



Existence, uniqueness, and numerical approximations for stochastic Burgers equations

S. Mazzonetto and D. Salimova

Research Report No. 2019-53 September 2019

Seminar für Angewandte Mathematik Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule CH-8092 Zürich Switzerland

Funding SNF: 175699

Funding: RTG 2131 High-dimensional Phenomena in Probability – Fluctuations

and Discontinuity

Existence, uniqueness, and numerical

approximations for stochastic Burgers equations

Authors: Sara Mazzonetto* and Diyora Salimova**

*(corresponding author)

University of Potsdam, Institute of Mathematics, Karl-Liebknecht-Str. 24-25, 14476 Pots-

dam, Germany, e-mail: mazzonetto@uni-potsdam.de

**Seminar for Applied Mathematics, ETH Zurich,

Switzerland, e-mail: diyora.salimova@sam.math.ethz.ch

Abstract

In this paper we propose an all-in-one statement which includes existence,

uniqueness, regularity, and numerical approximations of mild solutions for a

class of stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) with non-globally

monotone nonlinearities. The proof of this result exploits the properties of an

existing fully explicit space-time discrete approximation scheme, in particular

the fact that it satisfies suitable a priori estimates. We also obtain almost sure

and strong convergence of the approximation scheme to the mild solutions of

the considered SPDEs. We conclude by applying the main result of the paper

to the stochastic Burgers equations with additive space-time white noise.

Keywords: Stochastic Burgers equations, SPDEs, mild solution, existence, numer-

ical approximation.

1

1 Introduction

In this work we exploit the properties of the approximation method introduced in [13] for a class of stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) with non-globally monotone nonlinearities driven by additive space-time white noise and obtain existence, uniqueness, and (spatial) regularity of the solution processes for such SPDEs. At the same time, we achieve almost sure convergence of the approximation scheme (see Theorem 3.2 below). The proof of the main result of the paper (see Theorem 3.2 below) employs a priori estimates obtained in [17, Corollary 2.6] as well as an existence and uniqueness result for solutions of a class of Banach space valued evolution equations in [14, Corollary 8.4]. In addition, under the abstract setting of the main result, we apply a strong convergence result in [17, Theorem 3.5], and thereby provide an all-in-one statement for existence, uniqueness, and (spatial) regularity of the solution processes and strong convergence of the approximation scheme in case of the considered SPDEs (see Corollary 3.3 below).

The approximation method we consider is the space-time full-discrete nonlinearity-truncated accelerated exponential Euler-type scheme that converges strongly to the solutions of certain infinite-dimensional stochastic evolution equations with super-linearly growing non-linearities and driven by additive noise such as stochastic Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations with space-time white noise (see [13, Corollary 5.2]), stochastic Burgers equations and Allen-Cahn equations both driven by space-time white noise (see [17, Corollary 5.6 & Corollary 5.11]), and two-dimensional stochastic Navier-Stokes equations driven by a certain trace class noise (see [18, Theorem 5.1]). Moreover, [1, Theorem 1.1] establishes spatial and temporal rates of strong convergence for this scheme in the case of stochastic Allen-Cahn equations. We would also like to mention that explicit approximation methods similar to the one in [13] have been introduced and proven to converge strongly for some stochastic evolution equations with superlinearly growing nonlinearities in, e.g., [12], [24], [11], [23], [20, 21], [10], [16], and [2].

To explain our result better let us consider H to be the real Hilbert space given by $H = L^2((0,1);\mathbb{R}), A: D(A) \subseteq H \to H$ to be the Laplace operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions on H, and $(H_r, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_r}, \|\cdot\|_{H_r}), r \in \mathbb{R}$, to be a family of interpolation spaces associated to -A. The main result of this paper, Theorem 3.2 below, is applicable to a subclass of stochastic evolution equations considered in Theorem 3.5 in [17]. This subclass has to satisfy an additional regularity condition on the nonlinearity (see Setting 3.1, in particular, inequality (3.1) below), which is crucial in the proof of pathwise a priori estimates for the approximation process (see Lemma 2.2 below). These a priori bounds guarantee that the solution process takes values in an appropriate proper subspace of H, that is, H_{ϱ} for some $\varrho \in (0, \infty)$, which determines the spatial regularity. We note that Theorem 3.5 in [17] requires that there exists a solution $X \colon \Omega \times [0,T] \to H_{\varrho}$ for some appropriate $\varrho \in [0,\infty)$. Our main result establishes existence and uniqueness of the mild solution with a compatible spatial regularity. Techniques similar to the ones appearing in our proof can, e.g., be found in [4, Theorem 3.1 and Subsection 4.3] which, in particular, provides existence and uniqueness of the mild solution for stochastic Burgers equations with additive space-time white noise with values in the Banach space $C((0,1),\mathbb{R})$ exploiting spectral Galerkin approximations.

As an example, we choose to apply the main result of this paper to the stochastic Burgers equations driven by space-time white noise. In this way for every $\varrho \in (1/8, 1/4)$ we obtain the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution taking values in the space H_{ϱ} . In particular, Corollary 4.3 below establishes the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution of the stochastic Burgers equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}X_t(x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}X_t(x) - X_t(x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x}X_t(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}W_t(x)$$
 (1.1)

with $X_0(x) = \xi(x)$, $\xi \in H_{1/2}$, and $X_t(0) = X_t(1) = 0$ for $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in (0, 1)$. We would like to note that there are several existence and uniqueness results in the literature for mild solutions of stochastic Burgers equations driven by colored noise (see, e.g., [6]) and by space-time white noise (see, e.g., [5] in the case of cylindrical Wiener process and [3] in the case of Brownian sheet). Other relevant references can, e.g., be found in [8, Section 13.9] and [7, Chapter 14] and the references mentioned therein. Our results extend the strong convergence result for stochastic Burgers equations in [17, Corollary 5.6] because they yield existence, uniqueness, and spatial regularity of the mild solution and at the same time not only strong but also almost sure convergence for the numerical scheme.

To conclude, let us mention the fact that our main all-in-one results (in particular, Corollary 3.3 below) can also be applied to the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations considered in [13], recovering the strong convergence result for the numerical scheme obtained there and also recovering the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution obtained in, e.g., [9].

1.1 Outline of the paper

First, in Section 2, we analyze pathwise regularity properties of the considered approximation scheme for a certain family of evolution equations. In particular, we obtain in Section 2 pathwise a priori estimates and convergence to a local mild solution (see Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, respectively). The non-explosion of the approximation scheme then leads to non-explosion of the unique maximal solution and therefore to pathwise existence and uniqueness of the global solution (see Proposition 2.4). The main result of the paper is given in Section 3 in Theorem 3.2. It allows us to obtain an all-in-one statement for existence, uniqueness, and (spatial) regularity of the solution processes and strong convergence of the approximation scheme in Corollary 3.3 below. Finally, in Section 4 we apply the latter to the stochastic Burgers equations with space-time white noise (see Corollary 4.3).

1.2 Notation

Throughout this article the following notation is used. Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, ...\}$ be the set of all natural numbers. We denote by $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_h \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $h \in (0, \infty)$, the functions which satisfy for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $h \in (0, \infty)$ that

$$\lfloor t \rfloor_h = \max((-\infty, t] \cap \{0, h, -h, 2h, -2h, \ldots\}).$$
 (1.2)

For a set A we denote by $\#_A \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \{\infty\}$ the number of elements of A and we denote by $\mathrm{Id}_A \colon A \to A$ the function which satisfies for all $a \in A$ that $\mathrm{Id}_A(a) = a$ (identity function on A). For a topological space (X, τ) we denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the Borel sigma-algebra of (X, τ) .

2 Pathwise global solutions

This section is devoted to prove a pathwise existence of a unique global solution and convergence of the approximation scheme. We establish this result in Proposition 2.4. The main ingredients of the proof of Proposition 2.4 are Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3. The latter establishes convergence and non-explosion of a (local) solution in a certain general setting and the former shows suitable a priori bounds for the (deterministic) approximation scheme.

Setting 2.1. Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \| \cdot \|_H)$ be a separable \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space, let $\mathbb{H} \subseteq H$ be a nonempty orthonormal basis of H, let $\eta, \kappa \in [0, \infty)$, let $\lambda \colon \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy that $\inf_{b \in \mathbb{H}} \lambda_b > -\min\{\eta, \kappa\}$, let $A \colon D(A) \subseteq H \to H$ be the linear operator which satisfies $D(A) = \{v \in H \colon \sum_{b \in \mathbb{H}} |\lambda_b \langle b, v \rangle_H|^2 < \infty\}$ and $\forall v \in D(A) \colon Av = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{H}} -\lambda_b \langle b, v \rangle_H b$, let $(H_r, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_r}, \| \cdot \|_{H_r})$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, be a family of interpolation spaces associated to $\kappa - A$ (see, e.g., [22, Section 3.7]), and let $T, \vartheta, c \in (0, \infty), \theta, \epsilon \in [0, \infty), \alpha, \varphi \in [0, 1), \gamma \in (0, 1), \rho \in [-\alpha, 1 - \max\{\alpha, \gamma\}), \varrho \in (\rho, 1 - \gamma), \chi \in (0, \min\{(\varrho - \rho)/(1 + \vartheta/2), (1 - \alpha - \rho)/(1 + \vartheta)\}]$.

2.1 A priori bounds

Lemma 2.2 (A priori bounds). Assume Setting 2.1, assume in addition that $\sup_{b \in \mathbb{H}} |\lambda_b| < \infty$, let $\beta \in (0, \infty)$, $h \in (0, \min\{1, T\}]$, and let Y, O, \mathbb{O} : $[0, T] \to H$, $F \in C(H, H)$, $\phi, \Phi \colon H \to [0, \infty)$ satisfy for all $v, w \in H$, $t \in [0, T]$ that $\eta O \in C([0, T], H)$, $\mathbb{O}_t = O_t - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)(A-\eta)} \eta O_s \, ds$, $\|F(v)\|_{H-\gamma} \le c(2\epsilon + \|v\|_H^2)$, $\|F(v)\|_{H-\alpha}^2 \le \theta \max\{1, \|v\|_{H_\rho}^{2+\vartheta}\}$,

$$\langle v, F(v+w) \rangle_H \le \frac{1}{2}\phi(w)\|v\|_H^2 + \varphi\|(\eta - A)^{1/2}v\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Phi(w),$$
 (2.1)

$$\|(\eta - A)^{-1/2} (F(v) - F(w))\|_{H}^{2}$$

$$\leq \theta \max\{1, \|v\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{\vartheta}\} \|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2} + \theta \|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2+\vartheta},$$

$$(2.2)$$

and

$$Y_{t} = \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} \mathbb{1}_{[0,h^{-\chi}]} (\|Y_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h}}\|_{H_{\varrho}} + \|O_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h}}\|_{H_{\varrho}}) F(Y_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h}}) ds + O_{t}.$$
 (2.3)

