \quad (102)$$

and let $\mathcal{O}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be the function which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$ that

$$\mathcal{O}_t(\omega) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{O}_t(\omega) & : \omega \in \Sigma \\ -e^{tA}\xi(\omega) - \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A} \mathbf{F}(0) du & : \omega \in (\Omega \setminus \Sigma). \end{cases} \quad (103)$$

Note that the assumption that for every $x, y \in H$ it holds that

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\|_H \leq C\|x - y\|_{H_\delta} (1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c), \quad (104)$$

the assumption that for every $x, y \in H$ it holds that

$$\langle x, Ax + F(x + y) \rangle_H \leq \Phi(y)(1 + \|x\|_H^2), \quad (105)$$

and, e.g., [47, Corollary 2.4] (with $H = H$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $A = A$, $T = T$, $s = 0$, $C = C$, $c = c$, $\delta = \delta$, $\kappa = \kappa$, $F = F$, $\Phi = \Phi$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$, $\xi = \xi + O_0$, $O = O$ in the notation of [47, Corollary 2.4]) establish items (i) and (ii). In the next step we are going to use Corollary 2.9 and Lemma 3.5 to prove (100). For this observe that (104), (105), and, e.g., [47, Corollary 2.4] (with $H = H$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $A = A$, $T = T$, $s = s$, $C = C$, $c = c$, $\delta = \delta$, $\kappa = \kappa$, $F = F$, $\Phi = \Phi$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$, $\xi = (\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto x \in H)$, $O = O$ for $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ in the notation of [47, Corollary 2.4]) demonstrate that there exist stochastic processes $\mathcal{X}_{s,(\cdot)}^x = (\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x)_{t \in [s, T]}: [s, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$, with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $x \in H$ that $\mathcal{X}_{s,(\cdot)}^x$ is $(\mathbb{F}_u)_{u \in [s, T]}$ -adapted and

$$\mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x = e^{(t-s)A}x + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A}F(\mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x) du + O_t - e^{(t-s)A}O_s. \quad (106)$$

Moreover, note that (98) and the fact that \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{O} are stochastic processes with continuous sample paths ensure that

$$\Sigma \in \mathcal{F} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}(\Sigma) = 1. \quad (107)$$

The fact that $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a normal filtration and the fact that \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{O} are $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted therefore implies that

- (a) it holds that \mathcal{Y} is $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted,
- (b) it holds that \mathcal{O} is $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted,
- (c) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{Y}_t = \mathbf{X}_t) = 1$, and
- (d) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_t = \mathbf{O}_t) = 1$.

In addition, note that (106) implies that for every $t \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$\mathcal{X}_{0,t}^{\xi(\omega) + O_0(\omega)}(\omega) = e^{tA}\xi(\omega) + \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A}F(\mathcal{X}_{0,u}^{\xi(\omega) + O_0(\omega)}(\omega)) du + O_t(\omega). \quad (108)$$

Furthermore, observe that item (i) ensures that for every $t \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$X_t(\omega) = e^{tA}\xi(\omega) + \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A}F(X_u(\omega)) du + O_t(\omega). \quad (109)$$

Combining this, (108), and, e.g., [47, item (i) of Corollary 2.4] (with $H = H$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $A = A$, $T = T$, $s = 0$, $C = C$, $c = c$, $\delta = \delta$, $\kappa = \kappa$, $F = F$, $\Phi = \Phi$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$, $\xi = \xi + O_0$, $O = O$ in the notation of [47, item (i) of Corollary 2.4]) shows that for every $t \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$X_t(\omega) = \mathcal{X}_{0,t}^{\xi(\omega) + O_0(\omega)}(\omega). \quad (110)$$

Moreover, observe that (101)–(103) prove that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathcal{Y}_t = e^{tA}\xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-\lfloor u \rfloor \theta)A}\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_{\lfloor u \rfloor \theta}) du + \mathcal{O}_t. \quad (111)$$

Combining item (c), (104), (106), (110), and Corollary 2.9 (with $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $T = T$, $\theta = \theta$, $C = C$, $c = c$, $p = p$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\delta = \delta$, $\iota = \gamma - \delta$, $\kappa = \kappa$, $\xi = \xi$, $F = F$, $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}$, $\mathbf{O}_s = \mathbf{O}_s$, $O_s = O_s$, $X_{s,t}^x = \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x$, $\mathbf{X}_s = \mathcal{Y}_s$, $\zeta = \xi$ for $t \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ in the notation of Corollary 2.9) therefore establishes that

(A) it holds for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$ that $H \ni x \mapsto \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^x(\omega) \in H$ is differentiable,

(B) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $(\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \mathcal{X}_{0,t}^{\xi(\omega)+O_0(\omega)}(\omega) \in H) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(H))$,

(C) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $([0, t] \times \Omega \ni (s, \omega) \mapsto \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s(\omega)-\mathcal{O}_s(\omega)+O_s(\omega)}(\omega) \in L(H)) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}([0, t]) \otimes \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(L(H)))$, and

(D) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{X}_t - X_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} = \|\mathcal{Y}_t - \mathcal{X}_{0,t}^{\xi+O_0}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\
& \leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} + \frac{C \max\{T, 1\}}{1-\gamma} \left[\int_0^t \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s - \mathcal{O}_s + O_s} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; L(H))} ds \right] \\
& \quad \cdot \left\{ \left[\|\theta\|_T^{\gamma-\delta} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_s) - F(\mathcal{Y}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \right. \right. \\
& \quad + \left((\|\theta\|_T)^{1-\delta} + \|\theta\|_T^{\gamma-\delta} \right) \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{O}_s - \mathcal{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \\
& \quad + \left. \|\theta\|_T^{\gamma-\delta} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \right) \\
& \quad \cdot \left[1 + 2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{Y}_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} \right]^c \}. \tag{112}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, note that (106), the fact that \mathcal{Y} , \mathcal{O} , and O are $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes with continuous sample paths, the assumption that for every $x, y \in H$ it holds that $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a + b\|x\|_H^2$ and $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon\|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + \mathbf{C})\|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, and Lemma 3.5 (with $T = T$, $a = a$, $b = b$, $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}$, $\rho = \rho$, $p = 2p$, $B = B$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $F = F$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $Y_s = \mathcal{Y}_s - \mathcal{O}_s + O_s$, $O_s = O_s$, $X_{s,u}^x = \mathcal{X}_{s,u}^x$ for $u \in [s, T]$, $s \in [0, T]$, $x \in H$ in the notation of Lemma 3.5) prove that for every $s \in [0, T]$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathcal{X}_{s,t}^{\mathcal{Y}_s - \mathcal{O}_s + O_s} \right\|_{L(H)}^{2p} \right] \leq \exp((2p\mathbf{C} + \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2))t) \mathbb{E} [e^{\rho\|\mathcal{Y}_s - \mathcal{O}_s + O_s\|_H^2}]. \tag{113}$$

This and (112) show that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathbf{X}_t - X_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\
& \quad + \frac{C[\max\{T, 1\}]^2}{1-\gamma} \exp((\mathbf{C} + \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2))t) \left[\int_0^t (\mathbb{E} [e^{\rho\|\mathcal{Y}_s - \mathcal{O}_s + O_s\|_H^2}])^{1/(2p)} ds \right] \\
& \quad \cdot \left\{ \left[\|\theta\|_T^{\gamma-\delta} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_s) - F(\mathcal{Y}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \right. \right. \\
& \quad + \left(2\|\theta\|_T^{\gamma-\delta} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{F}(\mathcal{Y}_s)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{O}_s - \mathcal{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \right. \\
& \quad + \left. \left. \|\theta\|_T^{\gamma-\delta} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \cdot \left. \left[1 + 2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{Y}_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{O}_s - O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} \right]^c \}. \tag{114}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this and items (c) and (d) establishes item (iii). The proof of Proposition 3.6 is thus completed. \square

4 Strong convergence rates for space-time discrete exponential Euler-type approximations with assuming finite exponential moments

4.1 Moment bounds for spatial spectral Galerkin approximations

In this subsection we prove in Lemma 4.1 suitable a priori moment bounds for exact solutions of SODEs. Corollary 4.2 then establishes uniform a priori moment bounds for spectral Galerkin approximations of exact solutions of semilinear SPDEs with additive noise.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume Setting 1.3, assume that $\dim(H) < \infty$, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $a, b \in [0, \infty)$, $p \in [2, \infty)$, $s \in [0, T]$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H)$, $F \in \mathcal{C}(H, H)$, assume for every $x \in H$ that $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a + b\|x\|_H^2$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process, let $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_s, \mathcal{B}(H))$, let $O: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be a stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $[O_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} = \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A} B dW_u$, and let $X: [s, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H$ be an $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [s, T]$ that*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_t = e^{(t-s)A}\xi + \int_s^t e^{(t-u)A} F(X_u) du + O_t - e^{(t-s)A} O_s\right) = 1. \quad (115)$$

Then

$$\sup_{t \in [s, T]} \mathbb{E}[\|X_t\|_H^p] \leq (\mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^p] + 2T[a + \frac{p-1}{2}\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2]^{p/2}) \exp((pb + p - 2)T). \quad (116)$$

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Throughout this proof let $\mathbb{U} \subseteq U$ be an orthonormal basis of U . Note that Lemma 3.4 (with $T = T$, $s = s$, $B = B$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $Z_t = F(X_t)$, $Y_t = X_t$, $O = O$ for $t \in [s, T]$ in the notation of Lemma 3.4) shows that for every $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$[X_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} = \left[\xi + \int_s^t [AX_u + F(X_u)] du \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H)} + \int_s^t B dW_u. \quad (117)$$

Furthermore, observe that the fact that X has continuous sample paths ensures that there exist $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [s, T]}$ -stopping times $\tau_r: \Omega \rightarrow [s, T]$, $r \in (0, \infty)$, which satisfy for every $r \in (0, \infty)$ that

$$\tau_r = \inf(\{T\} \cup \{t \in [s, T]: \|X_t\|_H \geq r\}). \quad (118)$$

Note that Itô's formula, (117), and (118) demonstrate that for every $r \in (0, \infty)$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds

that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\mathbb{E} \left[\|X_{\min\{\tau_r, t\}}\|_H^p \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} = \left[\|\xi\|_H^p + \int_s^{\min\{\tau_r, t\}} p \|X_u\|_H^{p-2} \langle X_u, AX_u + F(X_u) \rangle_H du \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \right. \\
& + \int_s^t p \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq u\}} \|X_u\|_H^{p-2} \langle X_u, B dW_u \rangle_H \\
& \left. + \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_s^{\min\{\tau_r, t\}} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{U}} [p \|X_u\|_H^{p-2} \|B\mathbf{u}\|_H^2 + p(p-2) \mathbb{1}_{\{X_u \neq 0\}} \|X_u\|_H^{p-4} |\langle X_u, B\mathbf{u} \rangle_H|^2] du \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \right] \quad (119) \\
& \leq \left[\|\xi\|_H^p + \int_s^{\min\{\tau_r, t\}} p \|X_u\|_H^{p-2} \langle X_u, AX_u + F(X_u) \rangle_H du \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \\
& + \int_s^t p \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq u\}} \|X_u\|_H^{p-2} \langle X_u, B dW_u \rangle_H + \left[\frac{p(p-1)}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 \int_s^{\min\{\tau_r, t\}} \|X_u\|_H^{p-2} du \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, observe that for every $r \in (0, \infty)$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_s^t \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq u\}} \|X_u\|_H^{2(p-2)} \|(U \ni v \mapsto \langle X_u, B(v) \rangle_H \in \mathbb{R})\|_{\text{HS}(U, \mathbb{R})}^2 du \\
& \leq \int_s^t \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq u\}} \|X_u\|_H^{2(p-1)} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 du \\
& \leq \int_s^t r^{2(p-1)} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 du \leq \int_0^T r^{2(p-1)} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 du < \infty.
\end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

Combining this, the assumption that for every $x \in H$ it holds that $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a + b\|x\|_H^2$, (119), Tonelli's theorem, and Young's inequality proves that for every $r \in (0, \infty)$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq t\}} \|X_t\|_H^p] \leq \mathbb{E}[(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq t\}} \|X_{\min\{\tau_r, t\}}\|_H + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r < t\}} \|X_{\min\{\tau_r, t\}}\|_H)^p] = \mathbb{E}[\|X_{\min\{\tau_r, t\}}\|_H^p] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^p] + p \mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^{\min\{\tau_r, t\}} \|X_u\|_H^{p-2} (\langle X_u, AX_u + F(X_u) \rangle_H + \frac{p-1}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2) du \right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^p] + p \mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^{\min\{\tau_r, t\}} \|X_u\|_H^{p-2} (a + b\|X_u\|_H^2 + \frac{p-1}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2) du \right] \\
& = \mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^p] + p \mathbb{E} \left[\int_s^t \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq u\}} \|X_u\|_H^{p-2} (a + b\|X_u\|_H^2 + \frac{p-1}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2) du \right] \\
& = \mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^p] + p \int_s^t \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq u\}} \|X_u\|_H^{p-2} (a + \frac{p-1}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2) + b \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq u\}} \|X_u\|_H^p] du \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^p] + p \int_s^t \mathbb{E}[\frac{p-2}{p} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq u\}} \|X_u\|_H^p + \frac{2}{p} (a + \frac{p-1}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)^{p/2} + b \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq u\}} \|X_u\|_H^p] du \\
& = \mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^p] + (pb + p - 2) \int_s^t \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq u\}} \|X_u\|_H^p] du + 2(t-s)(a + \frac{p-1}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)^{p/2} \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^p] + (pb + p - 2)(t-s)r^p + 2(t-s)(a + \frac{p-1}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)^{p/2}.
\end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

Gronwall's lemma therefore shows that for every $r \in (0, \infty)$, $t \in [s, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_r \geq t\}} \|X_t\|_H^p] \leq (\mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^p] + 2(t-s)[a + \frac{p-1}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2]^{p/2}) \exp((pb + p - 2)(t-s)). \quad (122)$$

The fact that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that $\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_n \geq t\}} \leq \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{n+1} \geq t\}}$ and the monotone convergence theorem hence establish (116). The proof of Lemma 4.1 is thus completed. \square

Corollary 4.2. *Assume Setting 1.3, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $a, b \in [0, \infty)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\beta \in [0, 1/2)$, $\gamma, \eta_1 \in [0, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\eta_2 \in [\eta_1, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\iota \in [\eta_2, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\alpha_1 \in [0, 1 - \eta_1)$, $\alpha_2 \in [0, 1 - \eta_2)$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H_\beta)$, $F \in \mathcal{C}(H_\gamma, H)$, $(P_I)_{I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in H$ that $P_I(x) = \sum_{h \in I} \langle h, x \rangle_H h$, assume for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in P_I(H)$ that $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a + b \|x\|_H^2$ and*

$$\left[\sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_2\}}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_H}{1 + \|v\|_{H_{\eta_2}}^2} \right] + \left[\sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_1\}}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_2}}}{1 + \|v\|_{H_{\eta_1}}^2} \right] + \left[\sup_{v \in H_\gamma} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_1}}}{1 + \|v\|_H^2} \right] < \infty, \quad (123)$$

let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process, let $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}|_{\mathbb{F}_0}; H_\iota)$ satisfy $\mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^{8p}] < \infty$, and let $X^I: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, and $O^I: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $[O_t^I]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} P_I B dW_s$ and

$$X_t^I = e^{tA} P_I \xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} P_I F(X_s^I) ds + O_t^I. \quad (124)$$

Then

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^I\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H_\iota)} < \infty. \quad (125)$$

