

Term Project

(Mathematics, Computational Science & Engineering)

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. R. Hiptmair (SAM, D-MATH)

Discretization of Linear Dirac Equations in 1D

1 Basic Model Problem

Given a real valued function $f \in C^0([0, T], W^{1, \infty}(\Omega))$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we consider the initial boundary value problem: seek $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, $v = (v(\mathbf{x}, t))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{u} &= -if \mathbf{grad} v - \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{grad} f v, & \text{in } [0, T] \times \Omega, \\ \partial_t v &= if \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{grad} f \cdot \mathbf{u}, \\ v &= 0 \quad \text{on } [0, T] \times \partial\Omega, \\ \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) &= \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad v(\mathbf{x}, 0) = v_0(\mathbf{x}). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

2 Weak Forms

- We may just test the two equations in (1) and integrate over Ω : seek $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x})$, $v = (v(t, \mathbf{x}))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{q})_0 &= (-if \mathbf{grad} v - \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{grad} f v, \mathbf{q})_0 \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega), \\ (\partial_t v, w)_0 &= (if \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{grad} f \cdot \mathbf{u}, w)_0 \quad \forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $(u, v)_0 := \int_{\Omega} u \bar{v} \, d\mathbf{x}$.

- Another option is to aim integration by parts at the second equation: seek $\mathbf{u} \in (L^2(\Omega))^d$, $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{q})_0 &= (-if \mathbf{grad} v - \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{grad} f v, \mathbf{q})_0 \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in (L^2(\Omega))^d, \\ (\partial_t v, w)_0 &= -\frac{i}{2} (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{grad} f, w)_0 - i \int_{\Omega} f \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{grad} \bar{w} \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall w \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

- A third option is to apply integration by parts to the first equation: seek $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega)$, $v \in L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{q})_0 &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{grad} f \cdot \mathbf{q} v + if \operatorname{div} \mathbf{q} \, d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \mathbf{H}(\operatorname{div}, \Omega), \\ (\partial_t v, w)_0 &= (if \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} + \frac{i}{2} \mathbf{grad} f \cdot \mathbf{u}, w)_0 \quad \forall w \in L^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

3 Conservation property

The evolution respects conservation of total charge: $\frac{d}{dt}Q = 0$ for

$$Q(t) := \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{u}|^2 + |v|^2 \, d\mathbf{x} . \quad (5)$$

4 Galerkin discretization

The variational formulations (2)- (4) allow a straightforward Galerkin discretization: We equip Ω with some mesh and choose \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{W} as finite element subspaces of $L^2(\Omega)$, $\mathbf{H}(\text{div}, \Omega)$ and $H_0^1(\Omega)$, respectively. The simplest choice in 1D is piecewise linear/piecewise constant functions on some (non necessarily uniform) grid.

5 Timestepping

The timestepping scheme has to preserve the conservation of Q in the fully discrete setting. This suggests the choice of implicit Runge-Kutta-Gauss timestepping [1, Sect. 6.3.2], [1, Thm. 6.58].

For the ordinary differential equation $\dot{y} = f(t, y)$ the simplest representative of this class of Runge-Kutta methods is given by

$$y^{k+1} = y^k + \tau \delta y \quad , \quad \delta y = f\left(t^k + \frac{1}{2}\tau, y^k + \frac{1}{2}\tau \delta y\right) .$$

Here, $\tau > 0$ is the size of the timestep. If the ODE is linear, that is, $\dot{y} = \mathbf{A}(t)y$ with a time-dependent linear operator $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(t)$, then the scheme reduces to

$$\frac{y^{k+1} - y^k}{\tau} = \mathbf{A}\left(t^k + \frac{1}{2}\tau\right) \left(\frac{y^{k+1} + y^k}{2}\right) . \quad (6)$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a (sesqui-linear) inner product on the phase space, for which $\mathbf{A}(t)$ is skew-symmetric for all times, that is,

$$\langle \mathbf{A}(t)y, z \rangle = -\langle y, \mathbf{A}(t)z \rangle \quad \forall y, z, t .$$

Writing $\|\cdot\|$ for the norm arising from $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, (6) involves

$$\begin{aligned} \|y^{k+1}\|^2 - \|y^k\|^2 &= \text{Re} \langle y^{k+1} - y^k, y^{k+1} + y^k \rangle \\ &= \text{Re} \frac{\tau}{2} \langle \mathbf{A}\left(t^k + \frac{1}{2}\tau\right)(y^{k+1} + y^k), y^{k+1} + y^k \rangle = 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume that for the solution of any initial value problem form $\dot{y} = \mathbf{A}(t)y$ holds

$$\|y(t)\| = \|y(t^0)\| \quad \forall t . \quad (7)$$

Then

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \|y(t)\|^2 = 2 \text{Re} \langle y(t), \mathbf{A}(t)y(t) \rangle \quad \forall t . \quad (8)$$

Since the trajectories of solutions cover the entire phase space, the operator $\mathbf{A}(t)$ has to be skew-symmetric.

Apply these considerations to (2)-(4) with $y = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ and inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_0$.

We also observe that for constant f (1) boils down to a first order wave equation. For the wave equation explicit reversible timestepping schemes (known as Störmer-Verlet or leapfrog) display an excellent approximate conservation of charge, see [2, Sect. 1.7].

6 Task

1. Rederive the weak formulations and prove charge conservation
2. Consider (1) for $d = 1$ and $\Omega =]0, 1[$ and examine the cases
 - (a) $f \equiv 1$ (constant function),
 - (b) $f(x) = x \exp(-2x)$ (spatially varying function),
 - (c) $f(x, t) = x \exp(-tx)$ (varying in space and time).

Discretize the initial value problem based on (2)-(4) on an equidistant spatial mesh and lowest order finite elements. Timestepping should be done using the implicit midpoint rule (see Sect. 5) or an explicit leapfrog-type scheme (optional).

3. Investigate the convergence of the methods in terms of spatial and temporal resolution (meshwidth and timestep).

Contact: Prof. Dr. Ralf Hiptmair
Seminar for Applied Mathematics, D-MATH
Room : HG G 58.2
☎ : 01 632 3404
✉ : hiptmair@sam.math.ethz.ch
➤ : <http://www.sam.math.ethz.ch/~hiptmair>

References

- [1] P. DEUFLHARD AND F. BORNEMANN, *Numerische Mathematik II*, DeGruyter, Berlin, 2 ed., 2002.
- [2] R. HIPTMAIR, *Numerics of hyperbolic partial differential equations*. Online lecture notes, 2007. http://www.sam.math.ethz.ch/~hiptmair/tmp/NUMHYP_07.pdf.