Then it holds that $\eta \mathbb{O} \in C([0,T],H)$ and for all $t \in [0,T]$ that

$$||Y_{t} - O_{t}||_{H_{\varrho}} \leq \frac{2c e^{t\kappa} t^{(1-\varrho-\gamma)}}{(1-\varrho-\gamma)} \left(\epsilon + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} ||\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}||_{H}^{2} ds + \left(1 + \frac{\theta e^{\kappa} (2+\vartheta) [1 + (\kappa + \sqrt{\eta} + \sqrt{\eta} |\kappa - \eta| e^{\eta}) ||(\kappa - A)^{\rho-\varrho}||_{L(H)} + \sqrt{\theta} + \sqrt{\eta}]^{(2+\vartheta)}}{(1-\varphi)(1-\alpha-\rho)^{(2+\vartheta)}} \right) \cdot \int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{s}^{T} \phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_{h}}) + 2\eta(1+\beta) du} \left[\Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}) + \frac{\eta}{2\beta} ||\mathbb{O}_{s}||_{H}^{2} + \left| \max\{1, \eta, T\} \right|^{(4+3\vartheta)} \max\left\{1, \int_{0}^{T} ||\sqrt{\eta} O_{u}||_{H_{\varrho}}^{(4+2\vartheta)} du\right\} \right] ds \right).$$

$$(2.4)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2. First, observe that for all $s \in (0,T)$ it holds that

$$\|(\kappa - A)^{(\varrho + \gamma)}e^{sA}\|_{L(H)} = e^{s\kappa}s^{-(\varrho + \gamma)}\|(s(\kappa - A))^{(\varrho + \gamma)}e^{s(A - \kappa)}\|_{L(H)}$$

$$< e^{s\kappa}s^{-(\varrho + \gamma)}$$
(2.5)

(cf., e.g., Lemma 11.36 in [19]). This, (2.3), the triangle inequality, and the assumption that $\forall v \in H : ||F(v)||_{H_{-\gamma}} \leq c(2\epsilon + ||v||_H^2)$ imply that for all $t \in [0, T]$ it holds

that

$$||Y_{t} - O_{t}||_{H_{\varrho}} \leq \int_{0}^{t} ||(\kappa - A)^{(\varrho + \gamma)} e^{(t - s)A}||_{L(H)} ||F(Y_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}})||_{H_{-\gamma}} ds$$

$$\leq c \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t - s)\kappa} (t - s)^{-(\varrho + \gamma)} (2\epsilon + ||Y_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}||_{H}^{2}) ds$$

$$\leq c \left[2\epsilon + \sup_{s \in [0, t]} ||Y_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}||_{H}^{2} \right] \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t - s)\kappa} (t - s)^{-(\varrho + \gamma)} ds.$$
(2.6)

This together with the fact that $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R} : |a+b|^2 \le 2|a|^2 + 2|b|^2$ and the fact that $\varrho + \gamma < 1$ shows that for all $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|Y_{t} - O_{t}\|_{H_{\varrho}} \\ & \leq c \left[2\epsilon + 2 \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|Y_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}} - \mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}\|_{H}^{2} + 2 \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}\|_{H}^{2} \right] e^{t\kappa} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{-(\varrho+\gamma)} ds \\ & = 2c \left[\epsilon + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|Y_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}} - \mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}\|_{H}^{2} + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}\|_{H}^{2} \right] \frac{e^{t\kappa} t^{(1-\varrho-\gamma)}}{(1-\varrho-\gamma)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.7)$$

Next note that the assumption that $\sup_{b\in\mathbb{H}} |\lambda_b| < \infty$ assures that $A \in L(H)$. Corollary 2.6 in [17] therefore ensures that $\eta \mathbb{O} \in C([0,T],H)$ and that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|Y_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{h}} - \mathbb{O}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{h}}\|_{H}^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{s}^{T} \phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_{h}}) + 2\eta(1+\beta) du} \left[\Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}) + \frac{\eta}{2\beta} \|\mathbb{O}_{s}\|_{H}^{2} \right. \\ + \frac{\theta e^{\kappa(2+\vartheta)} [1 + (\kappa + \sqrt{\eta} + \sqrt{\eta}|\kappa - \eta|e^{\eta}) \|(\kappa - A)^{\rho - \varrho}\|_{L(H)} + \sqrt{\theta} + \sqrt{\eta}]^{(2+\vartheta)} \left| \max\{1, \int_{0}^{T} \|\sqrt{\eta} O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}} du\} \right|^{(2+\vartheta)}}{(1 - \varphi)(1 - \alpha - \rho)^{(2+\vartheta)}} \\ \cdot \max\left\{ h^{2(\varrho - \rho - \chi)}, h^{2(1 - \alpha - \rho - (1 + \vartheta/2)\chi)}, h \int_{0}^{T} \|\sqrt{\eta} O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2} du \right\} \\ \cdot \left| \max\left\{ h^{-\chi}, \int_{0}^{T} \|\sqrt{\eta} O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}} du \right\} \right|^{\vartheta} \right] ds$$

$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{\theta e^{\kappa(2+\vartheta)} [1 + (\kappa + \sqrt{\eta} + \sqrt{\eta}|\kappa - \eta|e^{\eta}) \|(\kappa - A)^{\rho - \varrho}\|_{L(H)} + \sqrt{\theta} + \sqrt{\eta}]^{(2+\vartheta)}}{(1 - \varphi)(1 - \alpha - \rho)^{(2+\vartheta)}} \right) \\ \cdot \int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{s}^{T} \phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_{h}}) + 2\eta(1 + \beta) du} \left[\Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}) + \frac{\eta}{2\beta} \|\mathbb{O}_{s}\|_{H}^{2} \right. \\ + \max\left\{ h^{2(\varrho - \rho - \chi) - \chi\vartheta}, h^{2(1 - \alpha - \rho - (1 + \vartheta)\chi)}, h^{1 - \chi\vartheta} \int_{0}^{T} \|\sqrt{\eta} O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2} du \right\} \\ \cdot \left| \max\{1, \int_{0}^{T} \|\sqrt{\eta} O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}} du\} \right|^{(2 + \vartheta)} \left| \max\{1, h^{\chi} \int_{0}^{T} \|\sqrt{\eta} O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}} du\} \right|^{\vartheta} \right] ds.$$

Combining this with the fact that h < 1, $1 - \chi \vartheta \ge 0$, $\frac{(1-\alpha-\rho)}{(1+\vartheta)} \ge \chi$, $\frac{(\varrho-\rho)}{(1+\vartheta/2)} \ge \chi$

demonstrates that for all $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|Y_{\lfloor t \rfloor_h} - \mathbb{O}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_h}\|_H^2
\leq \left(1 + \frac{\theta e^{\kappa(2+\vartheta)} [1 + (\kappa + \sqrt{\eta} + \sqrt{\eta} |\kappa - \eta| e^{\eta}) \|(\kappa - A)^{\rho - \varrho}\|_{L(H)} + \sqrt{\theta} + \sqrt{\eta}]^{(2+\vartheta)}}{(1 - \varphi)(1 - \alpha - \rho)^{(2+\vartheta)}}\right)
\cdot \int_0^T e^{\int_s^T \phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_h}) + 2\eta(1+\beta) du} \left[\Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_h}) + \frac{\eta}{2\beta} \|\mathbb{O}_s\|_H^2
+ \max\{1, \int_0^T \|\sqrt{\eta} O_u\|_{H_{\varrho}}^2 du\} \left|\max\{1, \int_0^T \|\sqrt{\eta} O_u\|_{H_{\varrho}} du\}\right|^{(2+2\vartheta)}\right] ds.$$

Moreover, note that Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\max\{1, \int_{0}^{T} \|\sqrt{\eta} O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2} du\} \left| \max\{1, \int_{0}^{T} \|\sqrt{\eta} O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}} du\} \right|^{2+2\vartheta} \\
\leq \max\{1, \eta \int_{0}^{T} \|O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2} du\} \left| \max\{1, \eta T \int_{0}^{T} \|O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2} du\} \right|^{1+\vartheta} \\
\leq \left| \max\{1, \eta, T\} \right|^{3+2\vartheta} \left| \max\{1, \int_{0}^{T} \|O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2} du\} \right|^{2+\vartheta} \\
\leq \left| \max\{1, \eta, T\} \right|^{4+3\vartheta} \max\{1, \int_{0}^{T} \|O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2(2+\vartheta)} du\}. \tag{2.10}$$

This together with (2.9) yields that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|Y_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{h}} - \mathbb{O}_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{h}}\|_{H}^{2} \\
\leq \left(1 + \frac{\theta e^{\kappa(2+\vartheta)} [1 + (\kappa + \sqrt{\eta} + \sqrt{\eta}|\kappa - \eta|e^{\eta}) \|(\kappa - A)^{\rho - \varrho}\|_{L(H)} + \sqrt{\theta} + \sqrt{\eta}]^{2+\vartheta}}{(1 - \varphi)(1 - \alpha - \rho)^{2+\vartheta}}\right) \\
\cdot \int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{s}^{T} \phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_{h}}) + 2\eta(1 + \beta) du} \left[\Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h}}) + \frac{\eta}{2\beta} \|\mathbb{O}_{s}\|_{H}^{2} \\
+ \left|\max\{1, \eta, T\}\right|^{4 + 3\vartheta} \max\{1, \int_{0}^{T} \|O_{u}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2(2 + \vartheta)} du\}\right] ds. \tag{2.11}$$

Combining this and (2.7) completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.3 (Pathwise convergence and non-explosion). Let $(V, \|\cdot\|_V)$ be a separable \mathbb{R} -Banach space, let $(W, \|\cdot\|_W)$ be an \mathbb{R} -Banach space, let $T, \chi \in (0, \infty)$, let $J \subseteq [0, T]$ be a convex set satisfying $0 \in J$, let $F \in C(V, W)$ and $\Psi \colon [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty]$ satisfy for

all $r \in [0, \infty]$ that $\Psi([0, \infty)) \subseteq [0, \infty)$ and

$$\Psi(r) = \sup\left(\left\{\frac{\|F(v) - F(w)\|_W}{\|v - w\|_V} : v, w \in V, v \neq w, \|v\|_V + \|w\|_V \le r\right\} \cup \{0\}\right), \quad (2.12)$$

let $S: (0,T) \to L(W,V)$ be a $\mathcal{B}((0,T))/\mathcal{B}(L(W,V))$ -measurable function, let $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and $(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq L(V)$ satisfy that $\sup_{s\in(0,T)} s^{\alpha} ||S_s||_{L(W,V)} < \infty$, $\limsup_{m\to\infty} ||P_m||_{L(V)} < \infty$, and

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{0} \int_{0}^{T} \|(\mathrm{Id}_{V} - P_{m})S_{s}\|_{L(W,V)} ds = 0, \tag{2.13}$$

let $O \in C([0,T],V)$ and $\mathcal{O}^n \colon [0,T] \to V$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, satisfy that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|O_s - \mathcal{O}_s^m\|_V = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

let $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq (0,\infty)$ satisfy that $\limsup_{m\to\infty}h_m=0$, and let $X\in C(J,V)$ and $\mathcal{X}^n\colon [0,T]\to V,\ n\in\mathbb{N}$, satisfy for all $t\in J,\ n\in\mathbb{N}$ that $X_t=\int_0^t S_{t-s}F(X_s)\,ds+O_t$,

$$\mathcal{X}_{t}^{n} = \int_{0}^{t} P_{n} S_{t-s} \mathbb{1}_{[0,|h_{n}|^{-\chi}]} \left(\left\| \mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n} \right\|_{V} + \left\| \mathcal{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n} \right\|_{V} \right) F\left(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\right) ds + \mathcal{O}_{t}^{n}, \quad (2.15)$$

and $\liminf_{m\to\infty} \sup_{s\in J} \|\mathcal{X}_s^m\|_V < \infty$. Then it holds