Proof of Corollary 4.2. Throughout this proof let $\mathcal{A}_I: P_I(H) \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be the functions which satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $v \in P_I(H)$ that $\mathcal{A}_I v = Av$ and for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ let $(\mathcal{H}_{I,r}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{I,r}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_{I,r}})$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, be a family of interpolation spaces associated to $-\mathcal{A}_I$. Note that the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy-type inequality in Da Prato & Zabczyk [23, Lemma 7.7] proves that for every $t \in [0, T]$, $q \in [2, \infty)$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \|O_t^I\|_{\mathcal{L}^q(\mathbb{P}; H_\iota)}^2 &\leq \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)A} P_I B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H_\iota)}^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \int_0^t \|(-A)^{\iota-\beta} e^{(t-s)A}\|_{L(H)}^2 \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H_\beta)}^2 ds \leq \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \int_0^t (t-s)^{2\beta-2\iota} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H_\beta)}^2 ds \\ &\leq \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \frac{t^{1+2\beta-2\iota}}{1+2\beta-2\iota} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H_\beta)}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

Next observe that the fact that $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{8p}(\mathbb{P}|_{\mathbb{F}_0}; H)$, the assumption that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in P_I(H)$ it holds that $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a + b \|x\|_H^2$, and Lemma 4.1 (with $H = P_I(H)$, $\mathbb{H} = P_I(\mathbb{H})$, $\mathbf{v} = (I \ni h \mapsto \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbb{R})$, $A = \mathcal{A}_I$, $(H_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}} = (\mathcal{H}_{I,s})_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$, $T = T$, $a = a$, $b = b$, $p = 8p$, $s = 0$, $B = (U \ni u \mapsto P_I B(u) \in P_I(H))$, $F = (P_I(H) \ni x \mapsto P_I F(x) \in P_I(H))$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = (\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto P_I \xi(\omega) \in P_I(H))$, $O = O^I$, $X = X^I$ for $I \in (\mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\emptyset\})$ in the notation of Lemma 4.1) imply that

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t^I\|_{\mathcal{L}^{8p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} < \infty. \quad (127)$$

Combining the assumption that $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}|_{\mathbb{F}_0}; H_\iota)$, (123), and (126) with, e.g., [47, Lemma 3.4] (with $H = H$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $A = A$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $T = T$, $\beta = 1/2 + \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\xi = (\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto P_I(\xi(\omega)) \in H_{1/2+\beta})$, $F = (H_\gamma \ni x \mapsto P_I F(x) \in H)$, $\kappa = ([0, T] \ni t \mapsto t \in [0, T])$, $Z = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto X_t^I(\omega) \in H_\gamma)$, $O = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto O_t^I(\omega) \in H_{1/2+\beta})$, $Y = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto X_t^I(\omega) \in H)$, $p = p$, $\rho = \eta_1$, $\eta = \eta_2$, $\iota = \iota$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2$ for $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of [47, Lemma 3.4]) therefore establishes (125). The proof of Corollary 4.2 is thus completed. \square

4.2 Strong error estimates for space-time discrete truncated exponential Euler-type approximations

In this subsection we study numerical approximations for a class of semilinear SPDEs with additive noise and establish in Proposition 4.5 below strong convergence rates for truncated exponential Euler-type approximation processes $(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})_{t \in [0, T]}$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, (see (143) in Proposition 4.5 below) under (i) the assumption that the truncated exponential Euler-type approximations satisfy suitable exponential moment bounds and (ii) suitable approximability assumptions on the stochastic convolution process. Our proof of Proposition 4.5 employs Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 4.2 above as well as the elementary truncation error estimate in Lemma 4.3 below.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume Setting 1.3, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, let $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$ be an \mathbb{R} -Banach space, let $\varsigma \in [0, \infty)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\alpha, c, h \in (0, \infty)$, $Y \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(V))$, $r \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(V), \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)))$, $P \in L(H)$, $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(V), \mathcal{B}(H))$, $D \in \mathcal{B}(V)$ satisfy $\{v \in V : r(v) \leq ch^{-\varsigma}\} \subseteq D$. Then it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{1}_D(Y) PF(Y) - F(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} &\leq c^{-\alpha} h^{\alpha\varsigma} \|r(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p\alpha}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})}^\alpha \|PF(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ &\quad + \|(P - \text{Id}_H)F(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)}. \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

Proof of Lemma 4.3. Observe that the triangle inequality and Hölder's inequality prove that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbb{1}_D(Y) PF(Y) - F(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ &\leq \|(\mathbb{1}_D(Y) - 1)PF(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} + \|PF(Y) - F(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ &\leq \|\mathbb{1}_D(Y) - 1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})} \|PF(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} + \|(P - \text{Id}_H)F(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)}. \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

Moreover, note that Markov's inequality shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{1}_D(Y) - 1\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})} &= \|\mathbb{1}_{V \setminus D}(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})} \leq \|\mathbb{1}_{\{r(Y) > ch^{-\varsigma}\}}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})} \\ &= [\mathbb{P}(|r(Y)|^{2p\alpha} > (ch^{-\varsigma})^{2p\alpha})]^{1/(2p)} \leq (ch^{-\varsigma})^{-\alpha} (\mathbb{E}[|r(Y)|^{2p\alpha}])^{1/(2p)}. \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

This and (129) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{1}_D(Y) PF(Y) - F(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} &\leq c^{-\alpha} h^{\alpha\varsigma} (\mathbb{E}[|r(Y)|^{2p\alpha}])^{1/(2p)} \|PF(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ &\quad + \|(P - \text{Id}_H)F(Y)\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)}. \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

The proof of Lemma 4.3 is thus completed. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Assume Setting 1.3, let $C, c, \gamma \in [0, \infty)$, $\delta, \kappa \in [0, \gamma]$, $F \in \mathcal{C}(H_\gamma, H)$, let $(P_I)_{I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$, $v \in H$ that $P_I(v) = \sum_{h \in I} \langle h, v \rangle_H h$, and assume for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $u, v \in P_I(H)$ that $\|P_I F(u) - P_I F(v)\|_H \leq C \|u - v\|_{H_\delta} (1 + \|u\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|v\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$. Then it holds for every $u, v \in H_\gamma$ that*

$$\|F(u) - F(v)\|_H \leq C \|u - v\|_{H_\delta} (1 + \|u\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|v\|_{H_\kappa}^c). \quad (132)$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4. Throughout this proof let $I_n \subseteq \mathbb{H}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be sets which satisfy for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $I_n \subseteq I_{n+1}$ and $\cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} I_m = \mathbb{H}$. Note that the triangle inequality implies that for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u, v \in H_\gamma$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(u) - F(v)\|_V &\leq \|F(u) - P_{I_m} F(u)\|_H + \|P_{I_m} F(u) - P_{I_m} F(P_{I_n} u)\|_H \\ &\quad + \|P_{I_m} F(P_{I_n} u) - P_{I_m} F(P_{I_n} v)\|_H + \|P_{I_m} F(P_{I_n} v) - P_{I_m} F(v)\|_H + \|P_{I_m} F(v) - F(v)\|_H. \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

Next observe that for every $v \in H$ it holds that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - P_{I_n} v\|_H = 0. \quad (134)$$

This ensures that for every $u, v \in H_\gamma$ it holds that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} (\|F(u) - P_{I_m} F(u)\|_H + \|P_{I_m} F(v) - F(v)\|_H) = 0. \quad (135)$$

In addition, observe that for every $u \in H_\gamma$ it holds that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u - P_{I_n} u\|_{H_\gamma} = 0. \quad (136)$$

The assumption that $F \in \mathcal{C}(H_\gamma, H)$ hence implies that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $u, v \in H_\gamma$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|P_{I_m} F(u) - P_{I_m} F(P_{I_n} u)\|_H + \|P_{I_m} F(P_{I_n} v) - P_{I_m} F(v)\|_H) \\ & \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|F(u) - F(P_{I_n} u)\|_H + \|F(P_{I_n} v) - F(v)\|_H) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

Moreover, note that the fact that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u, v \in P_{I_n}(H)$: $\|P_{I_n} F(u) - P_{I_n} F(v)\|_H \leq C\|u - v\|_{H_\delta}(1 + \|u\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|v\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$ and the fact that $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in ([m, \infty) \cap \mathbb{N})$, $u \in H$: $\|P_{I_m} u\|_H = \|P_{I_m} P_{I_n} u\|_H \leq \|P_{I_n} u\|_H$ show that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in ([m, \infty) \cap \mathbb{N})$, $u, v \in H_\gamma$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{I_m} F(P_{I_n} u) - P_{I_m} F(P_{I_n} v)\|_H & \leq \|P_{I_n} F(P_{I_n} u) - P_{I_n} F(P_{I_n} v)\|_H \\ & \leq C\|P_{I_n} u - P_{I_n} v\|_{H_\delta}(1 + \|P_{I_n} u\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|P_{I_n} v\|_{H_\kappa}^c). \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

The fact that $\delta, \kappa \in [0, \gamma]$ and (136) therefore prove that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $u, v \in H_\gamma$ it holds that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{I_m} F(P_{I_n} u) - P_{I_m} F(P_{I_n} v)\|_H \leq C\|u - v\|_{H_\delta}(1 + \|u\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|v\|_{H_\kappa}^c). \quad (139)$$

Combining (133) and (137) hence implies that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $u, v \in H_\gamma$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(u) - F(v)\|_H & \leq \|F(u) - P_{I_m} F(u)\|_H + C\|u - v\|_{H_\delta}(1 + \|u\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|v\|_{H_\kappa}^c) \\ & \quad + \|P_{I_m} F(v) - F(v)\|_H. \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

This and (135) establish (132). The proof of Lemma 4.4 is thus completed. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Assume Setting 1.3, let $T, \nu, \varsigma, \alpha \in (0, \infty)$, $a, \iota, \rho \in [0, \infty)$, $C, c, p \in [1, \infty)$, $\beta \in [0, 1/2)$, $\gamma \in [2\beta, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\delta, \kappa \in [0, \gamma]$, $\eta_1 \in [0, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\eta_2 \in [\eta_1, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\alpha_1 \in [0, 1 - \eta_1)$, $\alpha_2 \in [0, 1 - \eta_2)$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H_\beta)$, $\varepsilon \in [0, (\rho/p) \exp(-2(a + \rho\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T)]$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H_\gamma, H)$, $r \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(H_\gamma), \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)))$, $(D_h^I)_{h \in (0, T], I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)$, let $\Phi: H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function, let $(P_I)_{I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in H$ that $P_I(x) = \sum_{h \in I} \langle h, x \rangle_H h$, assume for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ that $\{v \in P_I(H): r(v) \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}\} \subseteq D_h^I$ and $(P_I(H) \ni v \mapsto \Phi(v) \in [0, \infty)) \in \mathcal{C}(P_I(H), [0, \infty))$, assume for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x, y \in P_I(H)$ that $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon\|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + C)\|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, $\|P_I(F(x) - F(y))\|_H \leq C\|x - y\|_{H_\delta}(1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$, $\langle x, Ax + F(x + y) \rangle_H \leq \Phi(y)(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, and*

$$\left[\sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_2\}}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_H}{1 + \|v\|_{H_{\eta_2}}^2} \right] + \left[\sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_1\}}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_2}}}{1 + \|v\|_{H_{\eta_1}}^2} \right] + \left[\sup_{v \in H_\gamma} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_1}}}{1 + \|v\|_H^2} \right] < \infty, \quad (141)$$

let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process, let $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{4p \max\{c, 2\}}(\mathbb{P}|_{\mathbb{F}_0}; H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_2\}})$ satisfy $\mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^{16p}] < \infty$,

let $X: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H_\gamma$ and $O: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H_\gamma$ be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $[O_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)} = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B dW_s$ and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_t = e^{tA}\xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) ds + O_t\right) = 1, \quad (142)$$

let $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, and $\mathbf{O}^{\theta, I}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I} = e^{tA} P_I \xi + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor s \rfloor \theta)A} P_I F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}^{\theta, I}) ds + \mathbf{O}_t^{\theta, I}\right) = 1, \quad (143)$$

and assume for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I, \mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ with $I \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ that

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor \theta}^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \leq C[|\theta|_T]^\alpha, \quad (144)$$

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - P_{\mathcal{I}} O_s\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\max\{\kappa, \delta\}})} \leq C(\|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} + [|\theta|_T]^\alpha), \quad (145)$$

$$\sup_{J, K \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{\vartheta \in \varpi_T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\exp(\rho \|\mathbf{X}_s^{\vartheta, K} - \mathbf{O}_s^{\vartheta, K} + P_J O_s + e^{sA} P_{J \setminus K} \xi\|_H^2)] ds < \infty, \quad (146)$$

$$\sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{\vartheta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} [\|P_J F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\vartheta, J})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})} + \|P_J F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\vartheta, J})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_I)}] < \infty, \quad (147)$$

$$\text{and } \sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{\vartheta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} [\|\mathbf{X}_s^{\vartheta, J}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} + \|r(\mathbf{X}_s^{\vartheta, J})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p\alpha/\varsigma}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})}] < \infty. \quad (148)$$

Then there exists $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ it holds that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t - \mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \leq \mathbf{c}(\|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{-\min\{\gamma-\delta, \iota\}}\|_{L(H)} + [|\theta|_T]^{\min\{\gamma-\delta, \alpha\}}). \quad (149)$$

Proof of Proposition 4.5. Throughout this proof let $O^I: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be the $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes which satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $O_t^I = P_I O_t$, let $\mathcal{A}_I: P_I(H) \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be the functions which satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $v \in P_I(H)$ that $\mathcal{A}_I v = Av$, for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ let $(\mathcal{H}_{I, s}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{I, s}}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}_{I, s}})$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, be a family of interpolation spaces associated to $-\mathcal{A}_I$, and let $I_m \in (\mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H}) \setminus \{\emptyset\})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, be sets which satisfy $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\bigcap_{m \in \{n+1, n+2, \dots\}} I_m) = \mathbb{H}$. Note that the fact that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in P_I(H)$ it holds that

$$\langle x, P_I F(x) \rangle_H \leq a(1 + \|x\|_H^2), \quad (150)$$

the fact that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x, y \in P_I(H)$ it holds that $\langle (P_I F)'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + C) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, $\langle x, Ax + P_I F(x+y) \rangle_H \leq \Phi(y)(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, and

$$\|P_I(F(x) - F(y))\|_H \leq C\|x - y\|_{H_\delta}(1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c), \quad (151)$$

Proposition 3.6 (with $H = P_{I_n}(H)$, $\mathbb{H} = P_{I_n}(\mathbb{H})$, $\mathbf{v} = (I_n \ni h \mapsto \mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbb{R})$, $A = \mathcal{A}_{I_n}$, $(H_s)_{s \in \mathbb{R}} = (\mathcal{H}_{I_n, s})_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$, $T = T$, $\theta = \theta$, $a = a$, $b = a$, $\mathbf{C} = C$, $\rho = \rho$, $C = C$, $c = c$, $p = p$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\delta = \delta$, $\kappa = \kappa$, $B = (U \ni u \mapsto P_{I_n} B(u) \in P_{I_n}(H))$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $F = (P_{I_n}(H) \ni x \mapsto P_{I_n} F(x) \in P_{I_n}(H))$, $\mathbf{F} = (P_{I_n}(H) \ni x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(x) P_I F(x) \in P_{I_n}(H))$, $\Phi = (P_{I_n}(H) \ni x \mapsto \Phi(x) \in [0, \infty))$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = (\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto P_{I_n} \xi(\omega) \in P_{I_n}(H))$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $O = O^{I_n}$, $\mathbf{X} = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}(\omega) \in P_{I_n}(H))$, $\mathbf{O} = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \mathbf{O}_t^{\theta, I}(\omega) - e^{tA} P_{I_n \setminus I} \xi(\omega) \in P_{I_n}(H))$, $X = \mathcal{X}^n$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}(I_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the notation of Proposition 3.6), and the triangle inequality prove that