- (i) for all $t \in J$ that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} ||X_s \mathcal{X}_s^n||_V = 0$ and
- (ii) that $\sup_{s\in J} ||X_s||_V < \infty$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. First, observe that Item (i) in Proposition 3.1 in [13] shows that for all $t \in J$ it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|X_s - \mathcal{X}_s^n\|_V = 0.$$
 (2.16)

This establishes Item (i). Next note that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in J$ it holds that

$$||X_{t}||_{V} \leq ||\mathcal{X}_{t}^{n}||_{V} + ||X_{t} - \mathcal{X}_{t}^{n}||_{V}$$

$$\leq \sup_{s \in J} ||\mathcal{X}_{s}^{n}||_{V} + ||X_{t} - \mathcal{X}_{t}^{n}||_{V}$$

$$\leq \sup_{s \in J} ||\mathcal{X}_{s}^{n}||_{V} + \sup_{s \in [0,t]} ||X_{s} - \mathcal{X}_{s}^{n}||_{V}.$$
(2.17)

This together with Item (i) implies that for all $t \in J$ it holds that

$$||X_t||_V \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{s \in J} ||\mathcal{X}_s^n||_V + \sup_{s \in [0,t]} ||X_s - \mathcal{X}_s^n||_V \right)$$

$$\le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in J} ||\mathcal{X}_s^n||_V + \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} ||X_s - \mathcal{X}_s^n||_V$$

$$= \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in J} ||\mathcal{X}_s^n||_V < \infty.$$
(2.18)

Therefore, we obtain that

$$\sup_{t \in J} \|X_t\|_V \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in J} \|\mathcal{X}_t^n\|_V < \infty. \tag{2.19}$$

This establishes Item (ii). The proof of Lemma 2.3 is thus completed.

2.2 Pathwise existence, uniqueness, regularity, and approximation

Proposition 2.4 (Global solutions). Assume Setting 2.1, let $F \in C(H_{\varrho}, H_{-\alpha})$, $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L(H)$, let $\mathbb{H}_n \subseteq \mathbb{H}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be finite subsets of \mathbb{H} satisfying for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u \in H$ that $P_n(u) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{H}_n} \langle b, u \rangle_H b$, let $\phi, \Phi \colon H_1 \to [0, \infty)$ be functions such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $v, w \in P_n(H)$ it holds that $F(v) \in H$, $||F(v)||_{H_{-\gamma}} \leq c (2\epsilon + ||v||_H^2)$,

$$\langle v, P_n F(v+w) \rangle_H \le \phi(w) \|v\|_H^2 + \varphi \|(\eta - A)^{1/2} v\|_H^2 + \Phi(w),$$
 (2.20)

and

$$||F(v) - F(w)||_{H_{-\alpha}} \le \theta \left(1 + ||v||_{H_{\rho}}^{\vartheta} + ||w||_{H_{\rho}}^{\vartheta}\right) ||v - w||_{H_{\rho}}, \tag{2.21}$$

let $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq (0,T]$ satisfy that $\limsup_{m\to\infty}h_m=0$, assume in addition that $\alpha\in[0,1/2]$, $\varrho\in(\rho,1-\max\{\alpha,\gamma\})$, $\chi\in(0,\min\{(\varrho-\rho)/(1+\vartheta),(1-\alpha-\rho)/(1+2\vartheta)\}]$, and

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^T \| (\mathrm{Id}_{H_{\varrho}} - P_m|_{H_{\varrho}}) e^{sA} \|_{L(H_{-\alpha}, H_{\varrho})} \, ds = 0, \tag{2.22}$$

let $O \in C([0,T], H_{\varrho})$ and $\mathcal{O}^n, \mathbb{O}^n \colon [0,T] \to H_{\varrho}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be functions which satisfy for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0,T]$ that $\mathcal{O}^n([0,T]) \subseteq P_n(H)$, $\eta \mathcal{O}^n \in C([0,T], P_n(H))$,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} ||O_s - \mathcal{O}_s^m||_{H_{\varrho}} = 0, \tag{2.23}$$

 $\mathbb{O}_{t}^{n} = \mathcal{O}_{t}^{n} - \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)(A-\eta)} \, \eta \mathcal{O}_{s}^{n} \, ds, \, \limsup_{m \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\mathbb{O}_{[s]_{h_{m}}}^{m}\|_{H}^{2} < \infty, \, and$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \inf \int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{r}^{T} 2\phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_{h_{m}}}^{m}) du} \max \{\Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor r \rfloor_{h_{m}}}^{m}), \|\mathbb{O}_{r}^{m}\|_{H}^{2}, 1, \int_{0}^{T} \|\mathcal{O}_{u}^{m}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{4+4\vartheta} du\} dr < \infty, \tag{2.24}$$

and let $\mathcal{X}^n \colon [0,T] \to H_{\varrho}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be functions satisfying for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0,T]$ that

$$\mathcal{X}_{t}^{n} = \int_{0}^{t} P_{n} e^{(t-s)A} \, \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\|_{H_{\varrho}} + \|\mathcal{O}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\|_{H_{\varrho}} \le |h_{n}|^{-\chi}\}} \, F(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}) \, ds + \mathcal{O}_{t}^{n}. \tag{2.25}$$

Then

- (i) it holds that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|\mathcal{X}_s^n\|_{H_{\varrho}} < \infty$,
- (ii) there exists a unique continuous function $X: [0,T] \to H_{\varrho}$ which satisfies for all $t \in [0,T]$ that $\int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s)\|_{H_{\varrho}} ds < \infty$ and

$$X_t = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) ds + O_t, \qquad (2.26)$$

and

(iii) it holds that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} ||X_t - \mathcal{X}_t^n||_{H_\varrho} = 0.$

Proof of Proposition 2.4. Observe that (2.22) allows us to assume w.l.o.g. that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $P_n(H) \neq \{0\}$. Throughout this proof we assume that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $P_n(H) \neq \{0\}$, let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1 - \alpha - \varrho)$ be a real number, let $\tilde{\theta} \in [0, \infty)$ be the real number given by

$$\tilde{\theta} = \max\{1, \|(\eta - A)^{-1}(\kappa - A)\|_{L(H)}\}$$

$$\cdot \max\left\{\left(8\theta^{2} + 2 \|F(0)\|_{H_{-\alpha}}^{2}\right) \max\left\{1, \sup_{u \in H_{\varrho} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2+2\vartheta}}{\|u\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2+2\vartheta}}\right\},$$

$$3\theta^{2} \left[\sup_{u \in H_{-\alpha} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{-\alpha}}^{2}}{\|u\|_{H_{-\alpha}}^{2}}\right] \left[1 + \sup_{u \in H_{\varrho} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2\vartheta}}{\|u\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2\vartheta}}\right] \left(1 + 2^{\max\{2\vartheta - 1, 0\}}\right)\right\},$$
(2.27)

let $\Psi \colon [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty]$ be the function which satisfies for all $r \in [0, \infty]$ that $\Psi(r) = \sup(\{\|F(v) - F(w)\|_{H_{-\alpha}}/\|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}} \colon v, w \in H_{\varrho}, v \neq w, \|v\|_{H_{\varrho}} + \|w\|_{H_{\varrho}} \leq r\} \cup \{0\}),$ and let $\psi \colon (0, T) \to (0, \infty)$ be the function which satisfies for all $t \in (0, T)$ that

$$\psi(t) = e^{t\kappa} - 1 + t^{\varepsilon}. \tag{2.28}$$

Note that (2.21) ensures that for all $r \in [0, \infty)$, $v, w \in H_{\varrho}$ satisfying $v \neq w$ and $||v||_{H_{\varrho}} + ||w||_{\varrho} \leq r$ it holds that

$$\frac{\|F(v) - F(w)\|_{H_{-\alpha}}}{\|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}}} \leq \frac{\|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}}}{\|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}}} \theta \left(1 + \|v\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{\vartheta} + \|w\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{\vartheta} \right)
\leq \left[\sup_{u \in H_{\varrho} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\varrho}}}{\|u\|_{H_{\varrho}}} \right] \theta \left(1 + 2r^{\vartheta} \right) < \infty.$$
(2.29)

Therefore, we obtain that for all $r \in [0, \infty)$ it holds that

$$\Psi(r) \le \theta \left(1 + 2r^{\vartheta}\right) \left[\sup_{u \in H_{\varrho} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\varrho}}}{\|u\|_{H_{\varrho}}} \right] < \infty.$$
 (2.30)

This establishes that

$$\Psi([0,\infty)) \subseteq [0,\infty). \tag{2.31}$$

Next observe that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$ it holds that

$$\|\mathcal{X}_{t}^{n}\|_{H_{o}} \leq \|O_{t}\|_{H_{o}} + \|\mathcal{O}_{t}^{n} - O_{t}\|_{H_{o}} + \|\mathcal{X}_{t}^{n} - \mathcal{O}_{t}^{n}\|_{H_{o}}. \tag{2.32}$$

This and (2.23) yield that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{X}_t^n\|_{H_{\varrho}} \le \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|O_t\|_{H_{\varrho}} + \liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{X}_t^n - \mathcal{O}_t^n\|_{H_{\varrho}}. \tag{2.33}$$

Furthermore, note that (2.21) and, e.g., Lemma 2.4 in [13] (with $V = H_{\varrho}$, $\mathcal{V} = H_{\rho}$, $W = H_{-\alpha}$, $W = H_{-1/2}$, $\epsilon = \theta$, $\theta = \max\{1, \|(\eta - A)^{-1}(\kappa - A)\|_{L(H)}\}^{-1}\tilde{\theta}$, $\varepsilon = \vartheta$, $\vartheta = 2\vartheta$, in the notation of Lemma 2.4 in [13]) ensures for all $v, w \in H_{\varrho}$ that

$$\|(\eta - A)^{-1/2}(F(v) - F(w))\|_{H}^{2}$$

$$\leq \|(\eta - A)^{-1}(\kappa - A)\|_{L(H)} \|F(v) - F(w)\|_{H_{-1/2}}^{2}$$

$$\leq \tilde{\theta} \left(\max\{1, \|v\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2\vartheta}\} \|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2} + \|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2+2\vartheta} \right)$$
(2.34)

and

$$||F(v)||_{H_{-\alpha}}^2 \le \tilde{\theta} \max\{1, ||v||_{H_{\theta}}^{2+2\vartheta}\}.$$
 (2.35)

In addition, observe that the assumption that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{O}^n([0,T]) \subseteq P_n(H)$ implies for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\mathbb{O}^n([0,T]) \cup \mathcal{X}^n([0,T]) \subseteq P_n(H)$. Combining this, (2.34), (2.35), and Lemma 2.2 (with $H = P_n(H)$, $\beta = 1$, $\theta = \tilde{\theta}$, $\vartheta = 2\vartheta$, $A = (P_n(H) \ni v \mapsto Av \in P_n(H)) \in L(P_n(H))$, $h = h_n$, $Y = ([0,T] \ni t \mapsto \mathcal{X}_t^n \in P_n(H))$, $\mathbb{O} = ([0,T] \ni t \mapsto \mathbb{O}_t^n(\omega) \in P_n(H))$, $\mathcal{O} = ([0,T] \ni t \mapsto \mathcal{O}_t^n(\omega) \in P_n(H))$, $F = (P_n(H) \ni v \mapsto P_nF(v) \in P_n(H) \cap H_{-\alpha}) \in C(P_n(H), P_n(H))$, $\phi = 2\phi|_{P_n(H)}$, $\Phi = 2\Phi|_{P_n(H)}$ for $n \in \{m \in \mathbb{N} : h_m \leq 1\}$ in the notation of Lemma 2.2) yields that for all $n \in \{m \in \mathbb{N} : h_m \leq 1\}$ in the notation of Lemma 2.2)

 $\mathbb{N}: h_m \leq 1$ it holds that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| \mathcal{X}_{t}^{n} - \mathcal{O}_{t}^{n} \|_{H_{\varrho}} \leq \frac{2c e^{T\kappa} T^{(1-\varrho-\gamma)}}{(1-\varrho-\gamma)} \left(\epsilon + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \| \mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n} \|_{H}^{2} \right) \\
+ \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\theta} e^{\kappa(2+2\vartheta)} [1 + (\kappa + \sqrt{\eta} + \sqrt{\eta} |\kappa - \eta| e^{\eta}) \| (\kappa - A)^{\rho-\varrho} \|_{L(H)} + \sqrt{\tilde{\theta}} + \sqrt{\eta}]^{2+2\vartheta}}{(1-\varphi)(1-\alpha-\rho)^{2+2\vartheta}} \right) \\
\cdot \int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{s}^{T} 2\phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}) + 4\eta \, du} \left[2\Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}) + \frac{\eta}{2} \| \mathbb{O}_{s}^{n} \|_{H}^{2} \right. \\
+ \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left| \max\{1, \eta, T\} \right|^{4+6\vartheta} \max\{1, \int_{0}^{T} \| \sqrt{\eta} \mathcal{O}_{u}^{n} \|_{H_{\varrho}}^{4+4\vartheta} \, du\} \right] \right] ds \right). \right. \tag{2.36}$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| \mathcal{X}_{t}^{n} - \mathcal{O}_{t}^{n} \|_{H_{\varrho}} \leq \frac{2c e^{T\kappa} T^{(1-\varrho-\gamma)}}{(1-\varrho-\gamma)} \left(\epsilon + \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \| \mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n} \|_{H}^{2} \right) + \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{\theta}e^{\kappa(2+2\vartheta)}[1+(\kappa+\sqrt{\eta}+\sqrt{\eta}|\kappa-\eta|e^{\eta}|\|(\kappa-A)^{\rho-\varrho}\|_{L(H)} + \sqrt{\tilde{\theta}}+\sqrt{\eta}]^{2+2\vartheta}}{(1-\varphi)(1-\alpha-\rho)^{2+2\vartheta}} \right) \cdot \liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{s}^{T} 2\phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}) + 4\eta \, du} \left[2\Phi\left(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\right) + \frac{\eta}{2} \| \mathbb{O}_{s}^{n} \|_{H}^{2} \right. + \left. \left. \left. \left. \left. \left| \max\left\{1, \eta, T\right\}\right|^{4+6\vartheta} \max\left\{1, \int_{0}^{T} \|\sqrt{\eta} \mathcal{O}_{u}^{n}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{4+4\vartheta} \, du\right\} \right] ds \right). \tag{2.37}$$

Combining this, the assumption that $\limsup_{m\to\infty} \sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|\mathbb{O}^m_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_m}}\|_H^2 < \infty$, and (2.24) assures that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \| \mathcal{X}_t^n - \mathcal{O}_t^n \|_{H_{\varrho}} < \infty.$$
 (2.38)

The assumption that $O \in C([0,T], H_{\varrho})$ and (2.33) therefore prove that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{X}_t^n\|_{H_{\varrho}} < \infty.$$
(2.39)

This establishes Item (i). In the next step we observe that (2.28) yields that

$$\lim_{t \searrow 0} \sup \psi(t) = 0. \tag{2.40}$$

Moreover, note that the fact that $\forall r \in [0,1], t \in (0,T)$: $\|(t(\kappa - A))^r e^{tA}\|_{L(H)} \leq e^{t\kappa}$ and $\|(t(\kappa - A))^{-r} (e^{t(A-\kappa)} - \mathrm{Id}_H)\|_{L(H)} \leq 1$ (cf., e.g., Lemma 11.36 in [19]) implies that for all $s \in [0,T), t \in (s,T]$ it holds that

$$s^{(\alpha+\varrho+\varepsilon)} \| e^{tA} - e^{sA} \|_{L(H_{-\alpha},H_{\varrho})} = s^{\varepsilon} \| (s(\kappa-A))^{(\alpha+\varrho)} e^{sA} \left(e^{(t-s)A} - \operatorname{Id}_{H} \right) \|_{L(H)}$$

$$\leq s^{\varepsilon} \| (s(\kappa-A))^{(\alpha+\varrho)} e^{sA} \left(e^{(t-s)A} - e^{(t-s)\kappa} \right) \|_{L(H)}$$

$$+ s^{\varepsilon} \| (s(\kappa-A))^{(\alpha+\varrho)} e^{sA} \left(e^{(t-s)\kappa} - \operatorname{Id}_{H} \right) \|_{L(H)}$$

$$\leq e^{(t-s)\kappa} \| (s(\kappa-A))^{(\alpha+\varrho+\varepsilon)} e^{sA} \|_{L(H)} \| (\kappa-A)^{-\varepsilon} \left(e^{(t-s)(A-\kappa)} - \operatorname{Id}_{H} \right) \|_{L(H)}$$

$$+ s^{\varepsilon} \left(e^{(t-s)\kappa} - 1 \right) \| (s(\kappa-A))^{(\alpha+\varrho)} e^{sA} \|_{L(H)}$$

$$\leq e^{t\kappa} (t-s)^{\varepsilon} + s^{\varepsilon} \left(e^{(t-s)\kappa} - 1 \right) e^{s\kappa}$$

$$\leq \max\{1, T^{\varepsilon}\} e^{T\kappa} \left(e^{(t-s)\kappa} - 1 + (t-s)^{\varepsilon} \right). \tag{2.41}$$

and

$$s^{\alpha+\varrho+\varepsilon} \|e^{sA}\|_{L(H_{-\alpha},H_{\varrho})} = s^{\varepsilon} \|(s(\kappa-A))^{(\alpha+\varrho)}e^{sA}\|_{L(H)} \le s^{\varepsilon}e^{s\kappa} \le T^{\varepsilon}e^{T\kappa}.$$
 (2.42)

This together with (2.28) yields that

$$\sup_{s \in (0,T)} \left[s^{\alpha + \varrho + \varepsilon} \left(\|e^{sA}\|_{L(H_{-\alpha}, H_{\varrho})} + \sup_{t \in (s,T)} \frac{\|e^{tA} - e^{sA}\|_{L(H_{-\alpha}, H_{\varrho})}}{|\psi(t - s)|} \right) \right]$$

$$\leq 2e^{T\kappa} \max\{1, T^{\varepsilon}\} < \infty.$$
(2.43)

Combining this, (2.30), (2.40), and Item (i) in Corollary 8.4 in [14] (with $(V, \|\cdot\|_V) = (H_{\varrho}, \|\cdot\|_{H_{\varrho}})$, $(W, \|\cdot\|_W) = (H_{-\alpha}, \|\cdot\|_{H_{-\alpha}})$, $S = ((0,T) \ni t \mapsto (H_{-\alpha} \ni v \mapsto e^{tA}v \in H_{\varrho}) \in L(H_{-\alpha}, H_{\varrho})$), $S = ([0,T] \ni t \mapsto (H_{\varrho} \ni v \mapsto e^{tA}v \in H_{\varrho}) \in L(H_{\varrho})$), o = O, $\phi = \psi$ in the notation of Corollary 8.4 in [14]) demonstrates that there exists a convex set $J \subseteq [0,T]$ with $\{0\} \subseteq J$ such that there exists a unique continuous

function $X\colon J\to H_\varrho$ which satisfies for all $t\in J$ that

$$\int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s)\|_{H_{\varrho}} ds < \infty, \qquad X_t = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) ds + O_t, \qquad (2.44)$$

and

$$\lim \sup_{s \nearrow \sup(J)} \left[\frac{1}{(T-s)} + \|X_s\|_{H_{\varrho}} \right] = \infty. \tag{2.45}$$

Next observe that Item (i) ensures that $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \sup_{s\in J} \|\mathcal{X}^n_s\|_{H_\varrho} < \infty$. Lemma 2.3 (with $(V, \|\cdot\|_V) = (H_\varrho, \|\cdot\|_{H_\varrho})$, $(W, \|\cdot\|_W) = (H_{-\alpha}, \|\cdot\|_{H_{-\alpha}})$, $\alpha = \varrho + \alpha$, $S = ((0, T] \ni t \mapsto (H_{-\alpha} \ni v \mapsto e^{tA}v \in H_\varrho) \in L(H_{-\alpha}, H_\varrho)$), $(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = (H_\varrho \ni v \mapsto P_n(v) \in H_\varrho)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the notation of Lemma 2.3) hence shows that for all $t \in J$ it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|X_s - \mathcal{X}_s^n\|_{H_{\varrho}} = 0.$$
 (2.46)

This, in particular, implies that $\sup_{s\in J} \|X_s\|_{H_\varrho} < \infty$. Item (iii) in Corollary 8.4 in [14] therefore assures that J = [0, T]. This together with (2.44) establishes Item (ii). Next observe that the fact that $T \in J$ and (2.46) prove Item (iii). The proof of Proposition 2.4 is thus completed.

3 The main result: Existence, uniqueness, and strong convergence

In this section we accomplish in Theorem 3.2 global existence and uniqueness of the solutions for certain class of SPDEs. Moreover, Theorem 3.2 shows an almost sure convergence of the approximation scheme (3.4) below. The other result of this section is Corollary 3.3, which establishes a strong convergence of the approximation scheme and follows from Theorem 3.2 and [17, Theorem 3.5].