(a) it holds that there exist $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes $\mathcal{X}^n: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_{I_n}(H)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\mathcal{X}_t^n = e^{tA} P_{I_n} \xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-u)A} P_{I_n} F(\mathcal{X}_u^n) du + O_t^{I_n} \quad (152)$$

and

(b) it holds for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$ with $I \subseteq I_n$ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I} - \mathcal{X}_t^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \leq \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - O_s^{I_n}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} + \|P_{I_n \setminus I} \xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ & + \frac{C[\max\{T, 1\}]^2}{1-\gamma} \exp((C + \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2))T) \\ & \cdot \left[\int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\rho \|\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta, I} - \mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} + O_s^{I_n} + e^{sA} P_{I_n \setminus I} \xi\|_H^2} \right] ds \right] \left\{ [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|P_I F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})} \right. \\ & + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta, I}) P_I F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta, I}) - P_{I_n} F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ & + \left(2[|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|P_I F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \right) \\ & + \left. [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - O_s^{I_n}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} + \|P_{I_n \setminus I} \xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \right) \\ & \cdot \left[1 + 2 \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} + \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - O_s^{I_n}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} + \|P_{I_n \setminus I} \xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} \right]^c. \end{aligned} \quad (153)$$

Moreover, observe that the triangle inequality implies that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I} - X_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \leq \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I} - \mathcal{X}_t^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} + \|\mathcal{X}_t^n - X_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)}. \quad (154)$$

Next note that (141), (150), the fact that $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{8p}(\mathbb{P}|_{\mathbb{F}_0}; H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_2\}})$, the fact that $\mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^{16p}] < \infty$, and Corollary 4.2 (with $T = T$, $a = a$, $b = a$, $p = 2p$, $\beta = \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\eta_1 = \eta_1$, $\eta_2 = \eta_2$, $\iota = \max\{\gamma, \eta_2\}$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2$, $B = B$, $F = F$, $P_I = P_I$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_s)_{s \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$, $(W_s)_{s \in [0, T]} = (W_s)_{s \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $X_t^{I_n} = \mathcal{X}_t^n$, $O_t^I = O_t^I$ for $t \in [0, T]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of Corollary 4.2) demonstrate that

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{X}_t^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)} < \infty. \quad (155)$$

In addition, observe that (151), Lemma 4.4 (with $C = C$, $c = c$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\delta = \delta$, $\kappa = \kappa$, $F = F$, $P_I = P_I$ for $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of Lemma 4.4), and the fact that $\gamma \geq \max\{2\beta, \kappa, \delta\}$ show that for every $R \in (0, \infty)$, $x, y \in H_\gamma$ with $\max\{\|x\|_{H_\gamma}, \|y\|_{H_\gamma}\} \leq R$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F(x) - F(y)\|_{H_{2\beta-\gamma}} \leq \|(-A)^{2\beta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} \|F(x) - F(y)\|_H \\ & \leq C \|(-A)^{2\beta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} \|x - y\|_{H_\delta} (1 + 2(\|(-A)^{\kappa-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} R)^c) \\ & \leq C \|(-A)^{2\beta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} \|(-A)^{\delta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} \|x - y\|_{H_\gamma} (1 + 2(\|(-A)^{\kappa-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} R)^c) < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (156)$$

Combining this, (152), (155), and the fact that $2\beta - \gamma \leq 0$ with, e.g., [50, Corollary 6.5] (with $H = H$, $U = U$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\lambda = \mathbf{v}$, $A = A$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $T = T$, $p = 2p$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\eta = 2(\gamma - \beta)$, $F = (H_\gamma \ni x \mapsto F(x) \in$

$H_{2\beta-\gamma}$), $B = (H_\gamma \ni x \mapsto B \in \text{HS}(U, H_\beta))$, $I_n = I_n$, $X^n = \mathcal{X}^n$, $X^0 = X$, $q = p$, $K = C \|(-A)^{2\beta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} \|(-A)^{\delta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} (1 + 2(\|(-A)^{\kappa-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} R)^c)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $R \in (0, \infty)$ in the notation of [50, Corollary 6.5]) ensures that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{X}_t^n - X_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)} \right) = 0. \quad (157)$$

In addition, note that the assumption that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ it holds that $\{v \in P_I(H) : r(v) \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}\} \subseteq D_h^I$ and Lemma 4.3 (with $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $V = P_I(H)$, $\varsigma = \varsigma$, $p = 2p$, $\alpha = \frac{\alpha}{\varsigma}$, $c = \nu$, $h = |\theta|_T$, $Y = (\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}(\omega) \in P_I(H))$, $r = (P_I(H) \ni x \mapsto r(x) \in [0, \infty))$, $P = P_I$, $F = (P_I(H) \ni x \mapsto P_{I_n} F(x) \in H)$, $D = D_{|\theta|_T}^I$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$ in the notation of Lemma 4.3) prove that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$ with $I \subseteq I_n$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}) P_I F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}) - P_{I_n} F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ &= \|\mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}) P_I (P_{I_n} F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})) - P_{I_n} F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ &\leq |\nu|^{-\alpha/\varsigma} [|\theta|_T]^\alpha \|r(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p\alpha/\varsigma}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})}^{\alpha/\varsigma} \|P_I P_{I_n} F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ &\quad + \|(P_I - \text{Id}_H) P_{I_n} F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ &= |\nu|^{-\alpha/\varsigma} [|\theta|_T]^\alpha \|r(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p\alpha/\varsigma}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})}^{\alpha/\varsigma} \|P_I F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} + \|P_{I_n \setminus I} F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)}. \end{aligned} \quad (158)$$

Moreover, note that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $I \subseteq I_n$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|P_{I_n \setminus I} F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} \leq \|P_{I_n \setminus I} (-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|P_{I_n \setminus I} F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\iota)} \\ & \leq \|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I} (-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} \sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|P_J F(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\iota)}. \end{aligned} \quad (159)$$

In addition, observe that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $I \subseteq I_n$ it holds that

$$\|P_{I_n \setminus I} \xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \leq \|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I} (-A)^{\delta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)}. \quad (160)$$

Next note that (145) ensures that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $I \subseteq I_n$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - O_s^{I_n}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \\ & \leq C \max\{\|(-A)^{-\max\{\kappa, \delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} (\|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I} (-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} + [|\theta|_T]^\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (161)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - O_s^{I_n}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \\ & \leq C \max\{\|(-A)^{\delta-\max\{\kappa, \delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} (\|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I} (-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} + [|\theta|_T]^\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (162)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - O_s^{I_n}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} \\ & \leq C \max\{\|(-A)^{\kappa-\max\{\kappa, \delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} (\|(-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} + [\max\{T, 1\}]^\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (163)$$

Combining (144), item (b), and (158)–(160) hence implies that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I} - \mathcal{X}_t^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \right) \\ & \leq C \max\{\|(-A)^{-\max\{\kappa, \delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} (\|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I} (-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} + [|\theta|_T]^\alpha) + \|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I} \xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{C[\max\{T,1\}]^2}{1-\gamma} \exp((C + \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2))T) \\
& \cdot \left[\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\rho \|\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I} - \mathbf{O}_s^{\theta,I} + \mathbf{O}_s^{I_n} + e^{sA} P_{I_n \setminus I} \xi\|_H^2} \right] ds \right] \left\{ [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|P_I F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})} \right. \\
& + |\mathbf{v}|^{-\alpha/\zeta} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|r(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta,I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p\alpha/\zeta}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})}^{\alpha/\zeta} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|P_I F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} [|\theta|_T]^\alpha \\
& + \|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} \sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|P_J F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\iota)} \\
& + \left(2[|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|P_I F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} + C[|\theta|_T]^\alpha + [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)} \right. \\
& + C \max\{\|(-A)^{\delta-\max\{\kappa,\delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} (\|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} + [|\theta|_T]^\alpha) \\
& + \|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{\delta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)} \left. \right) [1 + 2 \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} \\
& + C \max\{\|(-A)^{\kappa-\max\{\kappa,\delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} (\|(-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} + [\max\{T, 1\}]^\alpha) + \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} \left. \right]^c.
\end{aligned} \tag{164}$$

This proves that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta,I} - \mathcal{X}_t^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \right) \\
& \leq C \max\{\|(-A)^{-\max\{\kappa,\delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} \|(-A)^{-\iota+\min\{\gamma-\delta,\iota\}}\|_{L(H)} \|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{-\min\{\gamma-\delta,\iota\}}\|_{L(H)} \\
& + C \max\{\|(-A)^{-\max\{\kappa,\delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} [|\theta|_T]^\alpha \\
& + \|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{-\min\{\gamma-\delta,\iota\}}\|_{L(H)} \|(-A)^{\min\{\gamma-\delta,\iota\}+\delta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})} \\
& + \frac{C[\max\{T,1\}]^2}{1-\gamma} \exp((C + \rho(2a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2))T) [1 + 2 \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} \\
& + C \max\{\|(-A)^{\kappa-\max\{\kappa,\delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} (\|(-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} + [\max\{T, 1\}]^\alpha) + \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} \left. \right]^c \\
& \cdot \left[\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\rho \|\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I} - \mathbf{O}_s^{\theta,I} + \mathbf{O}_s^{I_n} + e^{sA} P_{I_n \setminus I} \xi\|_H^2} \right] ds \right] \left\{ \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|P_I F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})} [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \right. \\
& + |\mathbf{v}|^{-\alpha/\zeta} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|r(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta,I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p\alpha/\zeta}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})}^{\alpha/\zeta} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|P_I F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} [|\theta|_T]^\alpha \\
& + \sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|P_J F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\iota)} \\
& \cdot \|(-A)^{-\iota+\min\{\gamma-\delta,\iota\}}\|_{L(H)} \|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{-\min\{\gamma-\delta,\iota\}}\|_{L(H)} \\
& + 2 \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|P_I F(\mathbf{X}_s^{\theta,I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H)} [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} + C[|\theta|_T]^\alpha + \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)} [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta} \\
& + C \max\{\|(-A)^{\delta-\max\{\kappa,\delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} \|(-A)^{-\iota+\min\{\gamma-\delta,\iota\}}\|_{L(H)} \|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{-\min\{\gamma-\delta,\iota\}}\|_{L(H)} \\
& + C \max\{\|(-A)^{\delta-\max\{\kappa,\delta\}}\|_{L(H)}, 1\} [|\theta|_T]^\alpha \\
& + \|(-A)^{\delta-\gamma+\min\{\gamma-\delta,\iota\}}\|_{L(H)} \|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{-\min\{\gamma-\delta,\iota\}}\|_{L(H)} \|\xi\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)} \left. \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{165}$$

Moreover, note that (154) and (157) ensure that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta,I} - X_t\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta,I} - \mathcal{X}_t^n\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \right). \tag{166}$$

Combining the fact that $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\gamma)$, (146)–(148), and (165) therefore establishes (149). The proof of Proposition 4.5 is thus completed. \square

5 Strong convergence rates for space-time discrete tamed-truncated exponential Euler-type approximations without assuming finite exponential moments

Setting 5.1. Assume Setting 1.3, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $a, b, \nu \in [0, \infty)$, $\varsigma \in (0, 1/18)$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$, $\beta \in [0, 1/2)$, $\gamma \in [0, 1/2 + \beta)$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H_\beta)$, $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(H_\gamma), \mathcal{B}(H))$, $(D_h^I)_{h \in (0, T], I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)$, let $(P_I)_{I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in H$ that $P_I(x) = \sum_{h \in I} \langle h, x \rangle_H h$, assume for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$, $x \in D_h^I$ that $D_h^I \subseteq \{v \in P_I(H) : \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)} + \epsilon \|v\|_H^2 \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}\}$, $\max\{\|P_I F(x)\|_H, \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}\} \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}$, and $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a + b \|x\|_H^2$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process, let $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma))$ satisfy $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\epsilon \|\xi\|_H^2)] < \infty$, and let $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I} : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathbf{X}_0^{\theta, I} = P_I \xi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I} + \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I})(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_\theta) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I B dW_s}{1 + \|\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t P_I B dW_s\|_H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (167)$$

5.1 Finite exponential moments for tamed-truncated Euler-type approximations

In this subsection we establish in Corollary 5.5 below uniformly bounded exponential moments for the space-time discrete tamed-truncated exponential Euler-type approximation processes $(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, (see (167) above). Our proof of Corollary 5.5 uses the exponential moment estimate in [49, Corollary 3.4]. We then employ Corollary 5.5 to establish in Corollary 5.6 below for every $p \in (0, \infty)$ uniformly bounded \mathcal{L}^p -moments for the considered approximation processes. Moreover, combining Corollary 5.6 with [45, Corollary 3.1] and [47, Lemma 3.4] allows us to establish in Corollary 5.7 below for every $p \in (0, \infty)$ strengthened uniformly bounded \mathcal{L}^p -moments for the considered approximation processes.

Lemma 5.2. Assume Setting 1.2, let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H)$ be a non-zero separable \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space, let $(U, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U, \|\cdot\|_U)$ be a separable \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space, let $\mathfrak{N} = [1, \dim(H)] \cap \mathbb{N}$, let $(h_n)_{n \in \mathfrak{N}} \subseteq H$ be an orthonormal basis of H , let $\mathbb{H} = \{h_n : n \in \mathfrak{N}\}$, let $B : U \rightarrow H$ be a linear function, let $(P_I)_{I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$, $v \in H$ that $P_I(v) = \sum_{h \in I} \langle h, v \rangle_H h$, for every $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ let $\mathbb{U}_n \subseteq [\ker(P_{\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}} B)]^\perp$ be an orthonormal basis of $[\ker(P_{\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}} B)]^\perp$, assume for every $n \in (\mathfrak{N} \setminus \{\sup(\mathfrak{N})\})$ that $\mathbb{U}_n \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{n+1}$, and let $(\mathfrak{P}_I)_{I \in \mathcal{P}(\cup_{n \in \mathfrak{N}} \mathbb{U}_n)} \subseteq L(U)$ satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\cup_{n \in \mathfrak{N}} \mathbb{U}_n)$, $u \in U$ that $\mathfrak{P}_{Iu} = \sum_{u \in I} \langle u, u \rangle_U u$. Then there exists a function $\Gamma : \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ which satisfies that

- (i) it holds for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ that $[\ker(P_I B)]^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{U}_{\Gamma(I)}}(U)$,
- (ii) it holds for every $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ that $\Gamma(\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}) \leq n$, and
- (iii) it holds for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ that $P_I B = P_I B \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{U}_{\Gamma(I)}}$.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Throughout this proof let $\Gamma: \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the function which satisfies for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ that

$$\Gamma(I) = \inf(\{n \in \mathfrak{N}: [\ker(P_I B)]^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{U}_n}(U)\} \cup \{\infty\}). \quad (168)$$

Observe that for every $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ it holds that

$$[\ker(P_{\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}} B)]^\perp = \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{U}_n}(U). \quad (169)$$

Moreover, note that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ there exists $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ such that $I \subseteq \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}$. This ensures that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ there exists $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ such that

$$\ker(P_{\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}} B) \subseteq \ker(P_I B). \quad (170)$$

This and (169) imply that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ there exists $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ such that

$$[\ker(P_I B)]^\perp \subseteq \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{U}_n}(U). \quad (171)$$

Therefore, we obtain that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ it holds that $\Gamma(I) \in \mathfrak{N}$. Combining this, (168), and (169) establishes items (i) and (ii). Moreover, note that item (i) implies that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ it holds that

$$P_I B = P_I B \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{U}_{\Gamma(I)}}. \quad (172)$$