Setting 3.1. Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H)$ be a separable \mathbb{R} -Hilbert space, let $\mathbb{H} \subseteq H$

be a nonempty orthonormal basis of H, let $\eta, \kappa \in [0, \infty)$, let $\lambda \colon \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfy that $\inf_{b \in \mathbb{H}} \lambda_b > -\min\{\eta, \kappa\}$, let $A \colon D(A) \subseteq H \to H$ be the linear operator which satisfies $D(A) = \{v \in H \colon \sum_{b \in \mathbb{H}} |\lambda_b \langle b, v \rangle_H|^2 < \infty\}$ and $\forall v \in D(A) \colon Av = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{H}} -\lambda_b \langle b, v \rangle_H b$, let $(H_r, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_r}, \|\cdot\|_{H_r})$, $r \in \mathbb{R}$, be a family of interpolation spaces associated to $\kappa - A$ (see, e.g., [22, Section 3.7]), let $T, \vartheta, c \in (0, \infty), \theta, \epsilon \in [0, \infty), \alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \varphi \in [0, 1), \gamma \in (0, 1), \rho \in [-\alpha, 1 - \max\{\alpha, \gamma\}), \varrho \in (\rho, 1 - \max\{\alpha, \gamma\}) \cap [0, 1], \chi \in (0, \min\{(\varrho - \rho)/(1 + \vartheta), (1 - \alpha - \rho)/(1 + 2\vartheta)\}]$, let $F \in C(H_\varrho, H_{-\alpha}), (P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq L(H)$, let $\mathbb{H}_n \subseteq \mathbb{H}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be finite subsets of \mathbb{H} satisfying for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $u \in H$ that $P_n(u) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{H}_n} \langle b, u \rangle_H b$ and $\liminf_{m \to \infty} \inf(\{\lambda_b \colon b \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{H}_m\} \cup \{\infty\}) = \infty$, let $\phi, \Phi \colon H_1 \to [0, \infty)$ be functions such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $v, w \in P_n(H)$ it holds that $F(v) \in H$,

$$||F(v)||_{H_{-\gamma}} \le c \left(2\epsilon + ||v||_H^2\right),$$
 (3.1)

$$\langle v, P_n F(v+w) \rangle_H \le \phi(w) \|v\|_H^2 + \varphi \|(\eta - A)^{1/2} v\|_H^2 + \Phi(w), \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$||F(v) - F(w)||_{H_{-\alpha}} \le \theta \left(1 + ||v||_{H_{\rho}}^{\vartheta} + ||w||_{H_{\rho}}^{\vartheta}\right) ||v - w||_{H_{\rho}},\tag{3.3}$$

let $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq (0,T]$ satisfy that $\limsup_{m\to\infty}h_m=0$, let $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, let $\mathcal{X}^n\colon [0,T]\times\Omega\to H_\varrho$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, be stochastic processes, let $\mathcal{O}^n\colon [0,T]\times\Omega\to H_\varrho$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, and $O\colon [0,T]\times\Omega\to H_\varrho$ be stochastic processes with continuous sample paths, let $\mathbb{X}^n,\mathbb{O}^n\colon [0,T]\times\Omega\to H_\varrho$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, be functions, and assume for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $t\in[0,T]$ that

$$\mathbb{X}_{t}^{n} = \int_{0}^{t} P_{n} \, e^{(t-s)A} \, \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\|_{H_{\varrho}} + \|\mathcal{O}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\|_{H_{\varrho}} \le |h_{n}| - x\}} \, F\left(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\right) \, ds + \mathcal{O}_{t}^{n}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\mathcal{O}^n([0,T]\times\Omega)\subseteq P_n(H),\ \mathbb{O}^n_t=\mathcal{O}^n_t-\int_0^t e^{(t-s)(A-\eta)}\,\eta\mathcal{O}^n_s\,ds,\ \mathrm{and}\ \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{X}^n_t=\mathcal{X}^n_t)=1.$$

Remark. The above setting can be interpreted in the following way. The operator A is diagonal linear with respect to the orthonormal basis \mathbb{H} and with the point spectrum $-\lambda$. The parameter T is the end time of the SDE we consider, the parameters θ , θ , α , and ρ represent a semi-global Lipschitz continuity of the nonlinearity F (see (3.3) above), the parameters c, ϵ , and γ are involved in the condition ensuring at most quadratic growth of F (see (3.1) above), the parameters φ , η and the functions ϕ , Φ describe the coercivity-type condition of F in (3.2), and the parameter ϱ determines the domain of F (F takes values from H_{ϱ}). Moreover, we think of the stochastic process O as the noise part of the SDE we consider and we think of $(\mathcal{O}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ as certain approximations of this noise. Given all these, the approximating scheme is constructed as follows. We take the projection mappings $(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, the time discretizations $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and the truncation parameter χ to construct the approximating processes $(\mathbb{X}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ (and $(\mathcal{X}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$) as in (3.4). Additionally, we consider the noise processes $(\mathbb{O}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which satisfy below certain regularity conditions (see (3.5)) and which we think of as spectral Galerkin approximations of the noise process of a shifted version of the SDE we consider (with the shift parameter η , the same as in coercivity-type condition of F(3.2).

Theorem 3.2 (Existence, uniqueness, and almost sure convergence). Assume Setting 3.1, let $\Omega_0 \in \{B \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{P}(B) = 1\}$, and assume that for $\omega \in \Omega_0$ it holds that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^T e^{\int_r^T 2\phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor h_m}^m(\omega)) du} \left[1 + |\Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor r \rfloor h_m}^m(\omega))| + \int_0^T \|\mathcal{O}_u^m(\omega)\|_{H_\varrho}^{4+4\vartheta} du + \|\mathbb{O}_r^m(\omega)\|_H^2 \right] dr < \infty \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\lim \sup_{m \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} ||O_t(\omega) - \mathcal{O}_t^m(\omega)||_{H_\varrho} = 0.$$
(3.6)

Then

(i) there exists an up-to-indistinguishability unique stochastic process X: [0, T] ×
 Ω → H_ϱ with continuous sample paths which satisfies that for all t ∈ [0, T] it holds P-a.s. that

$$X_{t} = \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)A} F(X_{s}) ds + O_{t}$$
(3.7)

and

(ii) there exists an event $\Omega_1 \in \{B \in \mathcal{F} : \mathbb{P}(B) = 1\}$ such that for all $\omega \in \Omega_1$ it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|X_t(\omega) - \mathbb{X}_t^n(\omega)\|_{H_\varrho} = 0.$$
(3.8)

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Throughout this proof let $\Omega_1 \subseteq \Omega$ be the set given by

$$\Omega_1 = \Omega_0 \cap \left\{ \omega \in \Omega \colon (\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, s \in [0, T] \colon \mathbb{X}^m_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_m}}(\omega) = \mathcal{X}^m_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_m}}(\omega)) \right\}$$
(3.9)

and let $\mathbf{X}^n \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H, n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the functions which satisfy for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0,T]$ that

$$\mathbf{X}_{t}^{n} = \int_{0}^{t} P_{n} e^{(t-s)A} \, \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\|_{H_{\varrho}} + \|\mathcal{O}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\|_{H_{\varrho}} \le |h_{n}|^{-\chi}\}} \, F\left(\mathbf{X}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\right) \, ds + \mathcal{O}_{t}^{n}. \tag{3.10}$$

Observe that the assumption that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in [0,T] \colon \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{X}_t^n = \mathcal{X}_t^n) = 1$ yields that

$$\left\{\omega \in \Omega \colon \left(\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, s \in [0, T] \colon \mathbb{X}^{m}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{m}}}(\omega) = \mathcal{X}^{m}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_{m}}}(\omega)\right)\right\} \in \mathcal{F}$$
 (3.11)

and

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\forall m \in \mathbb{N}, s \in [0, T] \colon \mathbb{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_m}}^m = \mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s \rfloor_{h_m}}^m\Big) = 1. \tag{3.12}$$

Combining this and (3.9) demonstrates that

$$\Omega_1 \in \{ B \in \mathcal{F} \colon \mathbb{P}(B) = 1 \} \,. \tag{3.13}$$

Next note that the fact that for all $r \in [0,1]$, $t \in [0,T]$ it holds that $||(t(\kappa - A))^r e^{tA}||_{L(H)} \le e^{t\kappa}$ (cf., e.g., Lemma 11.36 in [19]) implies for all $\varepsilon \in [0, 1 - \alpha - \varrho]$ that

$$\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \left(s^{(\varrho + \varepsilon + \alpha)} \| e^{sA} \|_{L(H_{-\alpha}, H_{\varrho + \varepsilon})} \right)$$

$$= \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \| (s(\kappa - A))^{(\varrho + \varepsilon + \alpha)} e^{sA} \|_{L(H)} \le e^{T\kappa} < \infty.$$
(3.14)

Therefore, we obtain for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0, T]$, $\varepsilon \in [0, 1 - \varrho - \alpha)$ that

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|(\operatorname{Id}_{H_{\varrho}} - P_{n}|_{H_{\varrho}}) e^{sA}\|_{L(H_{-\alpha}, H_{\varrho})} ds$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|\operatorname{Id}_{H_{\varrho+\varepsilon}} - P_{n}|_{H_{\varrho+\varepsilon}}\|_{L(H_{\varrho+\varepsilon}, H_{\varrho})} \|e^{sA}\|_{L(H_{-\alpha}, H_{\varrho+\varepsilon})} ds$$

$$\leq \|(\kappa - A)^{-\varepsilon} (\operatorname{Id}_{H} - P_{n})\|_{L(H)} \int_{0}^{t} e^{T\kappa} s^{-(\varrho+\varepsilon+\alpha)} ds$$

$$= \frac{e^{T\kappa} \|(\kappa - A)^{-1} (\operatorname{Id}_{H} - P_{n})\|_{L(H)}^{\varepsilon} t^{(1-\varrho-\varepsilon-\alpha)}}{(1-\varrho-\varepsilon-\alpha)}.$$
(3.15)

This together with the assumption that $\liminf_{n\to\infty}\inf(\{\lambda_b\colon b\in\mathbb{H}\backslash\mathbb{H}_n\}\cup\{\infty\})=\infty$ proves that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \left(\int_0^T \| (\mathrm{Id}_{H_{\varrho}} - P_n|_{H_{\varrho}}) e^{sA} \|_{L(H_{-\alpha}, H_{\varrho})} \, ds \right) = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Moreover, observe that the assumption that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega \colon \mathbb{O}_t^n(\omega) = \mathcal{O}_t^n(\omega) - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)(A-\eta)} \eta \mathcal{O}_s^n(\omega) ds$ and the fact that $\forall t \in [0, T] \colon \|e^{tA}\|_{L(H)} \leq e^{t\kappa}$ (cf.,

e.g., Lemma 11.36 in [19]) imply that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in [0,T]$, $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$\|\mathbb{O}_{t}^{n}(\omega) - \mathcal{O}_{t}^{n}(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}}$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} \|e^{(t-s)(A-\eta)}\|_{L(H)} \|\eta \mathcal{O}_{s}^{n}(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}} ds \leq \int_{0}^{t} e^{(t-s)(\kappa-\eta)} \|\eta \mathcal{O}_{s}^{n}(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}} ds \qquad (3.17)$$

$$\leq \eta \int_{0}^{T} e^{(T-s)|\kappa-\eta|} \|\mathcal{O}_{s}^{n}(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}} ds \leq \eta T e^{T|\kappa-\eta|} \left[\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{O}_{s}^{n}(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}} \right].$$

Therefore, we obtain for all $\omega \in \Omega$ that

$$\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathbb{O}_{t}^{n}(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}}$$

$$\leq \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathbb{O}_{t}^{n}(\omega) - \mathcal{O}_{t}^{n}(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}} + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{O}_{t}^{n}(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}} \right)$$

$$\leq \left(\eta T e^{T|\kappa - \eta|} + 1 \right) \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{O}_{t}^{n}(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}}.$$
(3.18)

Furthermore, note that the assumption that $O: [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_{\varrho}$ has continuous sample paths and (3.6) ensure that for all $\omega \in \Omega_1$ it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{O}_t^n(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathcal{O}_t^n(\omega) - O_t(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}} + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|O_t(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}}$$

$$= \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|O_t(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}} < \infty.$$
(3.19)

Combining this with (3.18) we obtain for all $\omega \in \Omega_1$ that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\| \mathbb{O}^n_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{h_n}}(\omega) \right\|_{H_{\varrho}} < \infty. \tag{3.20}$$

The fact that $H_{\varrho} \subseteq H$ continuously hence shows that for all $\omega \in \Omega_1$ it holds that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left\| \mathbb{O}^n_{\lfloor t \rfloor_{h_n}}(\omega) \right\|_H < \infty. \tag{3.21}$$

This, (3.16), and Proposition 2.4 (with $O = ([0,T] \ni t \mapsto O_t(\omega) \in H_\varrho), (\mathcal{O}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} =$

 $([0,T]\ni t\mapsto \mathcal{O}^n_t(\omega)\in H_\varrho)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (\mathbb{O}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}=([0,T]\ni t\mapsto \mathbb{O}^n_t(\omega)\in H_\varrho)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, (\mathcal{X}^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}=([0,T]\ni t\mapsto \mathbf{X}^n_t(\omega)\in H_\varrho)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ for } \omega\in\Omega_1 \text{ in the notation of Proposition 2.4) assure that for all } \omega\in\Omega_1 \text{ it holds that}$

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathbf{X}_t^n(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}} < \infty$$
(3.22)

and that there exists a unique function $Y(\omega) \in C([0,T], H_{\varrho})$ which satisfies for all $t \in [0,T]$ that $\int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)A}F(Y_s(\omega))\|_{H_{\varrho}} ds < \infty$ and $Y_t(\omega) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}F(Y_s(\omega)) ds + O_t(\omega)$. Let $X: [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_{\varrho}$ be the function which satisfies for all $t \in [0,T], \omega \in \Omega$ that

$$X_t(\omega) = \begin{cases} Y_t(\omega) &: \omega \in \Omega_1 \\ O_t(\omega) &: \omega \notin \Omega_1 \end{cases}$$
 (3.23)

Observe that for all $\omega \in \Omega$ it holds that

$$X(\omega) \in C([0,T], H_{\varrho}). \tag{3.24}$$

Moreover, note that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega_1$ it holds that

$$X_t(\omega) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s(\omega)) ds + O_t(\omega).$$
 (3.25)

Furthermore, observe that (3.3) proves that for all $r \in [0, \infty)$, $v, w \in H_{\varrho}$ satisfying $v \neq w$ and $||v||_{H_{\varrho}} + ||w||_{\varrho} \leq r$ it holds that

$$\frac{\|F(v) - F(w)\|_{H_{-\alpha}}}{\|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}}} \leq \frac{\|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}}}{\|v - w\|_{H_{\varrho}}} \theta \left(1 + \|v\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{\vartheta} + \|w\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{\vartheta} \right)
\leq \left[\sup_{u \in H_{\varrho} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{H_{\varrho}}}{\|u\|_{H_{\varrho}}} \right] \theta \left(1 + 2r^{\vartheta} \right) < \infty.$$
(3.26)

Combining this, the fact that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|P_n|_{H_\varrho}\|_{L(H_\varrho)} = 1 < \infty$, (3.16), the assumption that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} h_n = 0$, (3.25), (3.10), and (3.22) allows us to apply

Lemma 2.3 (with $(V, \|\cdot\|_V) = (H_{\varrho}, \|\cdot\|_{H_{\varrho}})$, $(W, \|\cdot\|_W) = (H_{-\alpha}, \|\cdot\|_{H_{-\alpha}})$, T = T, $\chi = \chi$, J = [0, T], F = F, $S = ((0, T] \ni t \mapsto (H_{-\alpha} \ni v \mapsto e^{tA}v \in H_{\varrho}) \in L(H_{-\alpha}, H_{\varrho}))$, $\alpha = \varrho + \alpha$, $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (H_{\varrho} \ni v \mapsto P_n(v) \in H_{\varrho})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $O = ([0, T] \ni t \mapsto O_t(\omega) \in H_{\varrho})$, $(\mathcal{O}^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = ([0, T] \ni t \mapsto \mathcal{O}^n_t(\omega) \in H_{\varrho})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $X = ([0, T] \ni t \mapsto X_t(\omega) \in H_{\varrho})$, $(\mathcal{X}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = ([0, T] \ni t \mapsto X_t^n(\omega) \in H_{\varrho})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $\omega \in \Omega_1$ in the notation of Lemma 2.3) to obtain for all $\omega \in \Omega_1$ that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\mathbf{X}_t^n(\omega) - X_t(\omega)\|_{H_\varrho} = 0.$$
(3.27)

This, in particular, implies that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega_1$ it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\mathbf{X}_t^n(\omega) - X_t(\omega)\|_{H_{\varrho}} = 0.$$
(3.28)

Moreover, note that Lemma 2.3 in [13] and the assumption that $\mathcal{O}^n \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_\varrho$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are stochastic processes with continuous sample paths ensure that $\mathbf{X}^n \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_\varrho$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are stochastic processes with right-continuous sample paths. This, (3.28), the fact that $\forall t \in [0,T] \colon X_t|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1} = O_t|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_1}$, and the fact that $\Omega_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ prove that $X \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_\varrho$ is a stochastic process. Combining this, the fact that $\mathbb{P}(\Omega_1) = 1$, (3.24), and (3.25) ensures $X \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_\varrho$ is a stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies that for all $t \in [0,T]$ it holds \mathbb{P} -a.s. that

$$X_t = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) ds + O_t.$$
 (3.29)

In the next step let $Z: [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_{\varrho}$ be another stochastic process with continuous sample paths which satisfies that for all $t \in [0,T]$ it holds \mathbb{P} -a.s. that $Z_t = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(Z_s) ds + O_t$. This ensures that there exists an event $\Omega_2 \in \{B \in \mathbb{P}\}$

 $\mathcal{F} \colon \mathbb{P}(B) = 1$ such that for all $t \in [0, T], \, \omega \in \Omega_2$ it holds that

$$Z_t(\omega) = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(Z_s(\omega)) ds + O_t(\omega). \tag{3.30}$$

Combining this, (3.26), (3.25), (3.14), and, e.g., Corollary 6.1 in [14] (with $(V, \|\cdot\|_V) = (H_\varrho, \|\cdot\|_{H_\varrho})$, $(W, \|\cdot\|_W) = (H_{-\alpha}, \|\cdot\|_{H_{-\alpha}})$, T = T, $\tau = T$, F = F, $x^1 = ([0, T] \ni t \mapsto X_t(\omega) \in H_\varrho)$, $x^2 = ([0, T] \ni t \mapsto Z_t(\omega) \in H_\varrho)$, $o = ([0, T] \ni t \mapsto O_t(\omega) \in H_\varrho)$, $S = ((0, T) \ni s \mapsto e^{sA} \in L(H_{-\alpha}, H_\varrho))$ for $\omega \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ in the notation of Corollary 6.1 in [14]) demonstrates that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $\omega \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ it holds that $X_t(\omega) = Z_t(\omega)$. This and the fact that $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \in \{B \in \mathcal{F} \colon \mathbb{P}(B) = 1\}$ show that the stochastic processes X and Z are indistinguishable. This and (3.29) establish Item (i). In the next step we combine (3.10), (3.27), and the fact that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, t \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega_1 \colon \mathbf{X}_t^n(\omega) = \mathbb{X}_t^n(\omega)$ to obtain that for all $\omega \in \Omega_1$ it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|X_t(\omega) - \mathbb{X}_t^n(\omega)\|_{H_\varrho} = 0.$$
(3.31)

This and (3.13) establish Item (ii). The proof of Theorem 3.2 is thus completed. \Box

Corollary 3.3 (Strong convergence). Assume Setting 3.1, let $p \in [2, \infty)$, and assume that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathbb{O}^n_t\|_H^p] < \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\min\left\{1, \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|O_t - \mathcal{O}_t^n\|_{H_{\varrho}}\right\}\right] = 0, \tag{3.32}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T e^{\int_r^T p \, \phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_{h_n}}^n) \, du} \left(1 + \left| \Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor r \rfloor_{h_n}}^n) \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} + \|\mathbb{O}_r^n\|_H^p + \int_0^T \|\mathcal{O}_u^n\|_{H_\varrho}^{2p+2p\vartheta} \, du \right) dr \right] < \infty. \quad (3.33)$$

Then

(i) there exists an up to indistinguishability unique stochastic process $X: [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_{\varrho}$ with continuous sample paths which satisfies that for all $t \in [0,T]$ it holds \mathbb{P} -a.s. that

$$X_t = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) ds + O_t, \tag{3.34}$$

(ii) it holds that $\mathbb{X}^n \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_{\varrho}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are stochastic processes with right-continuous sample paths and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\min\left\{1, \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|X_t - \mathbb{X}_t^n\|_{H_{\varrho}}\right\}\right] = 0, \tag{3.35}$$

- (iii) it holds that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_t\|_H^p + \|\mathcal{X}_t^n\|_H^p\right] < \infty$, and
- (iv) it holds for all $q \in (0, p)$ that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbb{E}[\|X_t \mathcal{X}_t^n\|_H^q] = 0$.

Proof of Corollary 3.3. First, note that (3.32) implies there exists a strictly increasing function $k \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}\left[\min\left\{1, \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|O_t - \mathcal{O}_t^{k(n)}\|_{H_{\varrho}}\right\}\right] < \infty.$$
 (3.36)

Lemma 3.1 in [17] (with $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $E = C([0, T], H_{\varrho})$, $d = (C([0, T], H_{\varrho}) \times C([0, T], H_{\varrho}) \ni (x, y) \mapsto \sup_{t \in [0, T]} ||x(t) - y(t)||_{H_{\varrho}} \in [0, \infty)$), $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (\mathcal{O}^{k(n)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $X_0 = O$ in the notation of Lemma 3.1 in [17]) hence proves that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big(\limsup_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|O_t - \mathcal{O}_t^{k(n)}\|_{H_{\varrho}} = 0\Big) = 1.$$
 (3.37)

Next observe that (3.33) implies that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{r}^{T} p \phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_{h_{k(n)}}}^{k(n)}) du} \left(1 + \left| \Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor r \rfloor_{h_{k(n)}}}^{k(n)}) \right|^{\frac{p}{2}} + \|\mathbb{O}_{r}^{k(n)}\|_{H}^{p} + \int_{0}^{T} \|\mathcal{O}_{u}^{k(n)}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{2p+2p\vartheta} du \right) dr \right] < \infty. \quad (3.38)$$

This, in particular, yields that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_{0}^{T} e^{\int_{r}^{T} 2\phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor u\rfloor_{h_{k(n)}}}^{k(n)}) du} \left[1 + |\Phi(\mathbb{O}_{\lfloor r\rfloor_{h_{k(n)}}}^{k(n)})| + \|\mathbb{O}_{r}^{k(n)}\|_{H}^{2} + \int_{0}^{T} \|\mathcal{O}_{u}^{k(n)}\|_{H_{\varrho}}^{4+4\vartheta} du\right] dr < \infty\right) = 1. \quad (3.39)$$