This implies item (iii). The proof of Lemma 5.2 is thus completed. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Assume Setting 1.2, let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H)$ be a non-zero separable \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space, let $(U, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U, \|\cdot\|_U)$ be a separable \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space, let $\mathfrak{N} = [1, \dim(H)] \cap \mathbb{N}$, let $(h_N)_{N \in \mathfrak{N}} \subseteq H$ be an orthonormal basis of H , let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H)$, let $\mathbb{B} \in L(H, U)$ satisfy for every $v \in H$, $u \in U$ that $\langle Bu, v \rangle_H = \langle u, \mathbb{B}v \rangle_U$, let $(P_N)_{N \in \mathfrak{N}} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $N \in \mathfrak{N}$, $v \in H$ that $P_N(v) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle h_n, v \rangle_H h_n$, for every $N \in \mathfrak{N}$ let $\mathbb{U}_N \subseteq [\ker(P_N B)]^\perp$ be an orthonormal basis of $[\ker(P_N B)]^\perp$, assume for every $N \in (\mathfrak{N} \setminus \{\sup(\mathfrak{N})\})$ that $\mathbb{U}_N \subseteq \mathbb{U}_{N+1}$, let $(\mathfrak{P}_N)_{N \in \mathfrak{N}} \subseteq L(U)$ satisfy for every $N \in \mathfrak{N}$, $u \in U$ that $\mathfrak{P}_N u = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{U}_N} \langle u, u \rangle_U u$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical Wiener process, and for every $N \in \mathfrak{N}$ let $W^N: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_N(H)$ be a stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $[W_t^N]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_N(H))} = \int_0^t P_N B dW_s$. Then*

(i) *it holds for every $N \in \mathfrak{N}$ that $P_N B \mathfrak{P}_N = P_N B$,*

(ii) *it holds for every $N \in \mathfrak{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $[W_t^N]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_N(H))} = \int_0^t P_N B \mathfrak{P}_N dW_s$, and*

(iii) *it holds for every $N \in \mathfrak{N}$ that $(W_t^N)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a $(P_N B \mathbb{B}|_{P_N(H)})$ -Wiener process.*

Proof of Corollary 5.3. Throughout this proof let $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the normal filtration generated by $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$. Observe that Lemma 5.2 (with $H = H$, $U = U$, $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}$, $h_n = h_n$, $B = B$, $P_{\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}} = P_n$, $\mathbb{U}_n = \mathbb{U}_n$, $\mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{U}_n} = \mathfrak{P}_n$ for $n \in \mathfrak{N}$ in the notation of Lemma 5.2) ensures that for every $N \in \mathfrak{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$P_N B = P_N B \mathfrak{P}_N. \quad (173)$$

This establishes items (i) and (ii). Combining (173) and, e.g. [49, Lemma 3.2] (with $H = P_N(H)$, $U = U$, $T = T$, $Q = \text{Id}_U$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $R = (U \ni u \mapsto P_N B(u) \in P_N(H))$, $(\tilde{W}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t^N)_{t \in [0, T]}$ for $N \in \mathfrak{N}$ in the notation of [49, Lemma 3.2]) establishes item (iii). The proof of Corollary 5.3 is thus completed. \square

Lemma 5.4. Assume Setting 1.3, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $\beta \in [0, 1/2)$, $\gamma \in [0, 1/2 + \beta)$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H_\beta)$, $F \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(H_\gamma), \mathcal{B}(H))$, $D \in \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process, let $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma))$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $P \in L(H)$ satisfy for every $x \in H$ that $P(x) = \sum_{h \in I} \langle h, x \rangle_H h$, let $\mathcal{W}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P(H)$ be a stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $[\mathcal{W}_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P(H))} = \int_0^t PB dW_s$, and let $\mathbf{X}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P(H)$ be an $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic process which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathbf{X}_0 = P\xi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{X}_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta} + \mathbb{1}_D(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} PF(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta})(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_\theta) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P(H))} \\ &\quad + \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t \mathbb{1}_D(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} PB dW_s}{1 + \left\| \int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t PB dW_s \right\|_H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (174)$$

Then there exists an $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic process $\mathcal{X}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P(H)$ with continuous sample paths which satisfies that

(i) it holds that $\mathcal{X}_0 = P\xi$,

(ii) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\mathcal{X}_t = e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta} + \mathbb{1}_D(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \left[PF(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta})(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_\theta) + \frac{(\mathcal{W}_t - \mathcal{W}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta})}{1 + \|\mathcal{W}_t - \mathcal{W}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}\|_H^2} \right], \quad (175)$$

(iii) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{X}_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta} + \mathbb{1}_D(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} PF(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta})(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_\theta) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P(H))} \\ &\quad + \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t \mathbb{1}_D(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} PB dW_s}{1 + \left\| \int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t PB dW_s \right\|_H^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (176)$$

and

(iv) it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{X}_t = \mathbf{X}_t) = 1$.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. Throughout this proof let $\mathcal{X}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P(H)$ be the stochastic process which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathcal{X}_0 = P\xi$ and

$$\mathcal{X}_t = e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta} + \mathbb{1}_D(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \left[PF(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta})(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_\theta) + \frac{(\mathcal{W}_t - \mathcal{W}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta})}{1 + \|\mathcal{W}_t - \mathcal{W}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}\|_H^2} \right]. \quad (177)$$

Note that the fact that for every $s \in [0, T]$ it holds that $[s, T] \times H \ni (t, x) \mapsto e^{(t-s)A}x \in P(H)$ is continuous, the fact that \mathcal{W} has continuous sample paths, and (177) ensure that \mathcal{X} has continuous sample paths. Moreover, observe that the assumption that $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a normal filtration and the assumption that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that $[\mathcal{W}_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P(H))} = \int_0^t PB dW_s$ show that \mathcal{W} is $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted. Combining this, (177), the fact that $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(P(H)))$, and the assumption that $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a normal filtration therefore shows that \mathcal{X} is $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted. This, (177), and the fact that \mathcal{X} has continuous sample paths establish items (i) and (ii). Next note that the fact that \mathcal{X} is $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted ensures that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\left[\mathbb{1}_D(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \frac{(\mathcal{W}_t - \mathcal{W}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta})}{1 + \|\mathcal{W}_t - \mathcal{W}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}\|_H^2} \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P(H))} = \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t \mathbb{1}_D(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} PB dW_s}{1 + \left\| \int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t PB dW_s \right\|_H^2}. \quad (178)$$

Combining this and (177) demonstrates that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{X}_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta})A} \mathcal{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}} + \mathbb{1}_D(\mathcal{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}) e^{(t-\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta})A} P F(\mathcal{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}})(t - \lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P(H))} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^t \mathbb{1}_D(\mathcal{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}) e^{(t-\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta})A} P B dW_s}{1 + \left\| \int_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^t P B dW_s \right\|_H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (179)$$

This establishes item (iii). Moreover, observe that (174), (179), and item (i) assure that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{X}_t = X_t) = 1. \quad (180)$$

This establishes item (iv). The proof of Lemma 5.4 is thus completed. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Assume Setting 5.1. Then*

$$\sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{\epsilon}{e^{2(b + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T}} \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_H^2 \right) \right] < \infty. \quad (181)$$

Proof of Corollary 5.5. Throughout this proof let $c = 2 \max\{\epsilon a, \epsilon \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}, \epsilon, \mathbf{v}, 1\}$, let $\mathfrak{N} = [1, \dim(H)] \cap \mathbb{N}$, let $h_n \in H$, $n \in \mathfrak{N}$, satisfy for every $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $h_m \neq h_n$ and $\mathbb{H} = \{h_N : N \in \mathfrak{N}\}$, let $\mathbb{U}_1 \subseteq [\ker(P_{\{h_1\}}B)]^\perp$ be an orthonormal basis of $[\ker(P_{\{h_1\}}B)]^\perp$, for every $n \in ([2, \infty) \cap \mathfrak{N})$ let $\mathbb{U}_n \subseteq [\ker(P_{\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}}B)]^\perp$ be an orthonormal basis of $[\ker(P_{\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n\}}B)]^\perp$ with $\mathbb{U}_{n-1} \subseteq \mathbb{U}_n$, let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq U$ be an orthonormal basis of U with $\mathcal{U} \supseteq \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{U}_n$, let $\mathfrak{P}_I \in L(U)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U})$, satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{U})$, $u \in U$ that $\mathfrak{P}_I u = \sum_{u \in I} \langle u, u \rangle_U u$, and let $\mathfrak{X}^{\theta, I, J} : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{U})$, be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{U})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathfrak{X}_0^{\theta, I, J} = P_I \xi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{X}_t^{\theta, I, J}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta})A} \mathfrak{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^{\theta, I, J} + \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathfrak{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^{\theta, I, J}) e^{(t-\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta})A} P_I F(\mathfrak{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^{\theta, I, J})(t - \lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^t \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathfrak{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^{\theta, I, J}) e^{(t-\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta})A} P_I B \mathfrak{P}_J dW_s}{1 + \left\| \int_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^t P_I B \mathfrak{P}_J dW_s \right\|_H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (182)$$

Observe that Lemma 5.4 (with $T = T$, $\theta = \theta$, $\beta = \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $B = B \mathfrak{P}_J$, $F = F$, $D = D_{|\theta|_T}^I$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $I = I$, $P = P_I$, $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I} = \mathfrak{X}^{\theta, I, J}$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{U})$ in the notation of Lemma 5.4) ensures that there exist $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes $\mathcal{X}^{\theta, I, J} : [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{U})$, with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{U})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathcal{X}_0^{\theta, I, J} = P_I \xi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I, J}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta})A} \mathcal{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^{\theta, I, J} + \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathcal{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^{\theta, I, J}) e^{(t-\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta})A} P_I F(\mathcal{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^{\theta, I, J})(t - \lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^t \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathcal{X}_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^{\theta, I, J}) e^{(t-\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta})A} P_I B \mathfrak{P}_J dW_s}{1 + \left\| \int_{\lrcorner t_{\lrcorner \theta}}^t P_I B \mathfrak{P}_J dW_s \right\|_H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (183)$$

Next note that Lemma 5.2 (with $H = H$, $U = U$, $\mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{N}$, $h_n = h_n$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $B = (U \ni u \mapsto B(u) \in H)$, $P_I = P_I$, $\mathbb{U}_n = \mathbb{U}_n$, $\mathfrak{P}_J = \mathfrak{P}_J$ for $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$, $n \in \mathfrak{N}$, $J \in \mathcal{P}(\cup_{n \in \mathfrak{N}} \mathbb{U}_n)$ in the notation of Lemma 5.2) assures that there exists a function $\Gamma : \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}$ which satisfies for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ that

$$P_I B = P_I B \mathfrak{P}_{\cup_{\Gamma(I)}}. \quad (184)$$

Combining (167) and (184) demonstrates that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I} + \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I})(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_\theta) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I B \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{U}_{\Gamma(I)}} dW_s}{1 + \left\| \int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t P_I B \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{U}_{\Gamma(I)}} dW_s \right\|_H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (185)$$

This and (183) ensure that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I} = \mathcal{X}^{\theta, I, \mathbb{U}_{\Gamma(I)}}. \quad (186)$$

In addition, note that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ it holds that

$$D_h^I \subseteq \{v \in P_I(H) : \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)} + \epsilon \|v\|_H^2 \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}\} \subseteq \{v \in H : \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)} + \epsilon \|v\|_H^2 \leq ch^{-\varsigma}\}. \quad (187)$$

Furthermore, observe that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$, $x \in D_h^I$ it holds that

$$\max\{\|P_I F(x)\|_H, \|P_I B \mathfrak{P}_{\mathbb{U}_{\Gamma(I)}}\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}\} \leq \max\{\|P_I F(x)\|_H, \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}\} \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma} \leq ch^{-\varsigma}. \quad (188)$$

Moreover, note that the fact that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ it holds that $D_h^I \subseteq P_I(H)$ demonstrates that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$, $x \in D_h^I$ it holds that

$$\langle x, P_I F(x) \rangle_H = \langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a + b \|x\|_H^2. \quad (189)$$

Combining this and (185)–(188) with [49, Corollary 3.4] (with $H = H$, $U = U$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbb{U} = \mathcal{U}$, $\lambda = \mathbf{v}$, $A = A$, $T = T$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\delta = \varsigma$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $F = F$, $B = (H_\gamma \ni x \mapsto B \in \text{HS}(U, H))$, $D_h^I = D_h^I$, $P_I = P_I$, $\hat{P}_J = \mathfrak{P}_J$, $\vartheta = \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2$, $b_1 = a$, $b_2 = b$, $\varepsilon = \epsilon$, $\varsigma = \varsigma$, $c = c$, $Y^{\theta, I, J} = \mathcal{X}^{\theta, I, J}$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{U})$, $h \in (0, T]$ in the notation of [49, Corollary 3.4]) shows that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\frac{\epsilon \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_H^2}{e^{2(b+\epsilon\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)t}} \right) \right] < \infty. \quad (190)$$

In addition, note that the fact that $\epsilon \leq 1$ assures that for every $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\frac{\epsilon}{e^{2(b+\epsilon\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)t}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{e^{2(b+\epsilon\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{e^{2(b+\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T}}. \quad (191)$$

This and (190) establish (181). The proof of Corollary 5.5 is thus completed. \square

Corollary 5.6. *Assume Setting 5.1 and let $p \in (0, \infty)$. Then it holds that*

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} < \infty. \quad (192)$$

Proof of Corollary 5.6. Throughout this proof let $N \in (\left[\frac{p}{2}, \frac{p}{2} + 1\right] \cap \mathbb{N})$. Observe that Corollary 5.5 shows that there exists $M \in [0, \infty)$ such that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \epsilon \exp(-2(b + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T))$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\exp(\varepsilon \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_H^2) \right] \leq M. \quad (193)$$

In addition, note that Young's inequality ensures that for every $x \in (0, \infty)$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} x^{p/2} &= x^{(N-1)(N-(p/2))} x^{N((p/2)-N+1)} \leq (N - \frac{p}{2})x^{N-1} + (\frac{p}{2} - N + 1)x^N \\ &\leq Nx^{N-1} + x^N = (N!) \left(\frac{x^{N-1}}{(N-1)!} + \frac{x^N}{N!} \right) \leq (N!)e^x. \end{aligned} \quad (194)$$

Therefore, we obtain that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[|\varepsilon \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_H^2 |^{p/2} \right] \leq (N!) \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(\varepsilon \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_H^2) \right]. \quad (195)$$

This and (193) imply that there exists $M \in [0, \infty)$ such that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon \exp(-2(b + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T))$ it holds that

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \left[|\varepsilon \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_H^2 |^{p/2} \right] \right)^{2/p} \leq ((N!)M)^{2/p}. \quad (196)$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 5.6. \square

Corollary 5.7. *Assume Setting 5.1, let $p \in (0, \infty)$, $\eta_1 \in [0, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\eta_2 \in [\eta_1, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\iota \in [\eta_2, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\alpha_1 \in [0, 1 - \eta_1)$, $\alpha_2 \in [0, 1 - \eta_2)$, and assume that $\mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_{H_\iota}^{4 \max\{p, 1\}}] < \infty$ and*

$$\left[\sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_2\}}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_H}{1 + \|v\|_{H_{\eta_2}}^2} \right] + \left[\sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_1\}}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_2}}}{1 + \|v\|_{H_{\eta_1}}^2} \right] + \left[\sup_{v \in H_\gamma} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_1}}}{1 + \|v\|_H^2} \right] < \infty. \quad (197)$$

Then it holds that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H_\iota)} < \infty. \quad (198)$$

Proof of Corollary 5.7. Throughout this proof let $(\mathbb{G}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the normal filtration generated by $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let \mathbb{U} be an orthonormal basis of U , and let $\mathbf{O}^{\theta, I}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be stochastic processes which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\mathbf{O}_t^{\theta, I} = \mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I} - \left(e^{tA} P_I \xi + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) ds \right). \quad (199)$$

Observe that [45, Corollary 3.1] (with $H = H$, $U = U$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $A = A$, $\beta = \beta$, $T = T$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{G}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $B = B$, $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{U}$, $P_I = P_I$, $\hat{P}_\mathbb{U} = \text{Id}_U$, $\chi^{\theta, I, \mathbb{U}} = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}(\omega)) \in [0, 1])$, $\mathbf{O}^{\theta, I, \mathbb{U}} = \mathbf{O}^{\theta, I}$, $p = \max\{p, 1\}$, $\gamma = \iota$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of [45, Corollary 3.1]) shows that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4 \max\{p, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}; H_\iota)} < \infty. \quad (200)$$

Next note that Corollary 5.6 (with $p = 8 \max\{p, 1\}$ in the notation of Corollary 5.6) proves that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{8 \max\{p, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}; H)} < \infty. \quad (201)$$

Combining this, (197), and (200) with, e.g., [47, Lemma 3.4] (with $H = H$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $T = T$, $\beta = 1/2 + \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\xi = (\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto P_I(\xi(\omega)) \in H_{1/2+\beta})$, $F = (H_\gamma \ni x \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(x) P_I F(x) \in H)$, $\kappa = ([0, T] \ni t \mapsto \lfloor t \rfloor_\theta \in [0, T])$, $Z = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}(\omega) \in H_\gamma)$, $O = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \mathbf{O}_t^{\theta, I}(\omega) \in H_{1/2+\beta})$, $Y = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}(\omega) \in H)$, $p = \max\{p, 1\}$, $\rho = \eta_1$, $\eta = \eta_2$, $\iota = \iota$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of [47, Lemma 3.4]) shows that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\max\{p, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}; H_\iota)} < \infty. \quad (202)$$

Hölder's inequality therefore establishes (198). The proof of Corollary 5.7 is thus completed. \square

5.2 Strong error estimates for tamed-truncated Euler-type approximations

In this subsection we establish the main result of this article in Theorem 5.9 below. To do so, we first prove an elementary exponential moment estimate in Lemma 5.8. Combining Corollaries 5.5–5.7, Lemma 5.8, and [45, Corollaries 3.2–3.4] allows us to apply Proposition 4.5 to derive in Theorem 5.9 strong convergence rates for the numerical approximations $(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I})_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, (see (212) below) for a general class of semilinear SPDEs with additive noise and a possibly non-globally monotone nonlinearity. Moreover, in Corollary 5.10 we briefly present and prove a simplified version of Theorem 5.9.