Combining this with (3.37) and Item (i) in Theorem 3.2 (with $P_n = P_{k(n)}$, $\mathbb{H}_n = \mathbb{H}_{k(n)}$, $h_n = h_{k(n)}$, $\mathcal{X}^n = \mathcal{X}^{k(n)}$, $\mathcal{O}^n = \mathcal{O}^{k(n)}$, O = O, $\mathbb{X}^n = \mathbb{X}^{k(n)}$, and $\mathbb{O}^n = \mathbb{O}^{k(n)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the notation of Theorem 3.2) assures that there exists an up-to-indistinguishability unique stochastic process $X: [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_{\varrho}$ with continuous sample paths which satisfies that for all $t \in [0,T]$ it holds \mathbb{P} -a.s. that

$$X_t = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) \, ds + O_t. \tag{3.40}$$

This establishes Item (i). Next note that the assumption that \mathcal{X}^n , $\mathcal{O}^n \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_\varrho$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are stochastic processes and (3.4) prove that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $\mathbb{X}^n \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_\varrho$ is also a stochastic process. The assumption that $\mathcal{O}^n \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_\varrho$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are continuous, and, e.g., Lemma 2.2 in [13] therefore ensure that $\mathbb{X}^n \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_\varrho$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are stochastic processes with right-continuous sample paths. Next observe that the fact that $H \subseteq H_{-1} = \bar{H}^{H_{-1}}$ and the fact that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $P_n \in L(H)$ imply that there exist $\tilde{P}_n \in L(H_{-1}, H), n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for all $v \in H, n \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $\tilde{P}_n(v) = P_n(v)$. Items (i),(ii) and (iii) in Theorem 3.5 in [17] (with $P_n = \tilde{P}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in the notation of Theorem 3.5 in [17]) therefore establish Items (ii),(iii), and (iv). The proof of Corollary 3.3 is thus completed.

4 Example: Stochastic Burgers equations

In this section we apply Corollary 3.3 to the stochastic Burgers equations with space-time white noise. Throughout this section we use the following notation. For a set $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ we denote by $\lambda_A \colon \mathcal{B}(A) \to [0, \infty]$ the Lebesgue-Borel measure on A. For a measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$, a measurable space (S, \mathcal{S}) , a set R, and a function $f \colon \Omega \to R$ we denote by $[f]_{\mu,\mathcal{S}}$ the set given by

$$[f]_{\mu,\mathcal{S}} = \{g \colon \Omega \to S \colon g \text{ is } \mathcal{F}/\mathcal{S}\text{-measurable and}$$

$$\exists A \in \mathcal{F} \colon \mu(A) = 0 \text{ and } \{\omega \in \Omega \colon f(\omega) \neq g(\omega)\} \subseteq A\}.$$
(4.1)

We denote by $(\underline{\cdot})$: $\{[v]_{\lambda_{(0,1)},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \in L^0(\lambda_{(0,1)};\mathbb{R}) : v \in C((0,1),\mathbb{R})\} \to C((0,1),\mathbb{R})$ the function which satisfies for all $v \in C((0,1),\mathbb{R})$ that $\underline{[v]_{\lambda_{(0,1)},\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})}} = v$.

The following setting is a special case of Setting 3.1. In particular, we set the underlying space H to be the space of all square-integrable functions over (0,1) and the linear operator A to be the Laplacian with Dirichlet boundary conditions multiplied by some positive constant.

Setting 4.1. Let $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, $T, c_0 \in (0, \infty)$, $\kappa \in [0, \infty)$, $\alpha = 1/2$, $\rho = 1/8$, $\gamma \in (3/4, 7/8)$, $\varrho \in (1/8, 1 - \gamma)$, $\vartheta = 1$, $\chi \in (0, (\varrho - \rho)/(1 + \vartheta)]$, let

$$(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \| \cdot \|_H) = (L^2(\lambda_{(0,1)}; \mathbb{R}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\lambda_{(0,1)}; \mathbb{R})}, \| \cdot \|_{L^2(\lambda_{(0,1)}; \mathbb{R})}), \tag{4.2}$$

let $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq H$ and $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq (0,\infty)$ satisfy for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ that

$$e_n = [(\sqrt{2}\sin(n\pi x))_{x \in (0,1)}]_{\lambda_{(0,1)}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_n = c_0 \pi^2 n^2,$$
 (4.3)

let $A: D(A) \subseteq H \to H$ be the linear operator which satisfies $D(A) = \{v \in H: \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_k \langle e_k, v \rangle_H|^2 < \infty\}$ and $\forall v \in D(A): Av = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} -\lambda_k \langle e_k, v \rangle_H e_k$, let $(H_r, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_r}, \|\cdot\|_{H_r}), r \in \mathbb{R}$, be a family of interpolation spaces associated to $\kappa - A$ (see, e.g., [22, Section 3.7]), let $F: H_{1/8} \to H_{-1/2}$ satisfy for all $v \in H_{1/8}$ that

$$F(v) = c_1(v^2)', (4.4)$$

let $(P_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq L(H)$ satisfy for all $u\in H,\, n\in\mathbb{N}$ that

$$P_n(u) = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle e_k, u \rangle_H e_k, \tag{4.5}$$

let $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subseteq (0,T]$ satisfy that $\limsup_{m\to\infty}h_m=0$, let $\xi\in H_{1/2}$, let $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$ be a probability space with a normal filtration $(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$, let $(W_t)_{t\in[0,T]}$ be an $\mathrm{Id}_{H^{-1}}$ cylindrical $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P},(\mathbb{F}_t)_{t\in[0,T]})$ -Wiener process, let $\mathcal{X}^n,\mathcal{O}^n,\Psi^n\colon [0,T]\times\Omega\to P_n(H)$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$, be stochastic processes which satisfy for all $n\in\mathbb{N},\ t\in[0,T]$ that $\Psi^n_t=P_n\,e^{tA}\,\xi+\mathcal{O}^n_t$,

$$\left[\mathcal{O}_t^n\right]_{\mathbb{P},\mathcal{B}(H)} = \int_0^t P_n \, e^{(t-s)A} \, dW_s,\tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{X}_{t}^{n} = \Psi_{t}^{n} + \int_{0}^{t} P_{n} e^{(t-s)A} \, \mathbb{1}_{\{\|\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\|_{H_{\varrho}} + \|\Psi_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\|_{H_{\varrho}} \le |h_{n}|^{-\chi}\}} F\left(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\right) ds\right) = 1. \quad (4.7)$$

4.1 Properties of the nonlinearity

The following lemma shows that the function F in Setting 4.1 above satisfies the elementary property (3.1).

Lemma 4.2. Assume Setting 4.1 and let $r \in (3/4, \infty)$. Then it holds for all $v \in H_{1/2}$ that $F(v) \in H$ and that

$$||F(v)||_{H_{-r}} \le |c_1| \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2\pi^2 n^2 \left(\kappa + c_0 \pi^2 n^2 \right)^{-2r} \right)^{1/2} ||v||_H^2 < \infty.$$
 (4.8)

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Throughout the proof let $v \in H_{1/2}$. Observe that, e.g., Lemma 4.5

in [15] ensures that $F(v) \in H$. Hence, we obtain that

$$||F(v)||_{H_{-r}} = \sup_{u \in H \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\left| \langle F(v), (\kappa - A)^{-r} u \rangle_{H} \right|}{||u||_{H}}$$

$$= |c_{1}| \left[\sup_{u \in H \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\left| \langle (v^{2})', (\kappa - A)^{-r} u \rangle_{H} \right|}{||u||_{H}} \right]. \tag{4.9}$$

Next note that for all $u \in H$ it holds that $(\kappa - A)^{-r} u \in H_r$ and

$$(\kappa - A)^{-r} u = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\kappa + \lambda_n)^{-r} \langle u, e_n \rangle_H e_n.$$
 (4.10)

This ensures that for all $u \in H$ it holds that

$$\left((\kappa - A)^{-r} u \right)' = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\kappa + \lambda_n)^{-r} \langle u, e_n \rangle_H e_n'
= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\kappa + c_0 \pi^2 n^2)^{-r} \langle u, e_n \rangle_H \left[\left(\sqrt{2} \pi n \cos(\pi n x) \right)_{x \in (0,1)} \right]_{\lambda_{(0,1)}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})}.$$
(4.11)

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality hence imply that for all $u \in H$ it holds that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \left((\kappa - A)^{-r} u \right)' \right\|_{L^{\infty}(\lambda_{(0,1)};\mathbb{R})} &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sqrt{2\pi} n \left(\kappa + c_0 \pi^2 n^2 \right)^{-r} \left| \langle u, e_n \rangle_H \right| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2\pi^2 n^2 \left(\kappa + c_0 \pi^2 n^2 \right)^{-2r} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle e_n, u \rangle_H^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2\pi^2 n^2 \left(\kappa + c_0 \pi^2 n^2 \right)^{-2r} \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_H. \end{split}$$
(4.12)

Combining this with (4.9) yields that

$$||F(v)||_{H_{-r}} \le |c_{1}| \left[\sup_{u \in H \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\left| \langle v^{2}, ((\kappa - A)^{-r} u)' \rangle_{H} \right|}{\|u\|_{H}} \right]$$

$$\le |c_{1}| \left[\sup_{u \in H \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{H}}{\|u\|_{H}} \right] \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2\pi^{2} n^{2} \left(\kappa + c_{0} \pi^{2} n^{2} \right)^{-2r} \right)^{1/2} \|v^{2}\|_{L^{1}(\lambda_{(0,1)};\mathbb{R})}$$

$$= |c_{1}| \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2\pi^{2} n^{2} \left(\kappa + c_{0} \pi^{2} n^{2} \right)^{-2r} \right)^{1/2} \|v\|_{H}^{2}.$$

$$(4.13)$$

Moreover, observe that the fact that r > 3/4 assures that $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2\pi^2 n^2 (\kappa + c_0 \pi^2 n^2)^{-2r} < \infty$. The proof of Lemma 4.2 is thus completed.