Lemma 5.8. *Assume Setting 1.3, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H)$, let $(P_I)_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $v \in H$ that $P_I(v) = \sum_{h \in I} \langle h, v \rangle_H h$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, and let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical Wiener process. Then it holds for every $t \in [0, T]$ with $2t\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 < 1$ that*

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} P_I B dW_s \|_H^2} \right] \leq \frac{2}{1 - 4t^2 \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^4}. \quad (203)$$

Proof of Lemma 5.8. Throughout this proof let $\mathbb{U} \subseteq U$ be an orthonormal basis of U , let $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be the normal filtration generated by $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, and let $O^I: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $[O_t^I]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} = \int_0^t P_I e^{(t-s)A} B dW_s$. Observe that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$[O_t^I]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} = \left[\int_0^t A O_s^I ds \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} + \int_0^t P_I B dW_s. \quad (204)$$

Itô's formula therefore shows that for every $p \in [2, \infty)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} [\|O_t^I\|_H^p]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} &= \left[\int_0^t p \|O_s^I\|_H^{p-2} \langle O_s^I, A O_s^I \rangle_H ds \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} + \int_0^t p \|O_s^I\|_H^{p-2} \langle O_s^I, B dW_s \rangle_H \\ &+ \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}} [p \|O_s^I\|_H^{p-2} \|B \mathbf{u}\|_H^2 + p(p-2) \mathbb{1}_{\{O_s^I \neq 0\}} \|O_s^I\|_H^{p-4} |\langle O_s^I, B \mathbf{u} \rangle_H|^2] ds \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned} \quad (205)$$

Moreover, note that the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy-type inequality in Da Prato & Zabczyk [23, Lemma 7.7] proves that for every $p \in [2, \infty)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \mathbb{E} [\|O_s^I\|_H^{2(p-2)} \|(U \ni u \mapsto \langle O_s^I, B(u) \rangle_H \in \mathbb{R})\|_{\text{HS}(U, \mathbb{R})}^2] ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \mathbb{E} [\|O_s^I\|_H^{2(p-1)} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2] ds = \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 \int_0^t \|O_s^I\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2(p-1)}(\mathbb{P}; H)}^{2(p-1)} ds \\ &\leq \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 \int_0^t [(p-1)(2p-3)]^{(p-1)} \left[\int_0^s \|P_I e^{(s-u)A} B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 du \right]^{(p-1)} ds \\ &\leq \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 \int_0^t [(p-1)(2p-3)]^{(p-1)} \left[\int_0^s \|e^{(s-u)A}\|_{L(H)}^2 \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 du \right]^{(p-1)} ds \\ &\leq \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^{2p} [(p-1)(2p-3)]^{(p-1)} \int_0^t \left[\int_0^s du \right]^{(p-1)} ds < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (206)$$

Combining (205), the fact that for every $x \in H_1$ it holds that $\langle x, Ax \rangle_H = -\|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 \leq 0$, Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, and Tonelli's theorem therefore implies that for every $p \in [2, \infty)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|O_t^I\|_H^p] &\leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t \sum_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{U}} [p\|O_s^I\|_H^{p-2} \|B\mathbf{u}\|_H^2 + p(p-2) \mathbb{1}_{\{O_s^I \neq 0\}} \|O_s^I\|_H^{p-2} \|B\mathbf{u}\|_H^2] ds \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2 \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^t [p\|O_s^I\|_H^{p-2} + p(p-2) \mathbb{1}_{\{O_s^I \neq 0\}} \|O_s^I\|_H^{p-2}] ds \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2 \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[p\|O_s^I\|_H^{p-2} + p(p-2) \|O_s^I\|_H^{p-2}] ds = \frac{p(p-1) \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2}{2} \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[\|O_s^I\|_H^{p-2}] ds. \end{aligned} \quad (207)$$

This ensures that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t_0 \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|O_{t_0}^I\|_H^{2n}] &\leq \frac{2n(2n-1) \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2}{2} \int_0^{t_0} \mathbb{E}[\|O_s^I\|_H^{2(n-1)}] ds \\ &\leq \frac{(2n)! \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^{2n}}{2^n} \int_0^{t_0} \int_0^{t_1} \cdots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} dt_n \cdots dt_2 dt_1 = \frac{(2n)! \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^{2n}}{2^{2n}} t_0^n. \end{aligned} \quad (208)$$

Moreover, note that for every $x \in [0, \infty)$ it holds that $e^x \leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$ (see, e.g., Hutzenthaler et al. [43, Lemma 2.4]). Combining this, (208), Tonelli's theorem, and the fact that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $(4n)! \leq 2^{4n} [(2n)!]^2$ implies that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, \infty)$ with $2t \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2 < 1$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{\| \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} P_I B dW_s \|^2_H} \right] &= \mathbb{E} [e^{\|O_t^I\|_H^2}] \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|O_t^I\|_H^{4n}}{(2n)!} \right] = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\|O_t^I\|_H^{4n}]}{(2n)!} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(4n)! \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^{4n} t^{2n}}{[(2n)!]^2 2^{2n}} \leq 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{2n} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^{4n} t^{2n} \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (4 \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^4 t^2)^n = \frac{2}{1 - 4t^2 \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (209)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.8. \square

Theorem 5.9. *Assume Setting 1.3, let $T, \nu \in (0, \infty)$, $\varsigma \in (0, 1/18)$, $a \in [0, \infty)$, $C, c, p \in [1, \infty)$, $\beta \in [0, 1/2)$, $\gamma \in [2\beta, 1/2 + \beta) \cap (0, \infty)$, $\delta \in (\gamma - 1/2, \gamma) \cap [0, \infty)$, $\kappa \in [0, \gamma] \cap [0, 1/2 + \beta - \gamma + \delta)$, $\eta_0 = 0$, $\sigma, \nu, \eta_1 \in [0, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\eta_2 \in [\eta_1, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\alpha_1 \in [0, 1 - \eta_1)$, $\alpha_2 \in [0, 1 - \eta_2)$, $\alpha_3 = 0$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H_\beta)$, $\epsilon \in (0, \exp(-2(a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T))$, $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{16p} \exp(-2(a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T) \min\{\epsilon \exp(-2(a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T), 1/(8 \max\{\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2, 1\} \max\{T, 1\}^2)\})$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H_\gamma, H)$, $r \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(H_\gamma), \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)))$, $(D_h^I)_{h \in (0, T], I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)$, let $\Phi: H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function, let $(P_I)_{I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in H$ that $P_I(x) = \sum_{h \in I} \langle h, x \rangle_H h$, assume for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ that $D_h^I = \{v \in P_I(H) : r(v) \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}\}$ and $(P_I(H) \ni v \mapsto \Phi(v) \in [0, \infty)) \in \mathcal{C}(P_I(H), [0, \infty))$, assume for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$, $x \in D_h^I$ that $\max\{\|P_I F(x)\|_H, \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}\} \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}$, assume for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x, y \in P_I(H)$ that $\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)} + \epsilon \|x\|_H^2 \leq r(x) \leq C(1 + \|x\|_{H_\nu}^2)$, $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + C) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, $\|P_I(F(x) - F(y))\|_H \leq C \|x - y\|_{H_\delta} (1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$, $\langle x, Ax + F(x + y) \rangle_H \leq \Phi(y)(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, and*

$$\left[\sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{v \in P_J(H)} \frac{\|P_J F(v)\|_{H_{\gamma-\delta}}}{1 + \|v\|_{H_\sigma}^2} \right] + \sum_{i=0}^2 \left[\sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_i\}}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_i+1}}}{1 + \|v\|_{H_{\eta_i}}^2} \right] < \infty, \quad (210)$$

let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process, let $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{32pc \max\{(\gamma-\delta)/s, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}|_{\mathbb{F}_0}; H_{\max\{\eta_2, \sigma, \nu, \gamma\}})$ satisfy $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\epsilon \|\xi\|_H^2)] < \infty$, let $X: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H_\gamma$ be an $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$[X_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)} = \left[e^{tA} \xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) ds \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)} + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B dW_s, \quad (211)$$

and let $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathbf{X}_0^{\theta, I} = P_I \xi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I} + \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I})(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_\theta) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I B dW_s}{1 + \left\| \int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t P_I B dW_s \right\|_H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (212)$$

Then there exists $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ it holds that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t - \mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \leq \mathbf{c} (\|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{\delta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} + [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta}). \quad (213)$$

Proof of Theorem 5.9. Throughout this proof let $\rho \in (0, \infty)$ satisfy that

$$\epsilon \rho e^{2(a+\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T} \leq \rho < \frac{1}{16} \min \left\{ \epsilon e^{-2(a+\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T}, \frac{1}{(8 \max\{\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2, 1\} \max\{T, 1\})^2} \right\}. \quad (214)$$

Note that Lemma 5.4 (with $T = T$, $\theta = \theta$, $\beta = \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $B = B$, $F = F$, $D = D_{|\theta|_T}^I$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $I = I$, $P = P_I$, $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}^{\theta, I}$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$) in the notation of Lemma 5.4) proves that there exist $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes $\mathcal{X}^{\theta, I}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I} = \mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I} + \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I F(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I})(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_\theta) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I B dW_s}{1 + \left\| \int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t P_I B dW_s \right\|_H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (215)$$

Next let $\mathbf{O}^{\theta, I}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be stochastic processes which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$\mathbf{O}_t^{\theta, I} = \mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I} - \left(e^{tA} P_I \xi + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I F(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) ds \right). \quad (216)$$

We intend to prove Theorem 5.9 through an application of Proposition 4.5 (with $\alpha = \gamma - \delta$, $\iota = \gamma - \delta$, $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I} = \mathcal{X}^{\theta, I}$, $\mathbf{O}^{\theta, I} = \mathbf{O}^{\theta, I}$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of Proposition 4.5). For this we now verify the hypotheses (144)–(148) in Proposition 4.5. Observe that (215) and (216) imply that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$[\mathbf{O}_t^{\theta, I}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} = \left[e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I} \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} + \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}) e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta)A} P_I B dW_s}{1 + \left\| \int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^t P_I B dW_s \right\|_H^2}. \quad (217)$$

This and [45, Corollary 3.2] (with $H = H$, $U = U$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $A = A$, $\beta = \beta$, $T = T$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $B = B$, $P_I = P_I$, $\hat{P}_U = \text{Id}_U$, $\chi^{\theta, I, U} = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I}(\omega)) \in [0, 1])$, $\mathbf{O}^{\theta, I, U} = \mathbf{O}^{\theta, I}$, $p = 4p$, $\gamma = \delta$, $\rho = \gamma - \delta$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of [45, Corollary 3.2]) show that there exists $\mathfrak{C} \in \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$ it holds that

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - \mathbf{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{\theta}}^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_\delta)} \leq \mathfrak{C} [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma - \delta}. \quad (218)$$

Moreover, note that the fact that $\gamma < 1/2 + \beta$ ensures that there exists an $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic process $O: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H_\gamma$ with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$[O_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)} = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B dW_s \quad (219)$$

(cf., e.g., [47, Lemma 5.5]). Next let $O^I: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be stochastic processes which satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$O_t^I = P_I O_t. \quad (220)$$

Observe that (220) and Hölder's inequality imply that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I, J \in \mathcal{P}_0(H)$, $s \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(\rho \|\mathcal{X}_s^{\theta, J} - \mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, J} + O_s^I + e^{sA} P_{I \setminus J} \xi\|_H^2) \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\exp(4\rho (\|\mathcal{X}_s^{\theta, J}\|_H^2 + \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, J}\|_H^2 + \|O_s^I\|_H^2 + \|\xi\|_H^2)) \right] \\ & \leq [\mathbb{E}[\exp(16\rho \|\mathcal{X}_s^{\theta, J}\|_H^2)]] [\mathbb{E}[\exp(16\rho \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, J}\|_H^2)]] [\mathbb{E}[\exp(16\rho \|O_s^I\|_H^2)]] [\mathbb{E}[\exp(16\rho \|\xi\|_H^2)]]^{1/4}. \end{aligned} \quad (221)$$

Moreover, note that the assumption that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in P_I(H)$ it holds that

$$\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)} + \epsilon \|x\|_H^2 \leq r(x) \leq C(1 + \|x\|_{H_\nu}^2) \quad (222)$$

and the assumption that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ it holds that

$$D_h^I = \{v \in P_I(H) : r(v) \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}\} \quad (223)$$

ensure that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ it holds that

$$\{v \in P_I(H) : C(1 + \|v\|_{H_\nu}^2) \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}\} \subseteq D_h^I \subseteq \{v \in P_I(H) : \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)} + \epsilon \|v\|_H^2 \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}\}. \quad (224)$$

Combining this, the assumption that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$, $x \in D_h^I$ it holds that

$$\max\{\|P_I F(x)\|_H, \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}\} \leq \nu h^{-\varsigma}, \quad (225)$$

the assumption that $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\epsilon \|\xi\|_H^2)] < \infty$, the fact that $\xi \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{F}_0, \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma))$, the assumption that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in P_I(H)$ it holds that

$$\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a(1 + \|x\|_H^2), \quad (226)$$

the fact that $16\rho \leq \epsilon \exp(-2(a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T)$, (215), and Corollary 5.5 (with $T = T$, $a = a$, $b = a$, $\nu = \nu$, $\varsigma = \varsigma$, $\epsilon = \epsilon$, $\beta = \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $B = B$, $F = F$, $D_h^I = D_h^I$, $P_I = P_I$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$,

$(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I} = \mathcal{X}^{\theta, I}$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ in the notation of Corollary 5.5) proves that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(H)} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\exp(16\rho \|\mathcal{X}_s^{\theta, J}\|_H^2)] < \infty. \quad (227)$$

In addition, note that the fact that $16\rho < 1/(8 \max\{\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2, 1\} \max\{T, 1\})^2$, (217), and [45, Corollary 3.4] (with $H = H$, $U = U$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $A = A$, $\beta = \beta$, $T = T$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $B = B$, $P_I = P_I$, $\hat{P}_U = \text{Id}_U$, $\chi^{\theta, I, U} = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|T}^I}(\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I}(\omega)) \in [0, 1])$, $\mathbf{O}^{\theta, I, U} = \mathbf{O}^{\theta, I}$, $\varepsilon = 16\rho$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of [45, Corollary 3.4]) assure that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(H)} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\exp(16\rho \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, J}\|_H^2)] < \infty. \quad (228)$$

Furthermore, note that Lemma 5.8 (with $T = T$, $B = (U \ni u \mapsto 4\sqrt{\rho}Bu \in H)$, $P_I = P_I$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ for $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of Lemma 5.8) shows that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $s \in [0, T]$ with $32\rho s \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 < 1$ it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}[\exp(16\rho \|O_s^I\|_H^2)] \leq \frac{2}{1 - 1024\rho^2 s^2 \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^4}. \quad (229)$$

Next observe that the fact that for every $x \in [0, \infty)$ it holds that $x < 2e^x$ implies that $4T \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 < 2e^{4T \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2}$. This shows that $2T \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 e^{-4T \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2} < 1$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 32\rho T \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 &\leq \frac{32\epsilon T \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2}{16} e^{-2T(a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)} \leq 2T \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 e^{-4T(a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)} \\ &\leq 2T \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2 e^{-4T \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2} < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (230)$$

This and (229) imply that

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(H)} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\exp(16\rho \|O_s^I\|_H^2)] < \infty. \quad (231)$$

Combining this, (221), (227), (228), the assumption that $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\epsilon \|\xi\|_H^2)] < \infty$, and the fact that $16\rho < \epsilon$ demonstrates that

$$\sup_{I, J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{s \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\rho \|\mathcal{X}_s^{\theta, J} - \mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, J} + O_s^I + e^{sA} P_{I \setminus J} \xi\|_H^2)] < \infty. \quad (232)$$

Next observe that the fact that $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{32pc \max\{(\gamma - \delta)/\varsigma, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\max\{\eta_2, \sigma, \nu, \gamma\}})$, the fact that

$$\left[\sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_2\}}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_H}{1 + \|v\|_H^2} \right] + \left[\sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_1\}}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_2}}}{1 + \|v\|_H^2} \right] + \left[\sup_{v \in H_\gamma} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_1}}}{1 + \|v\|_H^2} \right] < \infty, \quad (233)$$

the assumption that $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\epsilon \|\xi\|_H^2)] < \infty$, (215), (224)–(226), the fact that $\epsilon \leq \exp(-2(a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U, H)}^2)T)$, and Corollary 5.7 (with $T = T$, $a = a$, $b = a$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $\varsigma = \varsigma$, $\epsilon = \epsilon$, $\beta = \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $B = B$, $F = F$, $D_h^I = D_h^I$, $P_I = P_I$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I} = \mathcal{X}^{\theta, I}$, $p = 8pc \max\{(\gamma - \delta)/\varsigma, 1\}$, $\eta_1 = \eta_1$, $\eta_2 = \eta_2$, $\iota = \max\{\eta_2, \sigma, \nu, \gamma\}$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2$ for $h \in (0, T]$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of Corollary 5.7) prove that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{8pc \max\{(\gamma - \delta)/\varsigma, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\max\{\eta_2, \sigma, \nu, \gamma\}})} < \infty. \quad (234)$$

Combining this and the fact that $\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{v \in P_I(H)} \left(\frac{\|P_I F(v)\|_{H_{\gamma-\delta}}}{(1+\|v\|_{H_\sigma}^2)} \right) < \infty$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|P_I F(\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc \max\{(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})} \\ & \leq \left[\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{v \in P_I(H)} \frac{\|P_I F(v)\|_{H_{\gamma-\delta}}}{1+\|v\|_{H_\sigma}^2} \right] \left[1 + \sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{8pc \max\{(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}; H_\sigma)}^2 \right] < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (235)$$

This and (234) assure that

$$\sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} [\|P_I F(\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})} + \|P_I F(\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2p}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\gamma-\delta})}] < \infty \quad (236)$$

and

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} [\|\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} + \|\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2 \max\{4p(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}; H_\nu)}] < \infty. \quad (237)$$

In addition, note that (222) and (237) prove that

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{\theta \in \varpi_T} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} [\|\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_\kappa)} + \|r(\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4p(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})}] < \infty. \quad (238)$$

Moreover, observe that (224) and Markov's inequality ensure that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|1 - \mathbb{1}_{D_h^I}(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})} = \|\mathbb{1}_{P_I(H) \setminus D_h^I}(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})} \leq \|\mathbb{1}_{\{C(1+\|\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}\|_{H_\nu}^2) > \nu h^{-\varsigma}\}}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})} \\ & \leq [\mathbb{P}(|C(1+\|\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}\|_{H_\nu}^2)|^{4pc(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma} > (\nu h^{-\varsigma})^{4pc(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma})]^{1/(4pc)} \\ & \leq (\nu h^{-\varsigma})^{-(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma} (\mathbb{E}[|C(1+\|\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}\|_{H_\nu}^2)|^{4pc(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma}])^{1/(4pc)} \\ & = |\nu|^{-(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma} h^{\gamma-\delta} C^{(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma} \|1 + \|\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}\|_{H_\nu}^2\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})}^{(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma} \\ & \leq |\nu|^{-(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma} h^{\gamma-\delta} C^{(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma} (1 + \|\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2 \max\{4pc(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}; H_\nu)}^2)^{(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (239)$$

Combining this and (237) demonstrates that there exists $\mathbf{C} \in [1, \infty)$ which satisfies that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\|1 - \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_\theta}^{\theta, I})\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; \mathbb{R})} \leq \mathbf{C} [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta}. \quad (240)$$

This, (217), and [45, Corollary 3.3] (with $H = H$, $U = U$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $A = A$, $\beta = \beta$, $T = T$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]})$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $B = B$, $P_I = P_I$, $\hat{P}_U = \text{Id}_U$, $\chi^{\theta, I, U} = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \mathbb{1}_{D_{|\theta|_T}^I}(\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I}(\omega)) \in [0, 1])$, $\mathbf{O}^{\theta, I, U} = \mathbf{O}^{\theta, I}$, $p = 4pc$, $C = \mathbf{C}$, $\gamma = \max\{\delta, \kappa\}$, $\eta = \gamma - \delta$, $\rho = \gamma - \delta$, $O = O$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of [45, Corollary 3.3]) demonstrate that there exists $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies that for every $I, J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ with $I \subseteq J$ it holds that

$$\sup_{s \in [0, T]} \|\mathbf{O}_s^{\theta, I} - \mathbf{O}_s^J\|_{\mathcal{L}^{4pc}(\mathbb{P}; H_{\max\{\delta, \kappa\}})} \leq \mathcal{C} (\|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{\delta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} + [|\theta|_T]^{\gamma-\delta}). \quad (241)$$

Moreover, observe that (216) and the fact that $(\mathcal{X}_t^{\theta, I})_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, are $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes with continuous sample paths ensure that $(\mathbf{O}_t^{\theta, I})_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, are $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes with continuous sample paths. This, the assumption that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x, y \in P_I(H)$ it holds that $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + C) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, $\|P_I(F(x) - F(y))\|_H \leq C \|x - y\|_{H_\delta} (1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$, and

$\langle x, Ax + F(x + y) \rangle_H \leq \Phi(y)(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, the fact that $\varepsilon \leq \frac{\rho}{p} \exp(-2(a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T)$, the fact that $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{4p \max\{c,2\}}(\mathbb{P}|_{\mathbb{F}_0}; H_{\max\{\gamma,\eta_2\}})$, the fact that $\mathbb{E}[\|\xi\|_H^{16p}] < \infty$, (215), (216), (218), (219), (223), (226), (232), (233), (236), (238), (241), and Proposition 4.5 (with $T = T$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$, $\varsigma = \varsigma$, $\alpha = \gamma - \delta$, $a = a$, $\iota = \gamma - \delta$, $\rho = \rho$, $C = \max\{C, \mathfrak{C}, \mathcal{C}\}$, $c = c$, $p = p$, $\beta = \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\delta = \delta$, $\kappa = \kappa$, $\eta_1 = \eta_1$, $\eta_2 = \eta_2$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2$, $B = B$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $F = F$, $r = r$, $D_h^I = D_h^I$, $\Phi = \Phi$, $P_I = P_I$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0,T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $X = X$, $O = O$, $\mathbf{X}^{\theta,I} = \mathcal{X}^{\theta,I}$, $\mathbf{O}^{\theta,I} = \mathbf{O}^{\theta,I}$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ in the notation of Proposition 4.5) therefore establish (213). The proof of Theorem 5.9 is thus completed. \square

Corollary 5.10. *Assume Setting 1.3, let $T \in (0, \infty)$, $\varsigma \in (0, 1/18)$, $a \in [0, \infty)$, $C, c, p \in [1, \infty)$, $(C_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)} \subseteq [0, \infty)$, $\beta \in [0, 1/2)$, $\gamma \in [2\beta, 1/2 + \beta) \cap (0, \infty)$, $\delta \in (\gamma - 1/2, \gamma) \cap [0, \infty)$, $\kappa \in [0, \gamma] \cap [0, 1/2 + \beta - \gamma + \delta)$, $\eta_0 = 0$, $\sigma, \nu, \eta_1 \in [0, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\eta_2 \in [\eta_1, 1/2 + \beta)$, $\alpha_1 \in [0, 1 - \eta_1)$, $\alpha_2 \in [0, 1 - \eta_2)$, $\alpha_3 = 0$, $B \in \text{HS}(U, H_\beta)$, $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H_\gamma, H)$, let $\Phi: H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function, let $(P_I)_{I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in H$ that $P_I(x) = \sum_{h \in I} \langle h, x \rangle_H h$, assume for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$ that $(P_I(H) \ni v \mapsto \Phi(v) \in [0, \infty)) \in \mathcal{C}(P_I(H), [0, \infty))$, assume for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x, y \in P_I(H)$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$ that $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon \|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + C_\varepsilon) \|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, $\|F(x) - F(y)\|_H \leq C \|x - y\|_{H_\delta} (1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$, $\langle x, Ax + F(x + y) \rangle_H \leq \Phi(y)(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, and*

$$\left[\sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{v \in P_J(H)} \left\{ \frac{\|P_J F(v)\|_H}{1 + \|v\|_{H_\nu}^2} + \frac{\|P_J F(v)\|_{H_{\gamma-\delta}}}{1 + \|v\|_{H_\sigma}^2} \right\} \right] + \sum_{i=0}^2 \left[\sup_{v \in H_{\max\{\gamma, \eta_i\}}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_{i+1}}}}{1 + \|v\|_{H_{\eta_i}}^2} \right] < \infty, \quad (242)$$

let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_U -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process, let $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{32pc \max\{(\gamma-\delta)/\varsigma, 1\}}(\mathbb{P}|_{\mathbb{F}_0}; H_{\max\{\eta_2, \sigma, \nu, \gamma\}})$ satisfy $\inf_{\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\varepsilon \|\xi\|_H^2)] < \infty$, let $X: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H_\gamma$ be an $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$[X_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)} = \left[e^{tA} \xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) ds \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma)} + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B dW_s, \quad (243)$$

and let $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $\mathbf{X}_0^{\theta, I} = P_I \xi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)A} \mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor}^{\theta, I} + \mathbb{1}_{\{1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor}^{\theta, I}\|_{H_\nu}^2 \leq \|\theta\|_T^{-\varsigma}\}} e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)A} P_I F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor}^{\theta, I})(t - \lfloor t \rfloor) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor}^t \mathbb{1}_{\{1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor}^{\theta, I}\|_{H_\nu}^2 \leq \|\theta\|_T^{-\varsigma}\}} e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor)A} P_I B dW_s}{1 + \|\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor}^t P_I B dW_s\|_H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (244)$$

Then there exists $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ it holds that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t - \mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \leq \mathbf{c} (\|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{\delta-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} + \|\theta\|_T^{\gamma-\delta}). \quad (245)$$

Proof of Corollary 5.10. Throughout this proof let $D_h^I \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, $h \in (0, T]$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be the sets which satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ that

$$D_h^I = \{v \in P_I(H) : 1 + \|v\|_{H_\nu}^2 \leq h^{-\varsigma}\}, \quad (246)$$

let $\varepsilon \in (0, \exp(-2(a + \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T))$, $\varepsilon, \mathbf{C} \in (0, \infty)$ satisfy that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{C} &= \max\{C_\varepsilon, 1\} \max\{\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}, 1\} \max\{\|(-A)^{-\nu}\|_{L(H)}^2, 1\} \\ &+ \max\left\{ \sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{v \in P_J(H)} \frac{\|P_J F(v)\|_H}{1 + \|v\|_{H_\nu}^2}, \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (247)$$

$$\varepsilon < \frac{\exp(-2(a+\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T)}{16p} \min \left\{ \varepsilon \exp(-2(a+\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2)T), \frac{1}{(8 \max\{\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}^2, 1\} \max\{T, 1\})^2} \right\}, \quad (248)$$

and $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\varepsilon\|\xi\|_H^2)] < \infty$, and let $r: H_\gamma \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function which satisfies for every $v \in H_\gamma$ that

$$r(v) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{C}(1 + \|v\|_{H_\nu}^2) & : v \in H_{\max\{\nu, \gamma\}} \\ 0 & : v \in (H_\gamma \setminus H_{\max\{\nu, \gamma\}}). \end{cases} \quad (249)$$

Observe that, e.g., Becker et al. [5, Lemma 5.3] (with $V = H_{\max\{\nu, \gamma\}}$, $W = H_\gamma$, $(S, \mathcal{S}) = ([0, \infty), \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)))$, $\Psi = r$ in the notation of Becker et al. [5, Lemma 5.3]) ensures that

$$r \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}(H_\gamma), \mathcal{B}([0, \infty))). \quad (250)$$

Next note that for every $x \in H_{\max\{\nu, \gamma\}}$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)} + \varepsilon\|x\|_H^2 &\leq \max\{\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}, \varepsilon\}(1 + \|x\|_H^2) \\ &\leq \max\{\|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}, \varepsilon\} \max\{\|(-A)^{-\nu}\|_{L(H)}^2, 1\}(1 + \|x\|_{H_\nu}^2) \leq \mathbf{C}(1 + \|x\|_{H_\nu}^2) = r(x). \end{aligned} \quad (251)$$

Moreover, observe that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ it holds that

$$D_h^I = \{v \in P_I(H) : r(v) \leq \mathbf{C}h^{-\varsigma}\}. \quad (252)$$

This, (250), and, e.g., Andersson et al. [3, Lemma 2.2] (with $V_0 = H_\gamma$, $V_1 = P_I(H)$ for $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of Andersson et al. [3, Lemma 2.2]) assure that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ it holds that

$$D_h^I \in \mathcal{B}(H_\gamma). \quad (253)$$

Furthermore, note that (246) and (247) imply that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$, $x \in D_h^I$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{\|P_I F(x)\|_H, \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)}\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \left(\sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{v \in P_J(H)} \frac{\|P_J F(v)\|_H}{1 + \|v\|_{H_\nu}^2} \right) (1 + \|x\|_{H_\nu}^2), \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)} \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{J \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{v \in P_J(H)} \frac{\|P_J F(v)\|_H}{1 + \|v\|_{H_\nu}^2}, \|B\|_{\text{HS}(U,H)} \right\} (1 + \|x\|_{H_\nu}^2) \leq \mathbf{C}h^{-\varsigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (254)$$

Combining this, (242), (248), (250)–(253), the fact that $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\varepsilon\|\xi\|_H^2)] < \infty$, the assumption that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x, y \in P_I(H)$ it holds that $\langle F'(x)y, y \rangle_H \leq (\varepsilon\|x\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 + C_\varepsilon)\|y\|_H^2 + \|y\|_{H_{1/2}}^2$, $\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H \leq a(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, $\|F(x) - F(y)\|_H \leq C\|x - y\|_{H_\delta}(1 + \|x\|_{H_\kappa}^c + \|y\|_{H_\kappa}^c)$, and $\langle x, Ax + F(x + y) \rangle_H \leq \Phi(y)(1 + \|x\|_H^2)$, and Theorem 5.9 (with $T = T$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{C}$, $\varsigma = \varsigma$, $a = a$, $C = \mathbf{C}$, $c = c$, $p = p$, $\beta = \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\delta = \delta$, $\kappa = \kappa$, $\eta_0 = \eta_0$, $\sigma = \sigma$, $\nu = \nu$, $\eta_1 = \eta_1$, $\eta_2 = \eta_2$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2$, $\alpha_3 = \alpha_3$, $B = B$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$, $F = F$, $r = r$, $D_h^I = D_h^I$, $\Phi = \Phi$, $P_I = P_I$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $X = X$, $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I} = \mathbf{X}^{\theta, I}$ for $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $h \in (0, T]$ in the notation of Theorem 5.9) establishes (245). The proof of Corollary 5.10 is thus completed. \square

6 Strong convergence rates for space-time discrete approximations of stochastic Burgers equations

In this section we illustrate Corollary 5.10 in the case of stochastic Burgers equations. For this we combine some of the regularity results in [47] with Corollary 5.10 to prove in Corollary 6.1 strong convergence for the numerical approximations $(\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta,I})_{t \in [0,T]}$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, (see (256) below) of the mild solution of a stochastic Burgers equation with additive trace-class noise (see (255) below). Finally, Corollary 6.2 presents the findings from Corollary 6.1 in a further simplified setting.