4.2 Existence and uniqueness of the solution and strong convergence of the approximation scheme

Corollary 4.3. Assume Setting 4.1 and let $p \in (0, \infty)$. Then there exists a unique stochastic process $X \colon [0,T] \times \Omega \to H_{\varrho}$ with continuous sample paths which satisfies for all $t \in [0,T]$ that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \|e^{(t-s)A} F(X_{s})\|_{H_{\varrho}} ds < \infty\right) = 1, \tag{4.14}$$

that

$$[X_t]_{\mathbb{P},\mathcal{B}(H)} = \left[e^{tA} \xi + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} F(X_s) \, ds \right]_{\mathbb{P},\mathcal{B}(H)} + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} \, dW_s, \tag{4.15}$$

and that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} [\|X_t - \mathcal{X}_t^n\|_H^p] = 0.$$
 (4.16)

Proof of Corollary 4.3. Throughout this proof let $q \in [\max\{2, p\}, \infty)$ be a real number, let $\theta, c \in (0, \infty)$ be the real numbers given by

$$\theta = |c_1||c_0|^{-1/2} \left[\sup_{u \in H_{1/8} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{L^4(\lambda_{(0,1)};\mathbb{R})}^2}{\|(-A)^{1/8}u\|_H^2} \right] + 1$$
(4.17)

and $c = |c_1| \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2\pi^2 n^2 (\kappa + c_0 \pi^2 n^2)^{-2\gamma} \right)^{1/2}$, and let $\phi, \Phi \colon H_1 \to [0, \infty)$ be the functions which satisfy for all $v \in H_1$ that

$$\phi(v) = \max\left\{\frac{2|c_1|^2}{c_0}, 4\right\} \left[1 + \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |\underline{v}(x)|^2\right]$$
(4.18)

and

$$\Phi(v) = \max\left\{\frac{2|c_1|^2}{c_0}, 4\right\} \left[1 + \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |\underline{v}(x)|^{\max\{2|c_1|^2/c_0, 4\}}\right]. \tag{4.19}$$

Then note that Lemma 5.3 in [17] shows that for all $v,w\in H_{1/2}$ it holds that

 $F(v+w) \in H$ and that

$$\langle v, F(v+w) \rangle_{H}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ \frac{2|c_{1}|^{2}}{c_{0}}, 4 \right\} \|v\|_{H}^{2} \left[\sup_{x \in (0,1)} |\underline{w}(x)|^{2} \right] + \frac{3}{4} \|(-A)^{1/2}v\|_{H}^{2}$$

$$+ \max \left\{ \frac{2|c_{1}|^{2}}{c_{0}}, 4 \right\} \left[1 + \sup_{x \in (0,1)} |\underline{w}(x)|^{\max\{2|c_{1}|^{2}/c_{0}, 4\}} \right]$$

$$\leq \phi(w) \|v\|_{H}^{2} + \frac{3}{4} \|(-A)^{1/2}v\|_{H}^{2} + \Phi(w).$$

$$(4.20)$$

Moreover, observe that Lemma 5.4 in [17] demonstrates that for all $v, w \in H_{1/8}$ it holds that

$$||F(v) - F(w)||_{H_{-1/2}} \le |c_1||c_0|^{-1/2} \left[\sup_{u \in H_{1/8} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{||u||_{L^4(\lambda_{\{0,1\}};\mathbb{R})}^2}{||(-A)^{1/8}u||_H^2} \right]$$

$$\cdot \left(1 + ||(-A)^{1/8}v||_H + ||(-A)^{1/8}w||_H \right) ||(-A)^{1/8}(v - w)||_H$$

$$\le \theta \left(1 + ||v||_{H_{1/8}} + ||w||_{H_{1/8}} \right) ||v - w||_{H_{1/8}}.$$

$$(4.21)$$

Furthermore, note that Lemma 4.2 assures that for all $v \in H_{1/2}$ it holds that $F(v) \in H$ and

$$||F(v)||_{H_{-\gamma}} \le c||v||_H^2. \tag{4.22}$$

In addition, observe that Proposition 4.6 in [17] (with p = q in the notation of Proposition 4.6 in [17]) proves that there exist a real number $\eta \in [0, \infty)$ and stochastic processes $O: [0, T] \times \Omega \to H_{\varrho}, \ \mathcal{Q}^n, \ \mathfrak{Q}^n: [0, T] \times \Omega \to P_n(H), \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \text{with continuous}$ sample paths which satisfy for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, \ t \in [0, T] \ \text{that} \ [O_t]_{\mathbb{P},\mathcal{B}(H)} = \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} dW_s,$ $[\mathcal{Q}_t^n]_{\mathbb{P},\mathcal{B}(H)} = \int_0^t P_n e^{(t-s)A} dW_s, \ \mathfrak{Q}_t^n = \mathcal{Q}_t^n + P_n e^{tA} \xi - \int_0^t e^{(t-s)(A-\eta)} \eta \left(\mathcal{Q}_s^n + P_n e^{sA} \xi\right) ds,$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\limsup_{m\to\infty} \sup_{s\in[0,T]} \left\| (O_s + e^{sA}\xi) - (\mathcal{Q}_s^m + P_m e^{sA}\xi) \right\|_{H_{\varrho}} = 0\right) = 1, \tag{4.23}$$

that

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \exp \left(\int_r^T q \, \phi \left(\mathfrak{Q}_{\lfloor u \rfloor_{h_m}}^m \right) du \right) \, \max \left\{ \left| \Phi \left(\mathfrak{Q}_{\lfloor r \rfloor_{h_m}}^m \right) \right|^{q/2}, 1, \left\| \mathfrak{Q}_r^m \right\|_H^q, \right. \right. \\
\left. \int_0^T \left\| \mathcal{Q}_u^m + P_m e^{uA} \xi \right\|_{H_\varrho}^{2q + 2q\vartheta} du \right\} dr \right] + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} [\| \mathfrak{Q}_s^m \|_H^q] \right) < \infty, \quad (4.24)$$

and that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{X}_{t}^{n} = \int_{0}^{t} P_{n} e^{(t-s)A} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{\|\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\|_{H_{\varrho}} + \|\mathcal{Q}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n} + P_{n}e^{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}A} \xi\|_{H_{\varrho}} \leq |h_{n}|^{-\chi}\right\}} F\left(\mathcal{X}_{\lfloor s\rfloor_{h_{n}}}^{n}\right) ds + P_{n}e^{tA}\xi + \mathcal{Q}_{t}^{n} = 1. \quad (4.25)$$

Combining this with (4.20)–(4.22), as well as Item (i) and Item (iv) in Corollary 3.3 (with $\mathbb{H} = \{e_k \in H : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\mathbb{H}_n = \{e_k \in \mathbb{H} : k \in \{1, \dots, n-1, n\}\}$, $\vartheta = 1$, $\epsilon = 0$, $\varphi = \frac{3}{4}$, $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\rho = \frac{1}{8}$, $\varrho = \varrho$, $\mathcal{O}^n = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto (\mathcal{Q}_t^n(\omega) + P_n e^{tA} \xi) \in H_\varrho)$, $O = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto (\mathcal{O}_t(\omega) + e^{tA} \xi) \in H_\varrho)$, $\mathcal{O}^n = ([0, T] \times \Omega \ni (t, \omega) \mapsto \Omega_t^n(\omega) \in H_\varrho$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, p = q in the notation of Corollary 3.3) we obtain that for all $t \in [0, T]$ equations (4.14) and (4.15) hold and that for all $u \in (0, q)$ it holds that

$$\lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E}\left[\|X_t - \mathcal{X}_t^n\|_H^u \right] = 0. \tag{4.26}$$

This, in particular, establishes (4.16). The proof of Corollary 4.3 is thus completed.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to warmly thank Arnulf Jentzen for fruitful discussions, guidance, and support and Primož Pušnik for finding some inaccuracies. This project has been supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgesellschaft (DFG) via RTG 2131 High-dimensional Phenomena in Probability – Fluctuations and Discontinuity and through the research grant with the title Higher order numerical approximation methods for stochastic partial differential equations (Number 175699) from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF). One of the author

thanks the (Labex CEMPI) Centre Européen pour les Mathématiques, la Physique et leurs interactions (ANR-11-LABX-0007-01) for financial support.

References

- [1] Becker, S., Gess, B., Jentzen, A., and Kloeden, P. E. (2017). Strong convergence rates for explicit space-time discrete numerical approximations of stochastic Allen-Cahn equations. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.02423.
- [2] Becker, S. and Jentzen, A. (2019). Strong convergence rates for nonlinearity-truncated Euler-type approximations of stochastic Ginzburg-Landau equations. Stochastic Process. Appl., 129(1):28 – 69.
- [3] Bertini, L., Cancrini, N., and Jona-Lasinio, G. (1994). The stochastic Burgers equation. *Commun. Math. Phys*, 165(2):211–232.
- [4] Blömker, D. and Jentzen, A. (2013). Galerkin approximations for the stochastic Burgers equation. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 51(1):694–715.
- [5] Da Prato, G., Debussche, A., and Temam, R. (1994). Stochastic Burgers' equation. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl., 1(4):389–402.
- [6] Da Prato, G. and Gatarek, D. (1995). Stochastic Burgers equation with correlated noise. Stochastics Stochastics Rep., 52(1-2):29-41.
- [7] Da Prato, G. and Zabczyk, J. (1996). Ergodicity for infinite dimensional systems, volume 229. Cambridge University Press.
- [8] Da Prato, G. and Zabczyk, J. (2014). Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions. Cambridge university press.
- [9] Duan, J. and Ervin, V. J. (2001). On the stochastic Kuramoto–Sivanshinsky equation. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 44(2):205–216.

- [10] Gyöngy, I., Sabanis, S., and Šiška, D. (2015). Convergence of tamed Euler schemes for a class of stochastic evolution equations. Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput., pages 1–21.
- [11] Hutzenthaler, M. and Jentzen, A. (2015). Numerical approximations of stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 4:1–112.
- [12] Hutzenthaler, M., Jentzen, A., and Kloeden, P. E. (2012). Strong convergence of an explicit numerical method for SDEs with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. Ann. Appl. Probab., 22(4):1611–1641.
- [13] Hutzenthaler, M., Jentzen, A., and Salimova, D. (2018). Strong convergence of full-discrete nonlinearity-truncated accelerated exponential Euler-type approximations for stochastic Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equations. *Comm. Math. Sci.*, 16(6):1489–1529.
- [14] Jentzen, A., Mazzonetto, S., and Salimova, D. (2018). Existence and uniqueness properties for solutions of a class of Banach space valued evolution equations. arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.06859.
- [15] Jentzen, A. and Pušnik, P. (2018). Exponential moments for numerical approximations of stochastic partial differential equations. Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. Comput., 6(4):565–617.
- [16] Jentzen, A. and Pušnik, P. (2015). Strong convergence rates for an explicit numerical approximation method for stochastic evolution equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous nonlinearities. arXiv preprint arXiv:1504.03523, page 38 pages. Minor revision requested from IMA J. Num. Anal.
- [17] Jentzen, A., Salimova, D., and Welti, T. (2019). Strong convergence for explicit space-time discrete numerical approximation methods for stochastic Burgers equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 469(2):661–704.

- [18] Mazzonetto, S. (2018). Strong convergence for explicit space-time discrete numerical approximation for 2D stochastic Navier-Stokes equations. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.01937.
- [19] Renardy, M. and Rogers, R. C. (2006). An introduction to partial differential equations, volume 13. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [20] Sabanis, S. (2013). A note on tamed Euler approximations. *Electron. Commun. Probab.*, 18:no. 47, 1–10.
- [21] Sabanis, S. (2016). Euler approximations with varying coefficients: The case of superlinearly growing diffusion coefficients. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 26(4):2083–2105.
- [22] Sell, G. R. and You, Y. (2002). *Dynamics of evolutionary equations*, volume 143. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [23] Tretyakov, M. V. and Zhang, Z. (2013). A fundamental mean-square convergence theorem for SDEs with locally Lipschitz coefficients and its applications. SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 51(6):3135–3162.
- [24] Wang, X. and Gan, S. (2013). The tamed Milstein method for commutative stochastic differential equations with non-globally Lipschitz continuous coefficients. J. Difference Equ. Appl., 19(3):466–490.