Corollary 6.1. *Assume Setting 1.2, let $T, c_0 \in (0, \infty)$, $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varsigma \in (0, 1/18)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\beta \in (0, 1/2)$, $\gamma \in ([\max\{1/2, 2\beta\}, 1/2 + \beta] \setminus \{1/2, 3/4\})$, let $\lambda: \mathcal{B}((0, 1)) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the Lebesgue-Borel measure on $(0, 1)$, let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H) = (L^2(\lambda; \mathbb{R}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\lambda; \mathbb{R})}, \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\lambda; \mathbb{R})})$, let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H$ satisfy for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $e_n = [(\sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi x))_{x \in (0,1)}]_{\lambda, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})}$, let $\mathbb{H} \subseteq H$ satisfy that $\mathbb{H} = \{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, let $A: D(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ be the linear operator which satisfies $D(A) = \{v \in H : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |n^2 \langle e_n, v \rangle_H|^2 < \infty\}$ and $\forall v \in D(A): Av = -c_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi^2 n^2 \langle e_n, v \rangle_H e_n$, let $(H_r, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_r}, \|\cdot\|_{H_r})$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, be a family of interpolation spaces associated to $-A$, for every $v \in W^{1,2}((0, 1), \mathbb{R})$ let $\partial v \in H$ satisfy for every $\varphi \in C_{cpt}^{\infty}((0, 1), \mathbb{R})$ that $\langle \partial v, [\varphi]_{\lambda, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \rangle_H = -\langle v, [\varphi']_{\lambda, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \rangle_H$, let $B \in \text{HS}(H, H_{\beta})$, let $F: H_{1/2} \rightarrow H$ be the function which satisfies for every $v \in H_{1/2}$ that $F(v) = c_1 v \partial v$, let $(P_I)_{I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $I \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{H})$, $v \in H$ that $P_I(v) = \sum_{h \in I} \langle h, v \rangle_H h$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ be an Id_H -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ -Wiener process, let $\xi \in \mathcal{L}^{32p \max\{(2\gamma-1)/(2\varsigma), 1\}}(\mathbb{P}|_{\mathbb{F}_0}; H_{\gamma})$ satisfy $\inf_{\epsilon \in (0, \infty)} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\epsilon \|\xi\|_H^2)] < \infty$, let $X: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H_{\gamma}$ be an $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ -adapted stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that*

$$[X_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_{\gamma})} = \left[e^{tA} \xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) ds \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_{\gamma})} + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B dW_s, \quad (255)$$

and let $\mathbf{X}^{\theta,I}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_I(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0,T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $t \in (0, T]$ that $\mathbf{X}_0^{\theta,I} = P_I(\xi)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta,I}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} &= \left[e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta})A} \mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^{\theta,I} + \mathbb{1}_{\{1 + \|\mathbf{x}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^{\theta,I}\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 \leq \lceil \theta \rceil_T^{-\varsigma}\}} e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta})A} P_I F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^{\theta,I}) (t - \lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_I(H))} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^t \mathbb{1}_{\{1 + \|\mathbf{x}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^{\theta,I}\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 \leq \lceil \theta \rceil_T^{-\varsigma}\}} e^{(t-\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta})A} P_I B dW_s}{1 + \|\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^t P_I B dW_s\|_H^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (256)$$

Then there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ it holds that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t - \mathbf{X}_t^{\theta,I}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \leq C (\|P_{\mathbb{H} \setminus I}(-A)^{(1/2)-\gamma}\|_{L(H)} + \lceil \theta \rceil_T^{\gamma-(1/2)}). \quad (257)$$

Proof of Corollary 6.1. Throughout this proof let $\Phi: H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the function which satisfies for every $w \in H$ that

$$\Phi(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{3|c_1|^2}{8|c_0|} \left[\sup_{u \in H_{1/2} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\lambda; \mathbb{R})}}{\|u\|_{H_{1/2}}} + \sup_{u \in H_{1/2} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{L^A(\lambda; \mathbb{R})}^2}{\|u\|_{H_{1/2}}^2} \right]^2 (1 + \|w\|_{H_{1/2}}^2)^2 & : w \in H_{1/2} \\ 0 & : w \in (H \setminus H_{1/2}). \end{cases} \quad (258)$$

We intend to prove Corollary 6.1 through an application of Corollary 5.10. For this note that, e.g., [47, item (ii) of Lemma 4.13] shows that for every $v, w \in H_\gamma \subseteq H_{1/2}$ it holds that

$$\|F(v) - F(w)\|_H \leq \frac{|c_1|}{\sqrt{3}c_0} (\|v\|_{H_{1/2}} + \|w\|_{H_{1/2}}) \|v - w\|_{H_{1/2}}. \quad (259)$$

In addition, observe that, e.g., [47, Lemma 4.19] and the fact that $H_\gamma \subseteq H_{1/2}$ continuously imply that

(a) it holds that $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(H_\gamma, H)$ and

(b) it holds that there exists $C \in (0, \infty)$ which satisfies for every $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, $v, w \in H_\gamma \subseteq H_{1/2}$ that

$$\langle F'(w)v, v \rangle_H \leq \varepsilon \|w\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 \|v\|_H^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|v\|_H^2 + \|v\|_{H_{1/2}}^2. \quad (260)$$

Furthermore, note that the fact that $0 \leq \gamma - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2}$, the fact that $\gamma \neq \frac{3}{4}$, and, e.g., [47, Lemma 4.20] (with $\alpha = \gamma - \frac{1}{2}$ in the notation of [47, Lemma 4.20]) ensure that

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{v \in H_\gamma \setminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{\|P_I F(v)\|_{H_{\gamma - (1/2)}}}{\|v\|_{H_\gamma}^2} \right) < \infty. \quad (261)$$

Moreover, observe that, e.g., [47, Lemma 4.20] (with $\alpha = 0$ in the notation of [47, Lemma 4.20]) proves that

$$\sup_{I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})} \sup_{v \in H_{1/2} \setminus \{0\}} \left(\frac{\|P_I F(v)\|_H}{\|v\|_{H_{1/2}}^2} \right) < \infty. \quad (262)$$

In addition, note that, e.g., [47, Lemma 4.23] proves that for every $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$, $x \in P_I(H)$ it holds that

$$\langle x, F(x) \rangle_H = 0. \quad (263)$$

Furthermore, observe that, e.g., [47, Corollary 4.22] (with $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2$ for $\alpha_1 \in (3/4, \infty)$, $\alpha_2 \in (1/4, 1/2]$ in the notation of [47, Corollary 4.22]) shows that for every $\alpha_1 \in (3/4, \infty)$, $\alpha_2 \in (1/4, 1/2]$ it holds that

$$\left[\sup_{v \in H_{1/2} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_H}{\|v\|_{H_{1/2}}^2} \right] + \left[\sup_{v \in H_{1/2} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_2}}}{\|v\|_{H_{(1-\alpha_2)/3}}^2} \right] + \left[\sup_{v \in H_{1/2} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|F(v)\|_{H_{-\alpha_1}}}{\|v\|_H^2} \right] < \infty. \quad (264)$$

Moreover, note that, e.g., [47, Corollary 4.24] (with $\iota = 1/2$, $v = v$, $w = w$ for $v, w \in H_{1/2}$ in the notation of [47, Corollary 4.24]) assures that for every $v, w \in H_{1/2}$ it holds that

$$\langle v, F(v+w) \rangle_H \leq \Phi(w)(1 + \|v\|_H^2) - \langle v, Av \rangle_H. \quad (265)$$

Combining this, the assumption that $\inf_{\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)} \mathbb{E}[\exp(\varepsilon \|\xi\|_H^2)] < \infty$, items (a) and (b), (219), (259), and (261)–(264) with Corollary 5.10 (with $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H) = (H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H)$, $(U, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U, \|\cdot\|_U) = (H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H)$, $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}$, $\mathbf{v}_{e_n} = -c_0 \pi^2 n^2$, $A = A$, $H_r = H_r$, $T = T$, $\varsigma = \varsigma$, $a = 0$, $C = \max\{1, |c_1|/c_0\}$, $c = 1$, $p = p$, $C_\varepsilon = C/\varepsilon^2$, $\beta = \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $\delta = 1/2$, $\kappa = 1/2$, $\sigma = \gamma$, $\nu = 1/2$, $\eta_1 = (1-\alpha_2)/3$, $\eta_2 = 1/2$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_2$, $B = B$, $F = (H_\gamma \ni x \mapsto F(x) \in H)$, $\Phi = \Phi$, $P_I = P_I$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = \xi$, $X = X$, $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, I} = \mathbf{X}^{\theta, I}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \infty)$, $\alpha_2 \in (1/4, 1/2)$, $\alpha_1 \in (3/4, (2+\alpha_2)/3)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $I \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathbb{H})$ in the notation of Corollary 5.10) therefore establishes (257). The proof of Corollary 6.1 is thus completed. \square

Corollary 6.2. *Assume Setting 1.2, let $T, \varepsilon, c_0 \in (0, \infty)$, $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varsigma \in (0, 1/18)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\beta \in (0, 1/2]$, $\gamma \in [1/2, 1/2 + \beta)$, let $\lambda: \mathcal{B}((0, 1)) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the Lebesgue-Borel measure on $(0, 1)$, let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H) = (L^2(\lambda; \mathbb{R}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\lambda; \mathbb{R})}, \|\cdot\|_{L^2(\lambda; \mathbb{R})})$, let $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq H$ satisfy for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $e_n = [(\sqrt{2} \sin(n\pi x))_{x \in (0, 1)}]_{\lambda, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})}$, let $A: D(A) \subseteq H \rightarrow H$ be the linear operator which satisfies $D(A) = \{v \in H: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |n^2 \langle e_n, v \rangle_H|^2 < \infty\}$ and $\forall v \in D(A): Av = -c_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \pi^2 n^2 \langle e_n, v \rangle_H e_n$, let $(H_r, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_r}, \|\cdot\|_{H_r})$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, be a family of interpolation spaces associated to $-A$, for every $v \in W^{1,2}((0, 1), \mathbb{R})$ let $\partial v \in H$ satisfy for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_{cpt}^{\infty}((0, 1), \mathbb{R})$ that $\langle \partial v, [\varphi]_{\lambda, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \rangle_H = -\langle v, [\varphi']_{\lambda, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \rangle_H$, let $B \in \text{HS}(H, H_{\beta})$, $\xi \in H_{1/2+\beta}$, let $F: H_{1/2} \rightarrow H$ be the function which satisfies for every $v \in H_{1/2}$ that $F(v) = c_1 v \partial v$, let $(P_N)_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $v \in H$ that $P_N(v) = \sum_{n=1}^N \langle e_n, v \rangle_H e_n$, let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ be an Id_H -cylindrical $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -Wiener process, and let $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, N}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow P_N(H)$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, be $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes which satisfy for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in (0, T]$ that $\mathbf{X}_0^{\theta, N} = P_N(\xi)$ and*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, N}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_N(H))} &= \frac{\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^t \mathbb{1}_{\{1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^{\theta, N}\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 \leq [|\theta|_T]^{-\varsigma}\}} e^{(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta})A} P_N B dW_s}{1 + \|\int_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^t P_N B dW_s\|_H^2} \\ &+ \left[e^{(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta})A} \mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^{\theta, N} + \mathbb{1}_{\{1 + \|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^{\theta, N}\|_{H_{1/2}}^2 \leq [|\theta|_T]^{-\varsigma}\}} e^{(t - \lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta})A} P_N F(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}}^{\theta, N}) (t - \lfloor t \rfloor_{\theta}) \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(P_N(H))}. \end{aligned} \quad (266)$$

Then

(i) *there exists an up to indistinguishability unique $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic process $X: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H_{\gamma}$ with continuous sample paths which satisfies for every $t \in [0, T]$ that*

$$[X_t]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_{\gamma})} = \left[e^{tA} \xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) ds \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_{\gamma})} + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B dW_s \quad (267)$$

and

(ii) *there exists $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|X_t - \mathbf{X}_t^{\theta, N}\|_{\mathcal{L}^p(\mathbb{P}; H)} \leq C(N^{\varepsilon-2\beta} + [|\theta|_T]^{(\beta-\varepsilon)}). \quad (268)$$

Proof of Corollary 6.2. Observe that [47, Theorem 5.10] (with $T = T$, $\varepsilon = 1/2 + \beta - \gamma$, $c_0 = c_0$, $c_1 = c_1$, $\beta = \beta$, $\gamma = \gamma$, $H = H$, $e_n = e_n$, $A = A$, $H_r = H_r$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $B = B$, $\xi = (\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \xi \in H_{1/2+\beta})$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \in [1/2, 1/2 + \beta)$ in the notation of [47, Theorem 5.10]) shows that there exist up to modification unique $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ -adapted stochastic processes $X^{\gamma}: [0, T] \times \Omega \rightarrow H_{\gamma}$, $\gamma \in [1/2, 1/2 + \beta)$, with continuous sample paths which satisfy for every $\gamma \in [1/2, 1/2 + \beta)$, $t \in [0, T]$ that

$$[X_t^{\gamma}]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_{\gamma})} = \left[e^{tA} \xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s^{\gamma}) ds \right]_{\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{B}(H_{\gamma})} + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} B dW_s. \quad (269)$$

This establishes item (i). In the next step we note that for every $\iota \in (0, \infty)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $v \in H$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\text{Id}_H - P_N)(-A)^{-\iota} v\|_H^2 &= |c_0|^{-2\iota} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (\pi^2 n^2)^{-2\iota} |\langle v, e_n \rangle_H|^2 \\ &\leq |c_0|^{-2\iota} (\pi^2 N^2)^{-2\iota} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |\langle v, e_n \rangle_H|^2 \leq |c_0|^{-2\iota} (\pi^2 N^2)^{-2\iota} \|v\|_H^2. \end{aligned} \quad (270)$$

This shows that for every $\iota \in (0, \infty)$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that

$$\|(\text{Id}_H - P_N)(-A)^{-\iota}\|_{L(H)} \leq |c_0|^{-\iota} \pi^{-2\iota} N^{-2\iota} \leq |c_0|^{-\iota} N^{-2\iota}. \quad (271)$$

The fact that for every $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $\epsilon \in (0, \infty)$ it holds that $[|\theta|_T]^{\beta-(\epsilon/2)} \leq T^{\epsilon/2} [|\theta|_T]^{(\beta-\epsilon)}$, (269), and Corollary 6.1 (with $T = T$, $c_0 = c_0$, $c_1 = c_1$, $\varsigma = \varsigma$, $p = p$, $\beta = \beta - \frac{\epsilon}{4}$, $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} + \beta - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, $H = H$, $e_n = e_n$, $A = A$, $H_r = H_r$, $B = B$, $F = F$, $P_{\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}} = P_n$, $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (\mathbb{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]} = (W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$, $\xi = (\Omega \ni \omega \mapsto \xi \in H_{(1/2)+\beta-(\epsilon/2)})$, $X = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto X_t^{(1/2)+\beta-(\epsilon/2)}(\omega) \in H_{(1/2)+\beta-(\epsilon/2)})$, $\mathbf{X}^{\theta, \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}} = \mathbf{X}^{\theta, n}$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta \in \varpi_T$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon \in ((0, 2\beta) \setminus \{2\beta - 1/2\})$ in the notation of Corollary 6.1) therefore establish item (ii). The proof of Corollary 6.2 is thus completed. \square

Acknowledgements

This project was partially supported through the SNSF-Research project 200021_156603 "Numerical approximations of nonlinear stochastic ordinary and partial differential equations" and through the Deutsche Forschungsgesellschaft (DFG) via RTG 2131 *High-dimensional Phenomena in Probability – Fluctuations and Discontinuity*.

References

- [1] ALABERT, A., AND GYÖNGY, I. On numerical approximation of stochastic Burgers' equation. In *From stochastic calculus to mathematical finance*. Springer, Berlin, 2006, pp. 1–15.
- [2] ALIPRANTIS, C. D., AND BORDER, K. C. *Infinite dimensional analysis*, third ed. Springer, Berlin, 2006. A hitchhiker's guide.
- [3] ANDERSSON, A., JENTZEN, A., AND KURNIAWAN, R. Existence, uniqueness, and regularity for stochastic evolution equations with irregular initial values. *arXiv:1512.06899* (2016), 35 pages. Revision requested from *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*
- [4] BECCARI, M., HUTZENTHALER, M., JENTZEN, A., KURNIAWAN, R., LINDNER, F., AND SALIMOVA, D. Strong and weak divergence of exponential and linear-implicit Euler approximations for stochastic partial differential equations with superlinearly growing nonlinearities. *arXiv:1903.06066* (2019), 65 pages.
- [5] BECKER, S., GESS, B., JENTZEN, A., AND KLOEDEN, P. E. Strong convergence rates for explicit space-time discrete numerical approximations of stochastic Allen-Cahn equations. *arXiv:1711.02423* (2017), 104 pages.
- [6] BECKER, S., GESS, B., JENTZEN, A., AND KLOEDEN, P. E. Lower and upper bounds for strong approximation errors for numerical approximations of stochastic heat equations. *arXiv:1811.01725* (2018), 20 pages.
- [7] BECKER, S., AND JENTZEN, A. Strong convergence rates for nonlinearity-truncated Euler-type approximations of stochastic Ginzburg-Landau equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 129, 1 (2019), 28–69.
- [8] BESSAIH, H., BRZEŹNIAK, Z., AND MILLET, A. Splitting up method for the 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. *Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput.* 2, 4 (2014), 433–470.
- [9] BESSAIH, H., AND MILLET, A. On strong L^2 convergence of time numerical schemes for the stochastic 2D Navier-Stokes equations. *arXiv:1801.03548* (2018), 28 pages.
- [10] BLÖMKER, D., AND JENTZEN, A. Galerkin approximations for the stochastic Burgers equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 51, 1 (2013), 694–715.
- [11] BRECKNER, H. Galerkin approximation and the strong solution of the Navier-Stokes equation. *J. Appl. Math. Stochastic Anal.* 13, 3 (2000), 239–259.
- [12] BRÉHIER, C.-E., CUI, J., AND HONG, J. Strong convergence rates of semi-discrete splitting approximations for stochastic Allen-Cahn equation. *arXiv:1802.06372* (2018), 34 pages.
- [13] BRZEŹNIAK, Z., CARELLI, E., AND PROHL, A. Finite-element-based discretizations of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with multiplicative random forcing. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.* 33, 3 (2013), 771–824.
- [14] CARELLI, E., AND PROHL, A. Rates of convergence for discretizations of the stochastic incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 50, 5 (2012), 2467–2496.

- [15] CONUS, D., JENTZEN, A., AND KURNIAWAN, R. Weak convergence rates of spectral Galerkin approximations for SPDEs with nonlinear diffusion coefficients. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 29, 2 (2019), 653–716.
- [16] COX, S., HUTZENTHELER, M., JENTZEN, A., VAN NEERVEN, J., AND WELTI, T. Convergence in Hölder norms with applications to Monte Carlo methods in infinite dimensions. *arXiv:1605.00856* (2016), 48 pages. To appear in *IMA J. Num. Anal.*
- [17] COX, S. G., HUTZENTHALER, M., AND JENTZEN, A. Local Lipschitz continuity in the initial value and strong completeness for nonlinear stochastic differential equations. *arXiv:1309.5595v2* (2014), 84 pages. Revision requested from *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*
- [18] CUI, J., AND HONG, J. Analysis of a splitting scheme for damped stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with multiplicative noise. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 56, 4 (2018), 2045–2069.
- [19] CUI, J., AND HONG, J. Analysis of a full discretization of stochastic Cahn–Hilliard equation with unbounded noise diffusion. *arXiv:1907.11869* (2019), 25 pages.
- [20] CUI, J., HONG, J., AND LIU, Z. Strong convergence rate of finite difference approximations for stochastic cubic Schrödinger equations. *J. Differential Equations* 263, 7 (2017), 3687–3713.
- [21] CUI, J., HONG, J., LIU, Z., AND ZHOU, W. Strong convergence rate of splitting schemes for stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *J. Differential Equations* 266, 9 (2019), 5625–5663.
- [22] CUI, J., HONG, J., AND SUN, L. Strong convergence rate of a full discretization for stochastic Cahn–Hilliard equation driven by space-time white noise. *arXiv:1812.06289* (2019), 29 pages.
- [23] DA PRATO, G., AND ZABCZYK, J. *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, vol. 44 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [24] DAVIE, A. M., AND GAINES, J. G. Convergence of numerical schemes for the solution of parabolic stochastic partial differential equations. *Math. Comp.* 70, 233 (2001), 121–134.
- [25] DÖRSEK, P. Semigroup splitting and cubature approximations for the stochastic Navier–Stokes equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 50, 2 (2012), 729–746.
- [26] FENG, X., LI, Y., AND ZHANG, Y. Finite element methods for the stochastic Allen–Cahn equation with gradient-type multiplicative noise. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 55, 1 (2017), 194–216.
- [27] FURIHATA, D., KOVÁCS, M., LARSSON, S., AND LINDGREN, F. Strong Convergence of a Fully Discrete Finite Element Approximation of the Stochastic Cahn–Hilliard Equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 56, 2 (2018), 708–731.
- [28] GERENCSÉR, M., JENTZEN, A., AND SALIMOVA, D. On stochastic differential equations with arbitrarily slow convergence rates for strong approximation in two space dimensions. *Proc. A.* 473, 2207 (2017), 20170104, 16.

- [29] GYÖNGY, I., AND MILLET, A. On discretization schemes for stochastic evolution equations. *Potential Anal.* *23*, 2 (2005), 99–134.
- [30] GYÖNGY, I., SABANIS, S., AND ŠIŠKA, D. Convergence of tamed Euler schemes for a class of stochastic evolution equations. *Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput.* *4*, 2 (2016), 225–245.
- [31] HAIRER, E., NØRSETT, S. P., AND WANNER, G. *Solving ordinary differential equations. I*, second ed., vol. 8 of *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993. Nonstiff problems.
- [32] HAIRER, M., HUTZENTHALER, M., AND JENTZEN, A. Loss of regularity for Kolmogorov equations. *Ann. Probab.* *43*, 2 (2015), 468–527.
- [33] HAIRER, M., AND MATTINGLY, J. C. Ergodicity of the 2D Navier-Stokes equations with degenerate stochastic forcing. *Ann. of Math. (2)* *164*, 3 (2006), 993–1032.
- [34] HEFTER, M., AND JENTZEN, A. On arbitrarily slow convergence rates for strong numerical approximations of Cox-Ingersoll-Ross processes and squared Bessel processes. *Finance Stoch.* *23*, 1 (2019), 139–172.
- [35] HIGHAM, D. J., MAO, X., AND STUART, A. M. Strong convergence of Euler-type methods for nonlinear stochastic differential equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* *40*, 3 (2002), 1041–1063.
- [36] HU, Y. Semi-implicit Euler-Maruyama scheme for stiff stochastic equations. In *Stochastic analysis and related topics, V (Silivri, 1994)*, vol. 38 of *Progr. Probab.* Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1996, pp. 183–202.
- [37] HUTZENTHALER, M., AND JENTZEN, A. On a perturbation theory and on strong convergence rates for stochastic ordinary and partial differential equations with non-globally monotone coefficients. *arXiv:1401.0295v1* (2014), 41 pages. To appear in *The Annals of Probability*.
- [38] HUTZENTHALER, M., AND JENTZEN, A. Numerical approximations of stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* *236*, 1112 (2015), v+99.
- [39] HUTZENTHALER, M., JENTZEN, A., AND KLOEDEN, P. E. Strong and weak divergence in finite time of Euler’s method for stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* *467*, 2130 (2011), 1563–1576.
- [40] HUTZENTHALER, M., JENTZEN, A., AND KLOEDEN, P. E. Strong convergence of an explicit numerical method for SDEs with nonglobally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* *22*, 4 (2012), 1611–1641.
- [41] HUTZENTHALER, M., JENTZEN, A., AND KLOEDEN, P. E. Divergence of the multilevel Monte Carlo Euler method for nonlinear stochastic differential equations. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* *23*, 5 (2013), 1913–1966.

- [42] HUTZENTHALER, M., JENTZEN, A., AND SALIMOVA, D. Strong convergence of full-discrete nonlinearity-truncated accelerated exponential Euler-type approximations for stochastic Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations. *Commun. Math. Sci.* 16, 6 (2018), 1489–1529.
- [43] HUTZENTHALER, M., JENTZEN, A., AND WANG, X. Exponential integrability properties of numerical approximation processes for nonlinear stochastic differential equations. *Math. Comp.* 87, 311 (2018), 1353–1413.
- [44] JENTZEN, A., AND KURNIAWAN, R. Weak convergence rates for Euler-type approximations of semilinear stochastic evolution equations with nonlinear diffusion coefficients. *arXiv:1501.03539* (2015), 51 pages. Revision requested from *Found. Comput. Math.*
- [45] JENTZEN, A., LINDNER, F., AND PUŠNIK, P. Exponential moment bounds and strong convergence rates for tamed-truncated numerical approximations of stochastic convolutions. *arXiv:1812.05198* (2018), 25 pages. Revision requested from *Numerical Algorithms*.
- [46] JENTZEN, A., LINDNER, F., AND PUŠNIK, P. On the Alekseev-Gröbner formula in Banach spaces. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B* 24, 8 (2019), 4475–4511.
- [47] JENTZEN, A., LINDNER, F., AND PUŠNIK, P. Spatial Sobolev regularity for stochastic Burgers equations with additive trace class noise. *arXiv:1908.06128* (2019), 54 pages.
- [48] JENTZEN, A., MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., AND YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. On stochastic differential equations with arbitrary slow convergence rates for strong approximation. *Commun. Math. Sci.* 14, 6 (2016), 1477–1500.
- [49] JENTZEN, A., AND PUŠNIK, P. Exponential moments for numerical approximations of stochastic partial differential equations. *Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput.* 6, 4 (2018), 565–617.
- [50] JENTZEN, A., AND PUŠNIK, P. Strong convergence rates for an explicit numerical approximation method for stochastic evolution equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous nonlinearities. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis* (April 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drz009>, in press, published online: 12 April 2019 (Accessed 1 August 2019).
- [51] JENTZEN, A., SALIMOVA, D., AND WELTI, T. Strong convergence for explicit space-time discrete numerical approximation methods for stochastic Burgers equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 469, 2 (2019), 661–704.
- [52] KAMRANI, M., AND BLÖMKER, D. Pathwise convergence of a numerical method for stochastic partial differential equations with correlated noise and local Lipschitz condition. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 323 (2017), 123–135.
- [53] KOVÁCS, M., LARSSON, S., AND LINDGREN, F. On the backward Euler approximation of the stochastic Allen-Cahn equation. *J. Appl. Probab.* 52, 2 (2015), 323–338.
- [54] KOVÁCS, M., LARSSON, S., AND LINDGREN, F. On the discretisation in time of the stochastic Allen-Cahn equation. *Math. Nachr.* 291, 5-6 (2018), 966–995.

- [55] LIU, W., AND RÖCKNER, M. *Stochastic partial differential equations: an introduction*. Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [56] MAJEE, A. K., AND PROHL, A. Optimal strong rates of convergence for a space-time discretization of the stochastic Allen-Cahn equation with multiplicative noise. *Comput. Methods Appl. Math.* 18, 2 (2018), 297–311.
- [57] MAZZONETTO, S., AND SALIMOVA, D. Existence, uniqueness, and numerical approximations for stochastic Burgers equations. *arXiv:1901.09288* (2019), 23 pages.
- [58] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., AND RITTER, K. Lower bounds and nonuniform time discretization for approximation of stochastic heat equations. *Found. Comput. Math.* 7, 2 (2007), 135–181.
- [59] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., RITTER, K., AND WAGNER, T. Optimal pointwise approximation of a linear stochastic heat equation with additive space-time white noise. In *Monte Carlo and quasi-Monte Carlo methods 2006*. Springer, Berlin, 2008, pp. 577–589.
- [60] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., RITTER, K., AND WAGNER, T. Optimal pointwise approximation of infinite-dimensional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes. *Stoch. Dyn.* 8, 3 (2008), 519–541.
- [61] MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T., AND YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. A note on strong approximation of SDEs with smooth coefficients that have at most linearly growing derivatives. *arXiv:1707.08818* (2017), 18 pages.
- [62] PRINTEMS, J. On the discretization in time of parabolic stochastic partial differential equations. *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* 35, 6 (2001), 1055–1078.
- [63] SABANIS, S. A note on tamed Euler approximations. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* 18 (2013), 1–10.
- [64] SABANIS, S. Euler approximations with varying coefficients: the case of superlinearly growing diffusion coefficients. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 26, 4 (2016), 2083–2105.
- [65] SELL, G. R., AND YOU, Y. *Dynamics of evolutionary equations*, vol. 143 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [66] TRETYAKOV, M. V., AND ZHANG, Z. A fundamental mean-square convergence theorem for SDEs with locally Lipschitz coefficients and its applications. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 51, 6 (2013), 3135–3162.
- [67] WANG, X., AND GAN, S. The tamed Milstein method for commutative stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* 19, 3 (2013), 466–490.
- [68] YANG, L., AND ZHANG, Y. Convergence of the spectral Galerkin method for the stochastic reaction-diffusion-advection equation. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 446, 2 (2017), 1230–1254.
- [69] YAROSLAVTSEVA, L. On non-polynomial lower error bounds for adaptive strong approximation of SDEs. *J. Complexity* 42 (2017), 1–18.

- [70] YAROSLAVTSEVA, L., AND MÜLLER-GRONBACH, T. On sub-polynomial lower error bounds for quadrature of SDEs with bounded smooth coefficients. *Stoch. Anal. Appl.* 35, 3 (2017), 423–451.
- [71] ZHU, R., AND ZHU, X. Approximating 3D Navier-Stokes equations driven by space-time white noise. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 20, 4 (2017), 1750020, 77.
- [72] ZHU, R., AND ZHU, X. Piecewise linear approximation for the dynamical Φ_3^4 model. *arXiv:1504.04143* (2017), 37 pages.
- [73] ZHU, R., AND ZHU, X. Lattice approximation to the dynamical Φ_3^4 model. *Ann. Probab.* 46, 1 (2018), 397–455.